

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 12, Page 1087-1094, 2023; Article no.IJECC.110646 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Fight Against Hidden Hunger: Boosting Zinc in Potato through Biofortification in Calcareous Soil of Bihar, India

Mayank Srivastava ^{a*}, Mayank Mani Tripathi ^a, Sunil Kumar ^{b++} and Amrendra Kumar ^{b++}

^a Department of Agronomy, PGCA, Dr. RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar, India. ^b Department of Agronomy, TCA Dholi, Dr. RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i123772

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110646

Original Research Article

Received: 14/10/2023 Accepted: 19/12/2023 Published: 26/12/2023

ABSTRACT

Micronutrients are often overlooked during fertilizer application, which reduces the content of micronutrients in the soil and crops. The concentration of micronutrients in our meals is decreasing considerably, creating several abnormalities in human body and deterioration of human health, although we consume adequate amount of food, we do not get enough nutrients, which is labeled as hidden hunger. To increase the micronutrient concentration in potato crop, particularly, Zinc, this research was conducted in the *Rabi* season of 2022 at TCA-Dholi, Dr. RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar. *Kufri Khyati*, a popular variety in Northern Bihar was given ten treatments and replicated four times, in Randomized Block Design (RBD), experimental design. The concentration of Zn in leaves (95.45 ppm), in tubers (31.50 ppm), SPAD meter reading at 45 DAP, 60 DAP and 75 DAP (49.29, 42.04, 37.11, respectively), yield of tubers (25.17 t ha⁻¹), and B:C ratio (2.97) were maximum in treatment T_{10} (Zn-EDTA @ 4g litre⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP).

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 1087-1094, 2023

⁺⁺ Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: Mayank97128@gmail.com; mayanksri109@gmail.com;

Keywords: Agronomic; Bihar; biofortification; SPAD meter; zinc.

1. INTRODUCTION

The botanical name for the potato is Solanum tuberosum, belonging to the Solanaceae family, known as the "nightshade" family. It is a member of the section Petota of the genus Solanum. two subspecies There are of Solanum tuberosum: andigena, which is suited to shortday conditions, and tuberosum, which is currently grown all over the world. Potato is one of the most important crops after rice and wheat in world, and in India it is mainly grown in the states of Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Karnataka, West Bengal, Bihar, and Assam, with cultivated area of 2.05 mha. In Bihar, the cultivation area is 0.32 mha, with production of 5.75 million tonnes. Bihar lacks in productivity as compared to national average of 23.68 t ha-1, with productivity of 17.93 t ha-1. (Department of Agriculture Cooperation and Famers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, 2020)

Zinc controls ribosomal fraction stabilisation, cytochrome synthesis. hydrogenase and carbonic anhydrase activity, and hydrogenase activity to influence plant metabolism. Zn activates plant enzymes involved in pollen generation, protein synthesis, auxin production control, and glucose metabolism. For plants to be able to tolerate environmental stresses, Zn is crucial for the control and maintenance of gene expression. Plant abnormalities brought on by its deficiency include stunted growth, chlorosis, decreased leaf size, and spikelet sterility. Lack of Zn. a micronutrient, can also have an adverse effect on the quality of the product that is harvested, as can plant susceptibility to damage from excessive light or temperature intensity, as well as fungal infection [1].

Biofortification is an approach for increasing the concentration of certain micronutrients, such as Zinc, in food crops by the application of agronomic, genetic, techniques or [2]. Consuming staple foods that have been biofortified would improve the adequacy of Zinc intake for those whose intakes are insufficient. Crops are affected, productivity is severely decreased due to low Zinc content in most agricultural soils [3], and problems with public health develops over time. More than 33% of the world's population suffers from deficiency of Zinc, which raises the danger of problems including

infectious diseases, DNA damage, delayed development, and immuno-incompetence in people [4].

Potato. has а nutrient constituent of Carbohydrate 20.13 g, Protein 1.87 g, Fibre 1.8 g, Fat 0.1 g, Potassium 379 mg, Phosphorus 44 mg, Vitamin C 13 mg, Fe 0.4 mg, Zn 0.3 mg, Calcium 5 mg, Riboflavin 0.02 mg, Thiamine 0.10 mg, Niacin 1.44 mg' (Potato Nutrition - International Potato Centre, Lima, Peru, 2011)

In Bihar region, currently a limited amount of data and knowledge on the agronomic biofortification of potatoes is available. This research will assist to determine the possibilities of Zinc biofortification of potatoes. Limited availability of essential micronutrients in Bihar soil due to poor organic content in soil, high pH, and CaCO₃ content, which leads to Fe, Mn, and Zinc deficiency. Concerning the nutritious value of potatoes, it is to prioritise biofortification as a key job for potato valorisation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site

The research was conducted in Rabi season of 2022 at TCA, Dholi, Dr. RPCAU, Bihar. The experimental location is located at 25, 99° North and 85.61° East, nearby Burhi Gandak, a tributary of Gandak river, at an elevation of 53 m ASL. The soil was calcareous-alluvium and somewhat alkaline as a result of sediment deposition by the Burhi Gandak River. Across the depth of the soil profile, the sediment from Gandak often includes a high proportion of free CaCO3, ranging from 10 to 45 percent. The experimental site comes under a sub-humid, subtropical climatic condition with modest rainfall, hot dry summer, and cold winter. During the crop season. the minimum and maximum temperatures recorded were ranged from 7.6°C to 18.70°C and 16.4°C to 30.6°C, respectively. The highest and lowest RH (%) of the experimental area ranged between 91.8 and 66.7% respectively, during the crop season (2022-23).

2.2 Treatment Details

Treatment comprised of several forms of Zinc fertilizer and methods of application.

T₁- Control; T₂- ZnSO₄ @ 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ incorporated in soil at planting; T₃- ZnSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ soil incorporated at planting; T₄- ZnSO₄ @ 25 g litre⁻¹ foliar application at 25 DAP; T₅- ZnSO₄ @ 2g litre⁻¹ foliar application at 25 and 50 DAP; T₆- T₂ + ZnSO₄ @ 2g litre⁻¹ foliar application at 25 DAP; T₇- T₂ + ZnSO₄ @ 2g litre⁻¹ foliar application at 25 and 50 DAP; T₈- ZnO tuber treatment for 12 hours before planting; T₉- Chelated Zinc @ 4 g litre⁻¹ at 25 DAP; T₁₀- Chelated Zinc @ 4 g litre⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP.

The study trial was conducted using a randomized-block design (RBD) with four number of replications, using a net plot of 10 for each replication, and a gross plot of 40. Gross plot size was 4.8×4.0 (m), and net plot size was 3.6×3.6 (m), with a spacing of 60×20 (cm), and the recommended of fertilizer, which was applied in all treatments for NPK was 150:90:100.

2.3 SPAD Meter Reading and Chemical Analysis with AAS

The SPAD metre calculates SPAD value by comparing the red (650 nm) and infrared (940 nm) light transmittance through the leaf. The contents of chlorophyll reflect leaf photosynthesis ability and plant health condition [5]. Early in the morning and late at night, 20–30 samples from each treatment were used to measure the SPAD value of fully opened topmost leaves.

Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS), in both flame and electrothermal modes, is one of the best methods for determination of the metal concentrations in various specimens that dissolved in acid [6]. Plant samples were collected from the treated plots and oven-dried in hot air oven for 24 hours followed by grinding in mortar and pestle. The grinded samples were mixed with di-acid solution of Sulphuric Acid and Nitric Acid in the ratio of 4:1, and then put onto Hot-Plate apparatus for uniform and flame-less heating of the samples. The mixture of samples with di-acid was reduced, and the final volume obtained was 5 ml, for every sample. AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer) was used to determine the Zinc content in the samples [7].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 SPAD Meter Reading of Leaves

There was significant difference among treated plots and control (given in Table 1). SPAD meter reading of leaves were recorded at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. Significant difference was observed between the Zinc treated and control plot, where control plot i.e., T₁ had minimum SPAD reading of 43.98, whereas T_{10} had the maximum reading of 49.29 at 45 DAP. At 60 DAP, the maximum reading was observed in T₁₀ of 42.04, while treatment T₁ (Control) showed minimum value of 37.98. At 75 DAP, the maximum value was recorded in T₁₀ of 37.11. Zinc, a co-factor for the proper functioning of pigment biosynthesis as well as component of proteins and enzymes, is responsible for the increased chlorophyll concentration, as determined from the SPAD metre value reading (Balashouri, 1995).

This finding was similar with observations represented by Samreen et al. [8], in mungbean crop. Zheng et al. [9] in his research work also showed that SPAD values decrease as the growing season progresses in potato crop.

S.no	. Treatment Details	45 DAP	60 DAP	75 DAP
T ₁	Control (No Zn)	43.98	37.98	31.73
T ₂	ZnSO ₄ @ 12.5 kg ha ⁻¹ at the time of planting (soil)	46.98	40.33	34.19
T ₃	ZnSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ at the time of planting (soil)	48.65	41.78	36.17
T_4	ZnSO ₄ @ 2 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 DAP (foliar)	45.52	38.87	32.35
T_5	ZnSO4 @ 2 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP (foliar)	47.96	41.65	35.34
T_6	T_2 + ZnSO ₄ @ 2 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 DAP (foliar)	47.04	40.28	34.79
T_7	T_2 + ZnSO ₄ @ 2 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP (foliar)	47.05	40.40	34.45
T ₈	ZnO (4%) tuber treatment for 12 hours, before planting	45.84	39.22	33.06
T ₉	Chelated Zn foliar application @ 4 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 DAP	47.28	40.61	34.93
T ₁₀	Chelated Zn foliar application @ 4 g liter ¹ at 25 and 50 DAP	49.29	42.04	37.11
SEm (±)		1.65	1.42	1.20
LSD (<i>p</i> =0.05)		4.80	4.12	3.49

3.2 Zinc Concentration in Soil Before and at Harvest

No significant differences were among treatment before sowing of crop, whereas at harvest significant differences were among treatments, due to various Zinc fertilizer application, where T_3 had highest Zinc of 0.76 ppm, while lowest was in T_1 Control (No Zinc) with 0.43 ppm, a striking distinction among the foliar application technique of Zn fertilisation and the soil application approach. ZnSO₄ soil application was generally most effective nutrient management technique for increasing soil Zn concentration. The results are quite similar to those that were reported by Durgude et al. [10], Behera et al. [11].

3.3 Zinc Concentration in Leaves at 65 DAP (ppm)

Treatments had different concentrations in leaves at 65 DAP, due to various Zn fertilization sources, where T₁₀ (Chelated Zn @ 4 g liter⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP) had significantly highest amount of Zn concentration of 95.45 ppm, while control plot had 49.69 ppm of Zn concentrations, whereas T₅ and T₉ with concentration of 93.32 and 89.99 ppm, respectively were statistically at par with treatment T_{10} . Due to higher absorptivity brought on by chelating agents, chelated Zinc was better retained in leaves. Zinc absorption is affected by phosphorus, but chelated Zinc performed better, even in the presence of phosphorus. The increase in Zinc concentration might be explained by the significantly greater amount of Zinc absorption seen with Zinc-EDTA treatment compared to ZnSO₄ application. Additionally, Zinc-EDTA was more effective in mobilising Zinc than ZnSO₄ for crop absorption. Therefore, biofortification of Zinc was more in treatments, where chelated Zinc was used, as compared to plots having application of ZnSO₄, either through soil or foliar [12].

3.4 Zinc Concentration in Tubers at Harvest (ppm)

T₁₀ (Chelated Zn @ 4 g liter⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP) had maximum concentration of Zn in tubers of 31.50 ppm, whereas $T_3,\ T_5$ and T_9 had concentrations of 30.80, 30.80 and 29.70 ppm, were statistically at par with T₁₀ as shown in Fig 1. The Zinc content in treated plots was considerably high as compared to control plot, with T₁₀ showing 62% more biofortification of Zinc mineral than control. This research showed that Zinc content in potato tubers can be considerably increased through Zinc fertilizers, although one more study could be done, of, how much Zinc remains after cooking of the potato. Similar findings were observed by Lerna et al. [13]. Hadi et al. [14] and White et al. [15] in potato crop, where foliar Zn treatment led to higher Zn content in the leaves and tubers.

3.5 Benefit Cost ratio

Among all treatments, T_{10} had maximum B:C of 2.97, while T_8 had minimum benefit cost ratio of 2.05, while T_3 , T_5 , T_6 , T_7 and T_9 were statistically at par with T_{10} . The highest B:C ratio was obtained with foliar spray of chelated Zn @ 4 g liter⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP, which was similar to the findings of Naik and Das [16] in rice.



Fig. 1. Zinc concentration in leaves at 65 DAP and in tubers at harvest (ppm)

3.6 Total yield of Tubers (in t ha⁻¹)

Zinc fertilization had a substantial impact on the yield in different treatments (given in Fig. 2) with T₁₀ having the most significant yield of 25.17 t ha-¹ and T₁ having the lowest of 20.88 t ha⁻¹, while T_2 , T_3 , T_5 , T_6 , T_7 , and T_9 were statistically equal to T₁₀ in terms of yield. The effect that Zn plays in carbohydrate metabolism may be the most significant factor contributing to the increase in tuber yield caused by Zn intake. The increase in tuber production caused on Zinc by supplementation may be primarily attributed to the function Zinc performs in carbohydrate metabolism. Zinc enhances the concentration of starch and glucose in plant tissue, that will enhance production, by contributing to the production of carboxyl phosphate and RNA polymerase enzymes. Zinc helps plants develop vegetatively and increases their capacity for photosynthesis. These improvements allow for improved translocation of synthesized materials

throughout the plant, which boosts overall growth and growth characteristics. Zinc has a stimulating effect on vegetative growth and may have an impact on the productive section (tuber), which might account for its influence on yield-related factors. This result was supported by Nag [17] and Patel [18] and similar findings were also observed by Namini et al. [19] in potato crop.

3.7 Haulm Yield (t ha⁻¹)

 T_{10} statistically had the highest haulm yield of 11.41 t ha⁻¹ (given in Fig. 2), among treatments, whereas T₁ (Control) had the lowest yield of 9.55 t ha⁻¹. Treatments T₂, T₃, T₅, T₆, T₇, and T₉ were statistically equal to treatment T₁₀ in this regard. Higher harvest yield may have resulted from a significant increase in growth and yield-related traits like plant height and number of tubers plant⁻¹. These results were consistent with the observations and conclusions of Chaudhary et al. (2019).



Fig. 2. Total yield, haulm yield, marketable yield, and harvest index (H.I)

S.no.	Treatment Details	Before	After
		Sowing	Harvest
T₁	Control (No Zn)	0.48	0.43
T ₂	ZnSO ₄ @ 12.5 kg ha ⁻¹ at the time of planting (soil)	0.46	0.64
T ₃	ZnSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ at the time of planting (soil)	0.44	0.76
T ₄	ZnSO ₄ @ 2 g liter ¹ at 25 DAP (foliar)	0.45	0.49
T ₅	ZnSO ₄ @ 2 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP (foliar)	0.43	0.52
T_6	T_2 + ZnSO ₄ @ 2 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 DAP (foliar)	0.45	0.67
T 7	T_2 + ZnSO ₄ @ 2 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP (foliar)	0.49	0.7
T ₈	ZnO (4%) tuber treatment for 12 hours, before planting	0.42	0.46
T ₉	Chelated Zn foliar application @ 4 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 DAP	0.44	0.46
T 10	Chelated Zn foliar application @ 4 g liter ⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP	0.43	0.47
SEm (±)		0.02	0.02
LSD (<i>p</i> =0.05) 0.05 0.06			0.06

3.8 Harvest Index

Although the H.I was non-significant (given in Fig. 2), it was found that T_6 had the highest harvest index of 69.67 and T_5 had the lowest of 67.91. This might be due to the higher photosynthetic rate during the tuberization period and partitioning of photosynthates to sink.

3.9 Physical and Chemical Properties of Soil Before Sowing and After Harvesting

There were no statistical differences among pH, EC (dS m⁻¹), Organic Carbon (%) and CaCO₃ (%) of various treatments, before sowing and after harvest of potato crop, this result is in accordance with Gajbhiye et al. [20] and Keram et al. [21], Anonymous [22], Karak et al. [23], Kromann et al. [24] where they found the similar findings in maize and wheat, respectively [25,26].

4. CONCLUSION

Potato being one of the most important and widely consumed vegetable, is often ignored with respect to its nutrition content. Biofortification of potato, would not only enhance the nutritive value of potato, but also the boost health and immunity of the people at grass root level. Application of Zinc would enhance the growth and development of the crop, fertility status of soil enhanced efficiencv and of other applied fertilizers, thus, giving higher economic returns.

- The maximum concentration of Zn in leaves at 65 DAP and in tubers at harvest, were 95.45 ppm and 31.50 ppm respectively, found in T₁₀ where chelated Zn-EDTA was applied @ 4 g litre⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP.
- The best B:C ratio was obtained by T₁₀ having application of chelated Zn-EDTA @ 4g litre⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP.
- The maximum SPAD reading was observed in T₁₀ (Chelated Zn @ 4 g liter⁻¹ at 25 and 50 DAP), of 42.04, while treatment T₁ (Control) showed minimum value of 37.98 at 60 DAP.

5. RECOMMENDATION

This experimental conclusion was based on oneyear investigation. Performing this experiment for more than three years continuously will provide a solid conclusion on the response of distinct sources of Zinc fertilizers, in biofortification of potato and on growth and production of potato crop. Although based on one year result, recommendation may be given of Chelated Zinc @ 4g per litre, with two-times application, one at 25 DAP and the another one at 50 DAP, to farmers and potato growers, with aim to improve zinc status of potato.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am extremely grateful to 'Bajrang Bali' for his blessings, strength and good spirit to complete my work successfully. It is my pleasure to express deep sense of gratitude, respect and heartfelt devotion to Dr. Sunil Kumar, Dr. Amrendra Kumar, Dr. Santosh Kumar Singh, and Prof. Dr. V.K Sharma, for their valuable suggestions, support, and guidance at different stages of research. I allocate the highest respect and heartful regards from inner core of my heart to ever-loved parents Shri. Murli Manohar Srivastava and Smt. Anita Srivastava who gave me life, taught the concept of life and their continuous dedicated effort to educate me to up to this level.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Al Jabri H, Saleem MH, Rizwan M, Hussain I, Usman K, Alsafran M. Zinc oxide nanoparticles and their biosynthesis: overview. Life. 2022;12(4):594.
- Bouis HE. Biofortification: An agricultural tool to address mineral and vitamin deficiencies. In Food fortification in a globalized world. Academic Press. 2018: 69-81.
- 3. Hafeez BMKY, Khanif YM, Saleem M. Role of Zinc in plant nutrition-a review. American journal of experimental Agriculture. 2013;3(2):374.
- 4. Bhowmik D, Chiranjib KP, Kumar S. A potential medicinal importance of Zinc in human health and chronic. International Journal of Pharmacy. 2010;1(1):05-11.
- 5. Vos J, Bom M. Hand-held chlorophyll meter: a promising tool to assess the nitrogen status of potato foliage. Potato research. 1993;36(4):301-308.

- Visser; 2021.
 Available:https://www.technologynetworks. com/analysis/articles/atomic-absorptionspectroscopy-principles-and-applications-356829
- Uddin AH, Khalid RS, Alaama M, Abdualkader AM, Kasmuri A, Abbas SA. Comparative study of three digestion methods for elemental analysis in traditional medicine products using atomic absorption spectrometry. Journal of Analytical Science and Technology. 2016; 7:1-7.
- Samreen T, Shah HU, Ullah S, Javid M. Zinc effect on growth rate, chlorophyll, protein, and mineral contents of hydroponically grown mungbeans plant (Vigna radiata). Arabian Journal of Chemistry. 2017;10: S1802-S1807.
- Zheng HL, Liu YC, Qin YL, Yang CHEN, Fan MS. Establishing dynamic thresholds for potato nitrogen status diagnosis with the SPAD chlorophyll meter. Journal of Integrative Agriculture. 2015;14(1):190-195.
- 10. Durgude AG, Kadam SR, Pharande AL. Response of hybrid maize to soil and foliar application of Fe and zinc on Entisols. Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2014;9(1):36-40.
- Behera SK, Singh D, Dwivedi BS, Singh S, Kumar K, Rana DS. Distribution of fractions of zinc and their contribution towards availability and plant uptake of zinc under long-term maize (*Zea mays* L.)– wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cropping on an Inceptisol. Soil Research. 2008;46(1): 83-89.
- 12. Dhakal R, Shrestha AK. Effect of foliar application of zinc on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in Bhaktapur, Nepal. Agric. Res. Tech. 2019;22(3): 556202.
- Ierna A, Pellegrino A, Mauro RP, Leonardi C. Micronutrient foliar fertilization for the biofortification of raw and minimally processed early potatoes. Agronomy. 2020;10(11):1744.
- 14. Hadi MR, Taheri R, Balali GR. Effects of Fe and Zinc fertilizers on the accumulation of Fe and Zinc ions in potato tubers. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2015;38 (2):202-211.
- 15. White PJ, Broadley MR, Hammond JP, Ramsay G, Subramanian NK, Thompson

J, Wright G. Bio-fortification of potato tubers using foliar Zinc-fertiliser. The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology. 2012;87(2):123-129.

- Naik SK, Das DK. Relative performance of chelated zinc and zinc sulphate for lowland rice (Oryza sativa L.). Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems. 2008;81(3): 219-227.
- 17. Nag GP, Sarnaik DA, Verma SK, Tamrakar SK. Integrated nutrient management in potato for Chhattisgarh plains. M. Sc. (Ag) Thesis: 2006;94-95.
- Patel RN, Zapadiya DM, Patel, J. K. and Patel MG. Kufri Khyati: An Early Maturing Potato Variety for North Gujarat. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science, 2019;8 (10):1935-1939.
- 19. Namini SKA, Malakouti J, Ghavidel A. The effect of Zinc chelates and Potassium sulfate topdressing on alleviating the Cadmium (Cd) and Nitrate (NO 3-) toxicity in potato tubers of Ardabil province, Iran. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Food Technology, 2021;7(1): 034-042.
- 20. Gajbhiye PN, Bulbule AV, Kumbhar CT. Effect of Zinc Fertilizer Levels and Application Methods on Zinc Fractions and Grain Yield of Maize. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2018;7(11):1-12.
- 21. Keram KS, Sharma BL, Sawarkar SD. Impact of Zn application on yield, quality, nutrients uptake and soil fertility in a medium deep black soil (vertisol). International journal of science, Environment and Technology. 2012;1(5): 563-571.
- 22. Anonymous, Department of Agriculture Cooperation and Famers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare; 2020.
- Karak T, Singh UK, Das S, Das DK, Kuzyakov Y. Comparative efficacy of ZnSO₄ and Zinc-EDTA application for fertilization of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 2005;51(3): 253-264.
- 24. Kromann P, Valverde F, Alvarado S, Vélez R, Pisuña J, Potosí B, Devaux A. Can Andean potatoes be agronomically biofortified with Fe and Zinc fertilizers? Plant and Soil. 2017;411(1) :121-138.

Srivastava et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 1087-1094, 2023; Article no.IJECC.110646

- 25. Kumar A, Kubota Y, Chernov M, Kasuya H. Potential role of zinc supplementation in prophylaxis and treatment of COVID-19. Medical hypotheses, 2020;144:109848.
- 26. White PJ, Broadley MR. Physiological limits to Zinc biofortification of edible crops. Frontiers in plant science. 2011; 2:80.

© 2023 Srivastava et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110646