



## **Productivity of Sweet Maize (*Zea mays L.*) under Previous Crops and Cropping Systems in the Brazilian Northeast**

**Alceu Pedrotti<sup>1\*</sup>, Renisson Neponuceno de Araújo Filho<sup>2</sup>, Sara Julliane Ribeiro Assunção<sup>1</sup>, Sérgio Carlos Resende<sup>1</sup>, Raimundo Rodrigues Gomes Filho<sup>1</sup>, Fernanda Cristina Caparelli de Oliveira<sup>1</sup>, Francisco Sandro Rodrigues Holanda<sup>1</sup>, Djail Santos<sup>3</sup>, João Lucas Aires Dias<sup>2</sup> and Ana Paula Silva de Santana<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Av. Marechal Rondon, s/n, CEP 49100-000, Jardim Rosa Elze, São Cristóvão - SE, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>Universidade Federal do Tocantins, Rua Badejós, Lote 7, Chácaras 69/72, s/n - Zona Rural, CEP 77402-970, Gurupi, TO, Brazil.

<sup>3</sup>Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Rodovia BR 079 - Km 12, CEP: 58.397-000 - Areia - PB, Brazil.

### **Authors' contributions**

The author SCR conducted the study, collected the analyzes in the field and did the statistical analysis of the data, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. The authors SJRA, FCCO and DS designed the study and monitored and supervised all of this study. The authors RRGF, AP, APSS and JLAD assisted in literature searches, writing in the manuscript and discussing the data. The authors FSRH and RNAF helped in the search of the literature and in the translation of the same into English language. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2018/43836

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Claude Bakoume, Professor, Institute of Agricultural Research for Development, Cameroon.

#### Reviewers:

(1) R. K. Mathukia, Junagadh Agricultural University, India.

(2) Dennis Simiyu Wamalwa, Maseno University, Kenya.

(3) Júnior Melo Damian, University of São Paulo, Brazil.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/26239>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 19 June 2018**

**Accepted 08 September 2018**

**Published 14 September 2018**

### **ABSTRACT**

The productivity level in the agroecosystems of the coasts of the Coastal Tracks 37°12'00"W 11°01'00"S can be affected by the management system adopted in the soil, altering their physical, chemical and biological properties, compromising productivity of cultivated crops. This study

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [alceupedrotti@gmail.com](mailto:alceupedrotti@gmail.com);

evaluated the effect of no-tillage systems (no-tillage, minimum and conventional tillage) and previous ones: peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*), crotalaria (*Crotalaria juncea*), beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) and pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*). The spike yield of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) on an Ultissol, aimed to measure the productivity of the agroecosystem studied in the Coastal Tablelands Sergipano. The maize productivity evaluation parameters were: number of ears, number of productive plants, weight of green ears and relation between number of plants with ears and total number of plants; being measured for each system of preparation of the soil and for each culture antecedent to the corn. The data were submitted to the analysis of variance and the means were compared by the test of Tukey at 5% of probability. The no - tillage system provided better levels of sweet corn yield ( $9.2 \text{ t.ha}^{-1}$ ), as well as a higher number of plants per hectare ( $44,382 \text{ plants.ha}^{-1}$ ), a higher number of spikes per hectare ( $39,679 \text{ spikes.ha}^{-1}$ ), and a higher proportion of plants with spike and total plants (89%). Among previous crops, solar hemp provided higher yields of sweet corn in conventional and minimum cultivation systems ( $7.263 \text{ t.ha}^{-1}$  and  $9.004 \text{ t.ha}^{-1}$ , respectively), while bean cultivation was the preferable previous crop in the no-tillage system ( $9.674 \text{ t.ha}^{-1}$ ) after eight years of experimentation.

**Keywords:** Agroecosystems; agricultural productivity; no-tillage; minimum tillage; conventional tillage.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The need to produce food to satisfy population demands, in addition to being concerned with the productivity of agroecosystems, has been aimed at higher levels of environmental sustainability. The way in which this activity is conducted, ie the agricultural practices adopted, affects the environment in which it is inserted at different levels, which can cause direct negative impacts on the soil, for example.

Soil degradation is one of the most serious environmental problems faced by today's society, since the soil is often neglected as an integral part of the environment, and essential to the existence of life [1]. It should be noted that the use of soil for agricultural purposes promotes chemical, physical and microbiological changes in its profile, and these should be monitored and evaluated so that preventive or corrective actions can be implemented [2].

The adoption of soil management techniques and crop that guarantee the greater sustainability of agroecosystems has been highlighted, since as pointed out by [3], the importance of soil in the agricultural system is not only a means of maximizing production, but rather of optimizing the use of this resource and sustaining productivity over a long period of time. In this way, its conservation or improvement is vital for sustaining productive activity [4].

The no-tillage system has been used as a management method to raise or maintain high levels of sustainable soil use. This management interferes with the physical, chemical and

microbiological properties of the soil. It is responsible for low mobilization, high organic matter input and reduced speed of mineralization and minimization of the soil erosion. These features are due to the presence of the vegetal cover that creates an adequate environment for the process of soil restructuration, which is indispensable to the sustainability and productivity of the exploited systems. In this way, the productive potential of the soil is ensured over time.

One of the factors essential to the introduction and maintenance of sustainable soil management is the presence of dead vegetation cover to protect it. In this case, the use of plant species in rotation, succession, antecession or consortium with the commercial crops, must present good phytomass production and adaptation to local conditions. These plants will benefit the commercial culture and the environment, as they control soil erosion, contribute to increase the availability of nutrients to commercial plants, and intervene in the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil [5].

In Brazil, with the expansion of the market for maize for consumption as fresh corn, and for the canned, frozen, dehydrated or baby maize industry [6] has provided greater interest in research on the subject. The difference between maize and common is in the sugar and starch content of the endosperm, due to recessive genes. While maize common has around 3% sugar and 60 and 70% starch, maize has 9 to 14% sugar and 30 to 35% starch [7].

The maize crop has been strongly disseminated in the state of Sergipe, presenting [8] relevance from the food, economic and social perspective.

In the state of Sergipe, the coastal tablelands and the Coastal zone cover an area of approximately 7,126 km<sup>2</sup> over a total of 21,910 km<sup>2</sup>, representing about 32% of the state of Sergipe. The importance of the coastal tablelands is related to the significant population that lives on it, occupying about 45% of the Northeast region, as well as the use of the soil for the cultivation of several annual and perennial crops, and animal husbandry, which in turn generate employment and income [9].

Thus, in the agroecosystems of the Sergipe coastal tablelands, where maize is also planted, it is necessary to develop and apply conservation techniques of soil management, so that the physical, chemical and biological properties maintain the same in a level of resilience [10]. In this way, the present work has the objective of evaluating the influence of cropping systems associated to previous crops on the productivity of green maize cobs in the Sergipano coastal tablelands in its eighth year of experimentation.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area was conducted since 2001 in long-term experiment of 15 years located in the central portion, physiographic region coast, at the experimental station of the agronomy department at the federal university of Sergipe, municipality of São Cristóvão, state of Sergipe, situated at 37°12'00" W, 11°01'00" S, at an altitude of 22 m above sea level. According to the Köppen classification, the region's climate type is humid tropical with a dry season in summer, an average annual temperature of 22°C and average annual rainfall of 1200 mm, concentrated in the months of April to September.

The soil is classified as Ultisol, a moderate, sandy texture / clay frank, subperenopholia rain forest, gently rolling relief [11]. At the beginning of the experiment, the soil presented the following chemical and physical characteristics in the 0-10 cm layer: pH (H<sub>2</sub>O): 5.2; organic matter (OM): 7.0 g kg<sup>-1</sup>; P: 2.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and K: 11.2 cmolc kg<sup>-1</sup> [11]. The moderately deep soil profile presented an sandy horizon A, 27 cm deep made of sand (82.1%), silt (12.5%) and a clayey horizon Bt in the 28 - 77 cm comprised of sand (15.4%), silt (24.7%), and clay (59.9%) [12].

The experimental design was a randomized block design in a 3 x 4 factorial arrangement, resulting from the adoption of three soil tillage systems: conventional tillage (CT), minimum tillage (MT) and no-tillage (NT); and four previous crops including beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), peanuts (*Arachis hypogaeae* L.), guarana (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.), and crotalaria (*Crotalaria juncea* L.). Twelve experimental plots with an area of 60 m<sup>2</sup> (6 m x 10 m) were implanted, with three replications, totaling 36 experimental plots sufficiently spaced to allow the maneuver of agricultural machines and implements.

Annually, before the implantation of maize-preceding crops between the months of January to March and the sweet maize 90 days later, the soil preparation was performed according to the management systems adopted.

CT was adopted the sequence: harrowing (grading of mixed discs), plowing (disc plowing) and re-harrowing. In MT, 1 or 2 harrows were used with open disc leveling, depending on the incidence of invasive plants. The NT was applied the non-tillage of the soil, and for the control of invasive we used broad-spectrum action herbicide (glyphosate) at the dosage associated with manual weeding, when necessary.

After the flowering of the predecessor crops, the biomass generated was cut and fed into each plot. In the fertilization of the previous crops, urea, triple superphosphate and potassium chloride were used, corresponding to 60, 80 and 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, of N, P and K, respectively. Urea was applied 50% at sowing and 50% at 30 days after germination of the seedlings.

Then, sweet maize (do-005 Dow Agrosience) was sown with a manual seeder, spacing 0.9 m between rows and 0.2 m between pits. The nutrients applied to these values were obtained based on the soil analysis and recommendations established for the maize crop, according to Sobral et al. [13]. Liming for soil acidity correction and calcium and magnesium supply were carried out based on the chemical analysis of the soil, following the technical recommendations for the maize crop in the state of Sergipe [13].

The maize yield-related parameters recorded were (i) number of spikes, (ii) number of productive plants, (iii) weight of green spikes, and (iv) relation between number of plants with each of the spikes and total number of plants and for each system of soil preparation and culture antecedent to the maize as well.

Fertilizers applied at sowing were urea (455 N), triple superphosphate (42% of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and potassium chloride (58% of K<sub>2</sub>O), corresponding to 120, 90 and 110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N, P and K, respectively. The application of urea was split in two i.e. 50% at sowing and 50% at 30 days after seedling germination.

All data were submitted to analysis of variance and the means were compared by Tukey's test at 5% probability using the Sisvar software [10].

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In relation to maize productivity the ANOVA detected the significant statistical difference between the cropping systems, as well as between the previous maize crop (Table not presented). Then, Turkey's test allowed the separation of means values of fresh maize cobs of the four different previous crops and the three different land preparation systems (Table 1).

From the data presented in Table 1, it was observed that the NT system, after eight years, yielded higher weight per hectare of commercial spikes of green maize compared to the other cultivated systems studied i.e. MT and CT (over 1/3 higher than the MT and 2.22 times more than the CT), under the edaphoclimatic conditions of the Sergipano Coastal Board. This superiority is attributed to the benefits inherent to such cultivation practice, for example: because the soil is not mobilized, the conservation of water in the soil profile for a longer period of time and quantity, probably due to the better biological activity that has taken place in the field, resulting in better soil structuring; lower rate of change of soil temperature; higher fertility of the layer explored by the roots due to the slower mineralization rate of the previous crops and less occurrence of losses of the layer due to water and wind erosion; greater maintenance of aggregate stability, improving soil structure; and lower subsurface compaction [14], due to the presence of the biological pores of the previous crops, mainly the pigeon pea and the crotalaria.

The NT system underwent a great technological evolution, faced with the requirements to ensure productions equivalent to or superior to those of conventional methods of soil preparation. These include the use of soil cover plants (green manures), adapted to local soil and climatic conditions, with nutrient fixation and / or recycling capacity, giving greater protection to the soil and greater productivity of the agronomic crop [15].

Thus, in addition to the management system adopted, the choice of the use of soil cover crops - previous crops also affects the productivity of agronomic crops. According to Duda et al. [16], the use of cover crops is an alternative to increase the sustainability of agricultural systems, and can restore considerable nutrients to crops, since these plants absorb nutrients from the subsurface layers of the soil and later release them into the soil surface layer by the decomposition of its residues.

Thus, hedging plants established with a suitable cropping system is a strategy for improving soil quality in agroecosystems and reducing the harmful effects of monoculture. Based on the data in Table 1, it can be observed that all previous crop species, both commercial crops (peanuts and beans) and soil cover crops (pigeon pea and crotalaria) caused statistically significant differences in yield values of maize cobs in each of the cropping systems studied.

In average terms, commercial crops promoted higher maize productivity compared to soil cover crops, when conventional (50% higher) and minimum (5% higher) cultivation systems were adopted. On the other hand, when the PD system was adopted, commercial crops (peanuts and beans), which when used prior to maize, provided higher yields (10% higher) of maize. Probably this result is due to the fact that the PD conservation system offers better soil conditions for the potential expression of the commercial crops that leave more significant benefits, and potentially more useful for the subsequent maize crop (Table 1).

**Table 1. Productivity of maize for different previous crops and different land preparations in season agrícola São Cristóvão – SE. 2008**

Culture in succession	Productivity (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	CT	MT	NT
Peanuts	2.353,00 d*	6.920,00 b	9.554,00 b
Beans	4.286,00 c	6.174,00 d	9.674,00 a
Crotalaria	5.103,00 a	7.263,00 a	9.004,00 c
Guandu	4.808,00 b	6.473,00 c	8.438,00 d
CV (%)	26,82	21,13	17,87

\* Means followed by the same letter do not differ from each other (Tukey test at 5% probability)

In this sense, one of the main challenges for the implementation of conservationist systems in the Coastal Tablelands Sergipano is the definition of species of plants of the cover of the ground adapted to the local edaphoclimatic conditions. These plants must at the same time have as outstanding characteristics, rapidity of establishment and phytomass production; ease of management [17]; aggressive and abundant root system with high biomass production contributing to reduce the effects of soil compaction a common problem in CT crops [5] possibility of commercial use; and potential of not hosting pests and diseases that attack the crop of agronomic interest, as well as support to soils with medium to low fertility, as is the average condition of the horizons A of the Ultissol coming from the barreiras sediments present in the coastal tablelands.

The advantage of the use of cover crops of the Fabaceae family, such as those used in this study, is linked to their biomass accumulation potential and their ability to supply nitrogen to the successor crop [18]. Vargas et al. [19] report that crops in precession to maize with low nitrogen availability compromise the development and yield of grains, due to the high carbon to nitrogen ratio (C/N), immobilizing a good part of the nitrogen present in the soil. This observation should also be taken into account for maize cultivation, aiming at an acceptable productivity, translating into nitrogen fertilizer economy by the rapid decomposition and utilization by the residual N maize available to the soil by the biological fixation.

In this sense, the forage of legume crops to maize within a system of conservationist cultivation like the NT favours the increase of the productivity, due to the improvement of the physical properties of the soil due to the effect of its root system and biomass; and improvement of its chemical behavior, due to the higher nitrogen input to the soil, since the crop is very demanding about this nutrient, translating into higher productivity, as obtained in the present experiment (Table 1).

Thus, it is observed that crotalaria when grown in succession to maize provided higher maize productivity in relation to commercial crops such as beans and peanuts in the cropping system in which there is soil revolving (CT and MT), characterizing itself as a plant of important coverage for the agroecosystems of the Sergipe coastal tablelands, that adopt these systems of

soil management [20] in a work carried out under Oxisol, cultivated in NT, involving crop rotation systems with seven different cover crops, identified that crop rotation positively influences the productivity of maize grown under NT when cultivated after sunbathing and vetch.

At the end of the eighth year of conduction, the NT system associated with the bean predecessor crop provided better maize yield, the peanut crop associated with the MT system occupied the second position in terms of the production of green maize in spikes (Table 1). Beans are among the plant species most associated with maize planting (in precession, succession and consortium), because they provide a positive economic income, thus being economically viable for income generation and with this, making it easier to acquire the technological package of maize.

In a study conducted under Oxisol, Nascente et al. [21] found that there is interaction between soil management and crop rotation under maize productivity, when adopted NT, considering two complete cycles of crop rotation (maize / common bean / maize / common bean). The authors observed relatively higher yields of maize and beans in relation to the other associations, which leads to higher gross income per hectare, corroborating with the results found in this study.

Differing from the results found in an experiment conducted by Silveira and Stone [22] in Latossolo Vermelho distroférico in the experimental farm of Embrapa in Santo Antônio de Goiás-Go, aiming to determine how much soil preparation systems and crop rotations (bean), affect the yield of maize, soybean and wheat grains, it was found that there was no effect of crop rotation on maize yield.

However, the antecedent culture of guandu did not provide high levels of maize production regardless of the management system adopted (Table 1). However, it stands out for its phytomass production, its cultivation on the Sergipe coastal tablelands being culturally very important for the exploitation of agroecosystems mainly in crops for family agriculture, reinforcing the potential of this crop in this agricultural region.

Therefore, maize cultivation, in succession to leguminous plants in conservationist systems such as NT and MT, can provide improvement in



soil quality, translating into higher productivity, increasing the profitability and sustainability level of agricultural activity. Thus, the relative high yield of maize reached in this study by the NT ( $9.2 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ), followed by the MT ( $6.7 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ), is justified, although limitations exist in the Sergipe coastal tablelands notably the presence of a cohesive layer of pedogenetic character and an irregular rainfall distribution (spatial and temporal variability), where 70% of the precipitation are concentrated in a continuous period of 5 to 6 months.

Linked to plant residues of cover crops, the cultural remains left by maize on the soil surface can be of great importance to the conservation of soil moisture, improving the microbiological activity of the soil, especially in the tropical regions. These residues also decrease soil losses due to erosion, since maize straw has a slower decomposition than legumes due to high C/N ratio, contributing to the reduction of decomposition rate and slower release of nutrients in the soil [23], with physical protection of soil, both radiation and raindrops.

The intense oxidation rate of organic matter, conditioned by high humidity and temperature for a good part of the year, typical conditions in the Sergipe coastal tablelands, provide the most transient "umbrella" effect of plant residues and the positive effects of organic matter on the soil by the rapid decomposition of the deposited phytomass, releasing nutrients that can be uptaken by maize. Thus, the legume consortium as covers plants and antecedents (low C/N ratio), with the residues of maize (high C/N ratio), the condition of the present experiment, can reconcile soil protection and fertilization, as well as the greater perenniality of these conditions [24]. In this way, the agroecosystem is established at an adequate level of resilience, thus promoting higher levels of sustainability without compromising the productive potential of the agronomic crops.

This improved balance of the agroecosystem in the NT has provided a lower incidence of spontaneous plants, pests and diseases, while the CT system, where there was intense soil rotation, besides providing lower maize productivity, showed a higher incidence of pests and spontaneous plants, probably due to the more stressful conditions for the maize crop. Correa et al. [25] in a study under the influence of the bean culture on the dynamics of spontaneous plants during the cycle of cultivation of organic maize under NT and CT systems,

under Ultissol, confirmed different effects of the bean straw and other antecedent crops under the quantity and variability of the population of spontaneous plants, as well as in the control of these plants. This is probably due to the selective character of the allelopathic effects of the residues of the previous cultures on the invasive ones, providing positive effects for the subsequent maize crop, reducing its population and consequent competition with the maize plants, as observed in the present study.

It is worth noting that maize productivity is determined among other factors by the number of plants, number of spikes per plant, accumulation of green mass in the spikes measured by weight (kg) and the relation between.

Number of plants with spikes and the total number of plants. This last parameter shows that although some crops may present a greater number of plants, which translates into greater phytomass production, this higher quantity will not always indicate a higher yield of spikes or grains.

Thus, when evaluating the potential of maize plants to produce commercial spikes of green maize at average per hectare under different cropping systems and after the succession of the four previous crops studied (Table 2) indicates that there were sensible numerical differences NT in relation to the other cultivation systems studied (5% between NT and MT and 4% between NT and CT). This was a result of the number of plants per hectare and number of plants with commercial spikes, showing that the NT provides better conditions for the development of the plants, resulting in a better stand, as these plants were able to express higher production of commercial spikes, translated by their statistically significant differences between their superior means for NT.

In this way, it was observed that the NT system presented, in addition to a larger number of plants, a higher number of commercial spikes, in relation to the other cultivation systems (CT and MT), and in the CT resulted in the lower quantities of both plants and spikes per hectare. These results are agreement with the results obtained in the third year of cultivation of the experiment, a behaviour that has been repeated, confirming NT for providing conditions for better maize development, translated into higher productivity and efficiency of the plants in this soil preparation system.

**Table 2. Number of maize plants, spikes per hectare and percentage of plants with commercial spikes in the different cropping systems 2008 in São Cristóvão – SE**

Cultivation system	Number of plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	Number of spikes ha <sup>-1</sup>	Number of plants with spikes / total plants (%)
CT	28683 c*	24632 c	85
MT	42559 b	35679 b	84
NT	44382 a	39679 a	89
CV (%)	23.91	21.83	10.1

\*Means followed by the same letter do not differ from each other (Tukey test at 5% probability).

The data observed in this study are also in agreement with the results found by Campos et al. [26], who affirm that NT provides larger plant populations and number of spikes per hectare when compared to the other cultivation systems. It is also worth noting that the NT increased production of 5,030.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of maize in relation to the CT and 2,460.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in relation to the MT, corresponding to an increase of 54.86 and 26.83%, respectively, in relation to ND.

The average productivity achieved in this study, even for conservation systems, is considered low in relation to the national average (12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), according to Pereira et al. [27]. When comparing the data obtained in the study with the results obtained by Luz et al. [7], on Oxisols in Uberlândia-MG, when evaluating the yield of spikes with straw and yield of eight hybrids of common and green maize as a function of harvest intervals with average productivity of 20,15 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> (26 days after flowering), confirm that the data in this study are below a potential level. It is worth mentioning that the markedly coastal soil conditions are of low fertility and drainage difficult in the period of intense rains (due to the presence of the textural B horizon) and in small summer, making water retention difficult (due to texture horizon A sandy), translating into low productivity.

Similar results were also found by Oliveira et al. [28], that also, studying green maize hybrids, obtained average yield of spikes with straw of 12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>; and by Albuquerque et al. [29], who also evaluated thirty six maize cultivars in Ijaci-MG, obtaining on average a yield of 13.03 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> of green maize cobs. It is understood that this difference is due to the local edaphoclimatic characteristics (of the Sergipe coastal tablelands), which as emphasized by Vian et al. [30] are responsible for 60% of the maize production, associated with the genetic characteristics of the hybrids studied, responsible for 40% of the productivity achieved.

In this way, the NT system, in addition to contributing to soil conservation, can guarantee a real possibility of profitability for farmers, with long-term cultivation, increasing maize productivity both for phytomass (for soil cover maintenance or production (Tables 1 and 2)), without raising the cost of production, evidencing the efficiency of this management system under the soil and climate conditions of the Sergipe coastal tablelands. It should be noted that crop productivity and consequently its financial profitability is one of the main determining factors for susceptibility in the adoption of new technologies.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Conservation management systems: NT (no-tillage) followed by MT (minimum tillage) provide higher yields of maize when compared to conventional cultivation, when associated with the presence of predecessor crops. From the predecessor crops studied for maize cultivation, crotalaria cultivation provides higher yields of maize in commercial spikes of green maize, under the systems of minimum cultivation and conventional cultivation. In the NT system, the bean's predecessor crop provides a bigger stand, maize productivity, and efficiency per plant of producing commercial spikes of green maize, markedly when compared to MT and mainly to CT.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Pacheco FAL, Fernandes LFS, Junior RFV, Valera CA, Pissara TCT. Lan degradation: Multiple environmental consequences and routes to neutrality. Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health. 2018;5:79-89.

2. Zamberlan JF, Zamberlan CO, Schuch Junior CMG, Kneipp JM. Produção e manejo agrícola: Impactos e desafios para sustentabilidade ambiental. *Revista Engenharia Sanitária e Ambiental*. 2014; 1(1):95-100.
3. Karlen DL, Mausbach MJ, Doran JW, Cline RG, Harris RF, Schuman GE. Soil quality: A concept, definition, and framework for evaluation. *Journal Soil Science Society of America*. 1997;61(1): 4-10.
4. Bajwa AA. Sustainable weed management in conservation agriculture. *Revista Crop Protection*. 2016;65:105-113.
5. Anselmo JL, Costa DS, Sá ME. Plantas de cobertura para região de cerrado. *Plantas de Cobertura*. 2013-2018;1(25):149-154.
6. Regitano-d'arce MAB, Spoto MHF, Castellucci ACL. Processamento e industrialização do milho para alimentação humana. *Revista Visão Agrícola*. 2015; 13(13):138-140.
7. Luz JMQ, Camilo JS, Barbieri VHB, Rangel RM, Oliveira RC. Produtividade de genótipos de milho e milho verde em função de intervalos de colheita. *Revista de Horticultura Brasileira*. 2014;32(2):163-167.
8. Cuenca MAG, Nazário CC, Mandarino DC. Aspectos agroeconômicos da cultura do milho: Características e evolução da cultura no estado de sergipe entre 1990 e 2003. Aracaju: Embrapa Tabuleiros Costeiros. 2005;33.
9. Oliveira FCC, Pedrotti A, Felix AGS, Souza JLS, Holanda FSR, Mello Junior AV. Características químicas de um argissolo e a produção de milho verde nos tabuleiros costeiros sergipanos. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias*. 2017;12(1):354-360.
10. Ferreira DFS. Sisvar 4.3. Available: <<http://www.dex.ufla.br>> (Accessed on 25 Novembro 2011)
11. Soil survey staff keys to soil taxonomy. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington DC; 2014.
12. Embrapa - empresa brasileira de pesquisa agropecuária - manual de métodos de análise de solo. Rio de Janeiro, Serviço Nacional de Levantamento e Conservação de Solos. 1997;212.
13. Sobral LF, Viégas PRA, Siqueira OJW, Anjos JL, Barreto, MCV, Gomes JBV. Recomendação para uso de corretivos e fertilizantes no Estado de Sergipe. Aracaju: Embrapa Tabuleiros Costeiros. 2007;251.
14. Silva JC, Camargo R, Mendonça LBP, Freitas MCM. Análise comparativa entre os sistemas de preparo do solo: Aspectos técnicos e Econômicos. *Revista Enciclopédia Biosfera*. 2011; 7(12):1-13.
15. Silva AA, Ferreira PRS, Suhre E, Argenta G, Strieder ML, Rambo I. Sistemas de coberturas de solo no inverno e seus efeitos sobre o rendimento de grãos do milho em sucessão. *Revista Ciência Rural*. 2007;37(4):928-935.
16. Duda GP, Guerra JGM, Monteiro MT, Depolli H, Teixeira MG. Perennial herbaceous legumes as live soil mulches and their effects on c, n and p of the microbial biomass. *Revista Scientia Agrícola*. 2003; 60(1):139-147.
17. Alvarenga RC, Cruz JC, Viana JM. Manejo de solos: Plantas de cobertura do solo. In: cruz, J. C. (ed.). *Cultivo do milho. Sistemas de produção, versão eletrônica* 7. Ed. Sete Lagoas: Embrapa Milho e Sorgo; 2011.
18. Carvalho WPDE, Carvalho GJDE, Abbade Neto DO, Teixeira IGV. Desempenho agrônomo de plantas de cobertura usadas na proteção do solo no período de pousio. *Revista Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*. 2013;48(2):157-166.
19. Vargas Lk, Selbach PA, Sá ELS. Imobilização de nitrogênio em solo cultivado com milho em sucessão à aveia preta nos sistemas plantio direto e convencional. *Revista ciência rural*. 2005;35(1):76-83.
20. Lourente ERP, Mercante FM, Marchetti ME, Souza LCF, Souza CMA, Gonçalves MC, Silva MAG. Rotação de culturas e relações com atributos químicos e microbiológicos do solo e produtividade do milho. *Revista Semina*. 2010;31(4):829-842.
21. Nascente AS, Silveira PM, Wander AE. Viabilidade agroeconômica de rotação de culturas e manejo do solo em áreas irrigadas por aspersão. *Revista de Ciências Agrárias*. 2014;57(1):72-79.
22. Silveira PMDA, Stone LF. Sistemas de preparo do solo e rotação de culturas na produtividade de milho, soja e Trigo. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*. 2003;7(2):240-244.
23. Silva JAN, Souza CMA, Silva CJ, Bottega SP. Crescimento e produção de espécies forrageiras consorciadas com pinhão-mansão. *Revista Pesquisa*



- Agropecuária Brasileira. 2012;47(6):769-775.
24. Oliveira TK, Carvalho GJ, Moraes RNS. Plantas de cobertura do solo e seus efeitos sobre o feijoeiro em plantio direto. *Revista Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*. 2002;37(8):1079-1087.
25. Correa MLP, Galvão JCC, Fontanetti A, Lemos JP, Conceição PM. Interferência do feijão-de-porco na dinâmica de plantas espontâneas no cultivo do milho orgânico em sistemas de plantio direto e convencional. *Revista Brasileira de Agroecologia*. 2014;9(1):160-172.
26. Campos MMC, Silva VADA, Cavalcante IHL, Beckmann MZ. Produtividade e características agronômicas de cultivares de milho safrinha sob plantio direto no estado de goiás. *Revista Acadêmica Ciências Animal*. 2010;8(1):77-84.
27. Pereira Filho IA, Cruz JC, Silva ARDA, Costa RV, Cruz I. Milho verde. Agência  
embrapa de informação tecnológica. Embrapa; 2015.  
Available:<http://www.agencia.cnptia.embrapa.br//milhoverde>.  
(Accessed on 25 Janeiro 2017)
28. Oliveira Junior LFG, Deliza R, Bressan-smith R, Pereira MG, Chiquiere TB. Seleção de genótipos de milho mais promissores para o consumo in natura. *Revista Ciência de Tecnologia de Alimentos*. 2006;26(1):159-165.
29. Albuquerque CJ, Von Pinho JB, Borges ID, Souza Filho AX, Fiorine IVA. Desempenho de híbridos experimentais e comerciais de milho para produção de milho verde. *Revista Ciências e Agrotecnologia*. 2008; 32(3):768-775.
30. Vian AL, Santi AL, Amado TJC, Cherubin MR, Simon DH, Damian JM, Bredemeier C. Variabilidade espacial da produtividade de milho irrigado e sua correlação com variáveis explicativas de planta. *Revista Ciência Rural*. 2016;46(3):464-471.

© 2018 Pedrotti et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/26239>*