

Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science

Volume 16, Issue 4, Page 239-256, 2023; Article no.AJRCOS.108612 ISSN: 2581-8260

# Navigating the Modernization of Legacy Applications and Data: Effective Strategies and Best Practices

## Sivakumar Ponnusamy a++\* and Dinesh Eswararaj b++

<sup>a</sup> Cognizant Technology Solutions, Richmond, VA, USA. <sup>b</sup> Compunnel Inc, Irvine, California, USA.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJRCOS/2023/v16i4386

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108612</u>

**Review Article** 

Received: 03/09/2023 Accepted: 06/11/2023 Published: 09/11/2023

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** This research offers an in-depth exploration of the hurdles organizations face during legacy application modernization. The investigation delves into the primary motivations behind modernization, delineates the associated challenges, and proposes viable strategies and best practices to mitigate these issues.

**Study Design:** This is a Review Article which synthesizes and critically assesses a broad array of sources relevant to legacy application modernization. It amalgamates insights from various studies, offering a comprehensive overview and analysis of existing literature to derive meaningful conclusions and recommendations. Through this approach, the article provides a holistic understanding of the challenges and strategies associated with modernizing legacy systems.

Place and Duration of Study: This global study was conducted over eight years, from January 2016 to August 2023.

**Methodology:** This research uses a literature review to collect data. In the literature review process, a comprehensive array of data collection methods is strategically employed to ensure the

++Senior Data Engineer;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: psivakumarmca@gmail.com;

Asian J. Res. Com. Sci., vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 239-256, 2023

acquisition of a diverse and pertinent body of knowledge concerning the challenges associated with modernizing legacy applications and the effective strategies and best practices to address them. It starts with searching in extensive Online Databases and Repositories, using Keyword Searches and Citation Tracking to find the relevant literature. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses give structured synthesis, while manual searches collect real-world case studies. Grey Literature supplements insights, and Evidence-Based Practices ensure rigor. Thematic Analysis sorts findings, whereas Data Management arranges data, and the Critical Appraisal Skills programme evaluates the credibility of sources. This approach is an important starting point for modernizing legacy systems and developing effective policies and guidelines.

**Results:** The research identifies business necessities and technological advancements as the predominant catalysts for modernization. It further elucidates the obstacles encountered by organizations during this transition, such as the intricacies of data migration, the complexity inherent in legacy systems, and issues related to user acceptance and integration. The investigation also delves into potential strategies and best practices to navigate these challenges, emphasizing the significance of selecting the right modernization approach.

**Conclusion:** The existing research underscores that although the path to modernizing legacy applications has obstacles, they can be navigated successfully through astute planning, strategic decision-making, and adept execution. In doing so, organizations have the potential to metamorphose their dated systems into valuable tools that resonate with current business demands and the latest technological advancements.

Keywords: Legacy application modernization; data modernization; modernization strategies; data migration; user acceptance.

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE ARTICLES

- *Cl/CD* : Continuous Integration/Continuous Deployment a set of practices of software development aiming at the automation of building, testing and delivery of applications.
- **DevOps** : A philosophy for developing and operating software that emphasizes communications, collaborations, integrations, and automations b/w the software's developer's and ITs operation's personnel's to streamline the development and deployment of high-quality software.
- **SLO** : Service Level Objective A set of criteria that describe the level of service quality that the user or client expects.
- *IT* : "I have been in the same position, but that is no excuse for being rude."
- **CASP** : Critical Appraisal Skills Programme.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The modernization of legacy computational systems in computer science is an area which has been changing for a long time. These systems typically support essential research or practical solutions components, which, however, require more and more effort to maintain, extend, and evolve with changing needs. A legacy system is any computing software, hardware, or combination derived from previous language, platform, and methodology generations. While such systems usually keep functioning and fulfil some purposes within the enterprise, they tend to degrade over time in terms of their reliability, maintainability, and interoperability with new technology trends. There are multiple reasons why it is necessary to modernize: As old systems age, they become more at risk due to modern

cyber-attacks, which were never intended to be prevented by the system's initial architecture. They are typically unable to scale with growing business needs, resulting in operational bottlenecks and impeding growth. As vendors stop supporting the old systems, the enterprises become unsupported for updates, making them increasingly obsolete to the newest tech.

On the other hand, legacy systems tend to weigh organizations down. They generally necessitate expertise to run, which costs more and can become rare when the tech becomes obsolete. In addition, these systems may not be easily integrated with other new technologies resulting in data silos and inoperative inefficiencies. Thus, modernization cannot be considered an option but a need. It leverages emerging innovations, like cloud computing, analytics and AI, improving operating costs, scalability, and organizational flexibility. However, that is not the point; the objective is for them to move from liability to an asset in driving business value and innovation.

Legacy systems are often the backbone of an organization's IT infrastructure, serving critical functions that keep operations running smoothly. However, these systems, often decades old, face challenges such as a lack of compatibility with newer technologies, scalability issues, security vulnerabilities, and high maintenance costs. As technology evolves rapidly, organizations find themselves at a crossroads: to modernize these legacy systems or continue to pour resources into increasingly obsolete technology. The crux of the issue lies in the need for legacy systems to modern-day requirements adapt to and technologies. These outdated systems often need more flexibility, scalability and essential features for implementing modern business processes. This leads to reduced competitiveness in today's digital marketplace, increased risk of security breaches, and rising costs due to maintenance and compliance challenges. The proposed solution involves adopting a multi-faceted approach to modernize legacy systems, with strategies tailored to each organization's unique circumstances. These strategies range from encapsulation, where the legacy system is made to interact with newer technologies, to complete re-architecture, where the system is overhauled to align with current needs and future scalability. Alongside these strategies, implementing best practices like comprehensive assessments, clear requirements, effective change management, and rigorous testing can contribute to a smoother and more effective modernization process.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

In this research, a systematic methodology was emploved ensure comprehensive to а understanding of legacy application modernization. The initial phase. 'Data Collection', concentrated on discerning the underlying need for modernization and identifying the primary drivers pushing organizations toward this endeavor. This foundational phase was instrumental in setting the context for the subsequent in-depth 'Data Analysis'. During this phase, the primary focus was on elucidating various modernization-related challenges. This included delving into issues related to the legacy data stacks, challenges faced during user acceptance, hurdles encountered during data migrations, and the complexities in selecting the most appropriate modernization strategy. With the challenges and issues well-defined, the 'Results & Discussion' phase was embarked upon. This phase emphasized the different approaches for seamlessly integrating new technologies and applications. Also, it provided insights into making informed decisions regarding selecting the right modernization



Fig. 1. Methodologies

strategy. The culmination of the research was the 'Conclusion' phase, where a holistic overview of the modernization options was presented, along with best practices to be followed. Furthermore, based on the research findings, actionable recommendations were provided to guide organizations their legacy application in modernization journey.

## 2.1 Busines Drivers

The global business landscape is incredibly dynamic, with new competitors, new customer and new expectations. global challenges emerging rapidly. In such an environment, agility, scalability and innovation are the survival and growth. Legacy systems, unfortunately, act as a bottleneck in these areas [1]. For instance, older systems often need more features and flexibility to integrate with modern e-commerce platforms and customer relationship management (C.R.M.) systems, which are essential to a high-quality customer experience. This may also mean that the organization's ability to pivot or scale effectively may need to improve as the organization tries to move towards new business models and processes [2].

Moreover, maintaining legacy systems usually costs higher operational costs. These systems often require specialized maintenance skills that are increasingly hard to find and expensive. There are the downtime costs, which in today's business world can be particularly 24/7 damaging. Lastly, legacy systems can impact decision-making. They generally need more and real-time advanced analytics data processing capabilities for quick decisions. The result is often missed opportunities and reduced competitiveness [3]. For these reasons, the business reasons behind legacy application modernization are compelling. By modernizing, organizations can better align their I.T. strategy with their goals, reduce costs, and increase their agility and responsiveness to market changes [4].

## 2.2 Technology/IT Drivers

In Search Table 1, it is evident that the rapid emergence of technologies such as cloud computing, the Internets of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AIs), and block chain is reshaping the business landscape [5]. Not only are these innovations transforming operations, but they also highlight the limitations of legacy systems that must be designed for today's technological prowess or cybersecurity challenges [6]. The

vulnerability of these dated systems is further exacerbated by vendors discontinuing support for older software versions, leading to integration and issue resolution hurdles [7]. Moreover, the inherent inefficiencies of legacy systems, coupled with their incompatibility with efficient technologies like virtualization and containerization, can strain resources and inflate costs [8]. Such technological obsolescence also deters newer IT professionals, who are more adept with modern tools and technologies [9]. Therefore. modernizing legacy applications transcends merely adapting to technological trends-it's a strategic move to future-proof ensuring they organizations, harness contemporary technologies for enhanced efficiency, robust security, sustained and innovation [10.

## 2.3 Legacy System Modernization Challenges

Literature Review Table 2 showcases that modernizing legacy systems is a complex endeavor fraught with numerous challenges that can significantly impact the project's success. While the end goal is to achieve a more agile, efficient, and secure infrastructure, organizations often grapple with technical intricacies and operational issues. These challenges range from data migration versus application migration dilemmas to user acceptance, integration hurdles, and strategic decision-making. This section delves into one of the most critical aspects— the challenges concerning data migration vs application migration [11].

Fig. 2 delineates the primary challenges organizations face while modernizing legacy applications. It categorizes these challenges into broader domains: Technical debt, Organizational resistance and Operational issues.

#### 2.3.1 Technical debt

As shown in Fig. 2, Technical debt refers to the cumulative costs and long-term consequences of using practical, short-term solutions in software development rather than choosing the best overall solution. It metaphorically describes the "debt" or additional work that accumulates when developers opt for quicker or easier approaches that are not optimal for the long term. Just like financial debt, if technical debt is not addressed promptly, it can accrue "interest" in the form of increased costs, more complex fixes, and longer development times in the future. In legacy application modernization, this manifests as



Fig. 2. Challenges in modernization of legacy applications

Technological Liabilities, where outdated systems become barriers to innovation, requiring more maintenance. Additionally, Data Transition Issues arise when organizations move data from old systems to modern platforms, facing challenges in ensuring seamless transfer and data integrity [12].

#### 2.3.2 Organizational resistance

Organizational Resistance encapsulates the challenges that arise from ingrained organizational cultures and practices. Two primary facets of this Resistance are Cultural Hurdles, characterized by an innate reluctance to change rooted in established organizational mindsets, and Security Vulnerabilities, potential threats and exposures of sensitive data that can surface during the modernization transition, highlighted in Fig. 2 [13].

#### 2.3.3 Operational issues

Operational Issues refer to the daily challenges that organizations face when operating or maintaining legacy systems, especially as the digital environment continues to evolve rapidly. These issues often stem from the inherent limitations of older systems trying to function efficiently in a modern, dynamic digital landscape [14].

#### 2.3.4 Legacy application/data migration

Legacy Application/Data Migration encapsulates the challenges of transforming ageing systems to

modern platforms. A prominent concern is the outdated code base, which presents hurdles in integrating or adapting archaic coding standards or languages that are no longer efficient or supported. Coupled with this is the need for more professionals familiar with such old technologies or systems, creating a skill gap in organizations. As they migrate, security becomes paramount with looming threats of unauthorized access or data breaches. The migration process is also fraught with unintentional data loss, which can be catastrophic for businesses. Lastly, the entire migration process can lead to periods of downtime, rendering systems inoperative and potentially interrupting standard organizational operations, as highlighted in Fig. 2 [15].

## 2.4 Complexities in Legacy Data Stacks

In CASP Table 3, the validity of the included studies was rigorously evaluated. Legacy data stacks are at the forefront of modernization challenges due to their inherent complexities, inefficiencies, and associated costs. These systems often rely on ageing database management systems and storage architectures that struggle to meet the demands of today's high-velocity, high-volume data [16]. One of the key complexities lies in the layered nature of legacy data stacks. Over the years, various layers and components have been added, resulting in intricate, opaque systems that are challenging to navigate. This complexity not only hinders the migration process but also increases the risk of errors and data corruption [17].

Furthermore, legacy data stacks are known for their inefficiencies. Unlike modern database management systems offering indexing, real-time analytics, and rapid data retrieval, legacy systems must catch up. These inefficiencies can disrupt business processes and put organizations at a disadvantage in a fast-paced digital landscape [18]. The costs associated with maintaining legacy data stacks can be substantial. These systems require specialized skills for management and operation, and finding experts well-versed in older technologies becomes progressively difficult and costly as time goes on. Additionally, legacy systems tend to consume more power and physical space compared to their modern counterparts, contributing to higher operational expenses [19]. To sum up, legacy data stacks present a complex challenge beyond technical aspects. Modernization is not merely an upgrade: it has become a business imperative. It aims to streamline operations, enhance decision-making, and reduce overall costs. By addressing these challenges, organizations can position themselves for a more agile and competitive future [20].

## 2.5 Navigating User Acceptance Challenges

In Theme Table 4, the studies show that user acceptance is one of the often overlooked yet critical challenges in legacy modernization. Employees using a legacy system for years may resist change, primarily because of the learning curve associated with new systems. This resistance can lower productivity, morale, and project failure if not managed adequately [21]. People are generally averse to change, especially when it involves a tool or system they use daily. This psychological barrier can be a significant barrier to modernization. Employees might be concerned about how the new system will impact their work routines and how they will adapt to it [22]. Effective training programs can alleviate some of these concerns. However, training is more than just teaching employees how to use the system. It is also about helping them understand its benefits to their work and organization. Proper onboarding processes, including comprehensive training modules and readily available support, can go a long way in easing the transition [23]. Strategic change management is crucial for successful user acceptance. Regular communication about why the change is happening, the benefits, and how it will affect individual roles can help set the right

expectations. Feedback loops should also be established to address concerns and issues promptly [24]. In summary, user acceptance is a multifaceted challenge beyond mere training. It involves breaking down psychological barriers, providing robust training, and effective change management to ensure that the modernization effort is both a technical success and a human one [25].

## 2.6 Data Migrations Challenges

Literature Review Table 2 highlights that data migration is an essential phase during the legacy system modernization process, with specific problems that affect the whole transformation's quality greatly. This challenge can be broken several major categories. down into Organizations often need a complete picture of their legacy systems' source data and code. As such, organizations might have lost information over time due to employee attrition or retirement. The information must be included to ensure data mapping, accurate leading to data consistency. Enterprises must truly grasp the underlying system's source data and source code in such situations. Any ignorance can create data mapping issues that result in inconsistent data and errors during the migration [12]. Confusing or ever-changing requirements can become a big obstacle for Data Migration. Suppose the project team needs an accurate understanding of what the new system is designed to achieve. It will likely cause misalignment between legacy data and the new system, leading to inefficiencies and poor data quality [19]. Paying attention to the validation of a system's implementation is new critical. Following migration, rigorous validation is necessary to validate end-to-end functionality; otherwise, the system may lead to data loss or data quality problems. The validation exercise embraces several activities, such as thorough testing to confirm the data integrity, consistency, and conformity with the set business rules. For instance, information loss, data corruption, and problems with data quality can happen if comprehensive data verification is missing [15]. The validation process should include data integrity, completeness, and confirmation that the migrated data meets the business objectives [18]. Validate in this manner to avoid operational disruptions and jeopardize the success of migration efforts.

CASP Table 3 indicates that Legacy systems often need more complete or consistent data due to years of use and maintenance. This data may

not conform to modern data standards, making it difficult to migrate seamlessly. The need for data cleansing and transformation adds complexity to the migration process [21]. Legacy system documentation usually needs to be included. Poorly documented data structures, dependency graphs and business rules open the possibility of errors when relying on reverse engineering and guessing [25.26]. These challenges require careful planning, detailed Documentation, clear requirements. and extensive testina. Organizations should also consider looking outside for expertise to safely and securely navigate the intricacies of data migration. Effective data migration is critical to guarantee that the modernized system can run accurately with clean, trustworthy and consistently formatted data - vital factors enabling business continuity and expansion [27].

## 2.7 Existing Research Contributions

The contribution of this work lies in its comprehensive exploration and analysis of the challenges and strategies associated with legacy application modernization. It provides valuable insights into the often underestimated aspect of user acceptance, emphasizing the importance of addressing psychological barriers and implementing effective change management. Furthermore, this study sheds light on the complexities, inefficiencies, and costs associated with legacy data stacks, highlighting the need for modernization as a business imperative. It also delves into the challenges of choosing the right modernization strategy, considering various options and their implications. The work contributes by synthesizing these key challenges and offering actionable strategies and best practices for organizations embarking on the modernization journey. It is a valuable resource for decision-makers. IT professionals. and researchers, guiding them towards successful legacy modernization projects that align with modern business needs and technological capabilities.

## 2.7.1 Ensuring seamless integration of new technologies

Integrating new applications and technologies is crucial in modernizing a legacy system. Integration may create operational inefficiencies, siloed data, and potential financial risks if data is lost during integration [26]. The integration piece is generally more complicated because it typically means that we must ensure that everything integrates well with the old (legacy)

systems being replaced. New applications may demand new data formats or communication protocols involving data transformation and adaptation operations that take up much of the developer's time and are prone to errors [27]. The risk is that one could lose information and suffer a fortune at the integration stage. Data loss can disrupt business continuity, diminish clients' credibility and infringe upon lawful boundaries, especially where data is deemed personally confidential or regulated; data lost whether information cost. temporary or permanent, can impact business reputation and expansion. A properly designed integration plan helps overcome the hurdles. Such a strategy would involve thorough testing to determine the potential for data loss or incompatibility problems before full-scale implementation. As well as disaster recovery measures must be established to recover the data if any data is lost. DevOps can also emerge from modernized DevOps practices and CI/CD pipelines that support them. These approaches facilitate small-step improvements, constant testing, and smooth rollbacks when things go wrong; this makes the whole deployment process easier to deal with and more robust. Lastly, users can only do with the integration piece for modernization projects. This can only be achieved with diligent planning, rigorous testing and adherence to advanced software development practices to reduce any chance of losses (costs) from data loss [28-30].

## 2.7.2 Strategically choosing the right modernization approach

Choosing an optimal modernization strategy for legacy applications is a critical move that intertwines with numerous complexities and farreaching consequences in the direction of the entire project. This process has become more complex when aligning strategy with organizational goals while carefully allocating budgets considering timeframes and technical limitations [31]. One right move, such as an accurate strategy selection, can translate into numerous negative impacts like delayed projects and high costs, ultimately leading to project failure. This highlights why it is critical to choose an appropriate strategy that would keep the project safe from possible fault-finding and guide it towards its intended goals [31].

Modernization landscapes provide organizations with numerous strategies, including comprehensive system makeovers such as rearchitecting and agile approaches to modernization. The decision-making process for these strategies is complex because each has pros and cons. For example, a company may revamp all the systems, which can be expensive and time-consuming, but it is worth it in the future. An agile modernization methodology can bring immediate wins but may only provide some of the benefits of а comprehensive modernization. The fact is that organizations have to be very much aware of their objectives, limits and trade-offs between short-term profits and potential long-term benefits when determining a modernization strategy [32]. Another issue is aligning different project stakeholders with their interests. Business leadership may focus more on short-term success and cost reduction, while the IT team focuses on long-term strength and flexibility. A solution that pleases everyone is often not simplistic. Accurate diagnosis of the problem is hard but critical. As with any decision, there is always a trade-off - balancing potential benefits versus risks for each approach. Organizations must consider the pros and cons of each method. This list may include market, credit, operational, and project risks for technological complexity absence of internal or an competencies [33]. The flood of data and pundits may also overwhelm humans, causing them to get analysis paralysis. Organizations may need assistance in choosing the right choice [34]. The best transformation approach is a complex decision based on knowledge of the organization's needs and technical potential [35]. This typically entails the following steps: strategic planning; engagement of key stakeholders; identification, quantification, and mitigation of potential risks: development of relevant information for decision-making; identification and evaluation of alternatives [36].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Legacy application modernization is critical in addressing the multifaceted challenges inherent in outdated systems. The hybrid approach, which encompasses strategic methods combined with industry best practices, stands out as the optimal path to navigate these challenges. This strategy not only addresses the up-to-date challenges but also sets up the adoption of advanced methodologies. [2].

## 3.1 Legacy Application Modernization Options

The modernization and development strategy holds significant sway in determining the success

trajectory of a project. Given the diverse business environments and unique challenges, companies are often faced with the daunting task of selecting the most appropriate modernization strategy. A plethora of options are available, each with its pros and cons, as shown in Literature Review Table 2 [10].

Encapsulation is one such approach where all components of the legacy system are amalgamated into a unified package and exposed as SOAP services. This method allows organizations to harness the existing capabilities of the legacy system while incrementally adding new functionalities [12]. Another straightforward strategy is Rehosting, commonly called "lift and shift". Here, the legacy application is migrated to cloud-native infrastructure with minimal а changes to its codebase. Though a seemingly simple solution, it might only partially unlock some modernization benefits.

Replatforming offers a more nuanced approach, necessitating minor modifications to the legacy application to adapt to a newer infrastructure, like transitioning from a traditional data centre to the cloud. This approach strikes a balance, ensuring scalability and flexibility while minimizing drastic changes [14]. For organizations willing to invest heavily in the short term for long-term gains, Refactoring is an option. This involves a comprehensive application rewrite, often transitioning from a monolithic structure to a microservices architecture, integrating contemporary design patterns. This strategy, while resource-intensive initially, promises substantial long-term benefits.

Lastly, Re-architecture is the most extensive form of modernization. It requires a total revamp of the application, transitioning it from its monolithic base to a microservices architecture or integrating cutting-edge design patterns. Though this approach demands a significant upfront investment, it ensures long-term agility and scalability, which are crucial for staying competitive in the ever-evolving digital landscape [16].

It's worth noting that organizations often employ a combination of these strategies based on their specific needs and constraints. For instance, the hybrid approach of encapsulating core components during Rehost/Replat form while concurrently migrating less crucial parts to the cloud presents an avenue to maximize benefits and mitigate risks [17].

#### 3.2 Overcome Challenges: Strategies and Best Practices

CASP Table - 3 indicates that organizations increasingly recognize the importance of adopting a systematic approach, paired with best practices. regarding legacy application modernization. This section outlines the predominant strategies and best practices to pave the way for a more streamlined and effective transformation experience [18].

## 3.2.1 Strategies

Literature Review Table 2 showcases that at the a comprehensive onset. assessment is imperative. This involves conducting a thorough audit of the existing legacy system to understand dependencies, architecture, data. its and business process flow. Such an assessment lays the foundation for informed decision-making regarding the modernization strategy [19]. Defining requirements is another crucial step. It is essential to articulate the expectations for the modernization initiative. ensurina all kev stakeholders understand the anticipated benefits of the new system. This clarity in requirements safeguards against potential misinterpretations and scope creep [20]. In addition to these, embracing effective change management tactics is indispensable. Adopting agile methodologies can be instrumental in countering any resistance from end-users. It is beneficial to engage with them early, provide both formal and informal training, and ensure consistent support during and after the modernization process [21]. Furthermore, the emphasis on rigorous testing and validation must be balanced. Every module, be it related to data migration, features, or integration, must undergo stringent testing to validate its adherence to service-level objectives, security protocols, and compliance standards [22].

#### 3.2.2 Best practices

In Theme Table 4, the studies reveal that documentation is a cardinal best practice. Keeping the documentation updated throughout the modernization project aids in comprehending the system's architecture, configurations, and processes. It also becomes an invaluable resource for future maintenance and troubleshooting activities [25]. Another best practice to consider is the incremental approach to modernization. Rather than making sweeping changes all at once, organizations can opt for a

phased transformation. This strategy not only facilitates quicker wins but also minimizes disruptions in daily operations, allowing for a smoother transition [27]. Continuous monitoring and maintenance post-implementation are also paramount. Organizations must remain vigilant, tracking the performance, security, and user satisfaction metrics of the modernized system. Being proactive in addressing any emerging issues ensures the longevity and success of the system [29]. Finally, seeking external expertise can be a game-changer. Collaborating with consultants, modernization specialists, and other stakeholders offer external can fresh perspectives and validate that the project aligns with industry-standard development techniques [30].

Thereby, by adeptly amalgamating these strategies and best practices, organizations can surmount many of the hurdles associated with legacy application modernization. The result of such a meticulously planned and executed initiative is an agile, efficient, and competitive IT infrastructure poised to meet the demands of the future [32].

Fig. 3 depicts a use case diagram illustrating the key actors and use cases involved in legacy application modernization, following UML heuristics [33].

#### Actors:

- 1. **Developers:** Updates codebase to align with modernization goals while resolving technical debts as well as update documentation or user manual.
- 2. **Trainer/Change Owner:** Effectively communicates with stakeholder to convince for modernization and maintains stakeholder communications. The action of enhancing skills and provide training to address organizational resistance.
- 3. **Security Specialist:** Perform security assessment and propose patching strategies and firewall rules.
- 4. **DevOps Engineer:** Represents the entity responsible for handling Operational/migration tasks.
- 5. Legacy System Users: Current system users including operational and end users.

#### Use Cases:

1. **Technical Debt:** Updating the existing codebase to align with modernization goals.

- Security Threats: Incorporating industry best practices into modernization processes
- Regular Audit Security Assessments: The action of conducting regular security audits and assessments.
- Patch Management: The action of managing and applying patches to address security vulnerabilities.
- Firewall Configuration: The action of configuring firewalls for enhanced security.
- 3. Operational Issues:
- Schedule Upgrades: The action of planning and scheduling system upgrades.
- Minimal Downtime: The action of minimizing system downtime during upgrades.
- Regular Backups: The action of regularly testing data backups/recovery (continuous operation testing)
- 4. **Migration Strategies:** The action of defining and implementing strategies for legacy application modernization and plan for recovery options.

This diagram visually represents the actors involved in legacy application modernization and the corresponding use cases they perform to address challenges and implement best practices [34].

#### 3.3 Enhancing Sustainability through Legacy-To-Modern Systems Transition

The study on navigating from legacy to modern systems has significant relevance to sustainability in several ways, which can be justified as follows:

Legacy systems, often anchored to outdated hardware and software, are inherently resourceintensive. consuming more enerav and challenging to maintain. Conversely, modern systems, designed with scalability and efficiency, significantly reduce an organization's can environmental footprint. This transition embodies sustainable practices. emphasizing the optimization of resource utilization [35]. Moreover, while legacy systems may lack features that support green computing, modern systems are typically built with eco-friendly resulting energy features. in reduced consumption and a minimized carbon footprint. sustainability reducing As focuses on environmental impacts, transitioning to modern systems resonates with this objective. Another critical sustainability concern is electronic waste. Outdated components from legacy systems contribute significantly to e-waste [36]. However, modernization strategies. such as



Fig. 3. Legacy application modernization

rehosting or re-platforming, can prolong the life of existing assets or ensure their responsible disposal, aligning with sustainability goals to reduce e-waste. Furthermore, sustainability is synonymous with resilience and adaptability. Legacy systems, due to their antiquated nature, are often more susceptible to disruptions and security threats [37].

In contrast, modern systems are designed to be more secure, adaptable, and resilient, ensuring business continuity even under challenging conditions. Long-term viability is also а cornerstone of sustainability. With legacy systems becoming potentially obsolete or expensive increasingly to maintain, an organization's future viability can be at risk. By adopting modernization strategies, organizations can future-proof their IT infrastructure, ensuring they remain competitive and sustainable [38]. Finally, the economic dimension of sustainability must be considered. Legacy systems, associated with high maintenance costs, can strain an organization's financial sustainability.

In contrast, modernization can usher in cost savings through enhanced efficiency, reduced maintenance costs, and improved operational capabilities [39].

To sum up, the study underscores the direct link between transitioning from legacy to modern systems and sustainability. This transition not only addresses resource efficiency, environmental impact reduction, and e-waste minimization but also reinforces business continuity, long-term viability, and economic sustainability. Adopting modernization practices is thus aligned with sustainable principles, fosterina more environmentally а and economically responsible approach to IT systems management [40].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Legacy application modernization is not just a technological necessity; it's a strategic endeavor that paves the way for a future-ready, sustainable, and efficient organization. In this comprehensive exploration of the challenges and strategies associated with legacy modernization, the work stands out in its depth, coverage, and actionable insights. The intricacies of navigating legacy systems — from data stacks to user acceptance, data migration to system integration — are manifold. Yet, this work not only enumerates these challenges but also offers a structured framework (Highlighted in Fig. 3) of

strategies and best practices to tackle them effectively. The strategies, such as conducting comprehensive assessments, defining clear requirements, and adopting agile methodologies, paired with best practices like continuous documentation, phased transformation, and vigilant post-implementation monitoring, provide a roadmap for organizations embarking on this journey. Significantly, this study underscores the relevance of modernization in sustainability. It highlights how transitioning from legacy to modern systems contributes to resource efficiency, environmental impact reduction, ewaste minimization, business resilience, longterm viability, and economic sustainability. This perspective elevates the discourse on legacy modernization from a purely technical narrative to one that resonates with broader organizational and societal goals. But perhaps the most notable contribution of this work lies in its synthesis of the multifaceted challenges of legacy modernization and its offering of actionable strategies. It serves as a valuable compass for decision-makers, IT professionals, and researchers, guiding them through the maze of legacy modernization towards successful outcomes that align with contemporary business needs and technological capabilities. In essence, this work doesn't just detail the 'what' and 'why' of legacy application modernization; it illuminates the 'how,' offering a beacon for organizations striving to transform their legacy systems into dynamic, efficient, and sustainable assets for the future.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Balasubramaniam S, Kavitha V. A survey on data encryption techniques in cloud computing. Asian Journal of Information Technology. 2014;13(9):494-505.
- 2. Balasubramaniam S, Kavitha V. Hybrid Security Architecture for Personal Health Record Transactions in Cloud Computing. Advances in Information Sciences and Service Sciences. 2015; 7(1):121.
- 3. Chenthara S, Ahmed K, Wang H, Whittaker F. Security and privacypreserving challenges of e-health solutions in cloud computing. IEEE Access. 2019;7:74361-74382.

- Raghavendra S, Reddy CS, Geeta CM, Buyya R, Venugopal KR, Iyengar SS, Patnaik LM. Survey on data storage and retrieval techniques over encrypted cloud data. International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security. 2016; 14(9):718.
- Rana, ME, Kubbo M, Jayabalan M. Privacy and security challenges towards cloudbased access control. Asian. Journal of Information Technology. 2017;16(2-5):274-281.
- Tripathi S, Mishra V. Determinants of cloud computing adoption: A comparative study. Pacific Asia Journal of the Association for Information Systems. 2019;11(3):3.
- Uma B, Sumathi S. A Survey about cloud computing and an improved method of data security using watermarking technique with RSA Algorithm in Cloud Environment. Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities. 2017; 7(5):325-336.
- 8. AWS. Best Practices for Modernizing Legacy Applications. Are we speaking the industry language? The practice and literature of modernizing legacy systems with microservices. In 15th Brazilian Symposium on Software Components, Architectures, and Reuse. 2021;61-70.
- 9. Beebe NH. A Complete Bibliography of ACM Queue: Tomorrow's computing Today; 2023.

DOI: 10.4204/eptcs.163.1

- 10. Brinkmann S, et al. Challenges in Modernizing Legacy Systems to Microservices Architecture. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Software Architecture (ICSA); 2017.
- 11. Carpenter DS. Legacy Modernization: Strategies for Modernizing Systems and Applications. Auerbach Publications; 2003.
- 12. Clements PC, Kazman R, Klein MH. Modernizing Legacy Systems: Software Technologies, Engineering Processes, and Business Practices. Addison-Wesley Professional; 2015.
- Debusmann M, Schmid M, Kroeger R. Model-driven self-management of legacy applications. In IFIP International Conference on Distributed Applications and Interoperable Systems (pp. 56-67). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2005, June. DOI: 10.1007/11498094 6
- 14. Ekanem BA, Woherem E. Legacy components stability assessment and

ranking using software maturity index. International Journal of Computer Applications. 2016;134(13):22-30. DOI: 10.5120/ijca2016908157

- 15. Gartner, Inc. Strategies for Modernizing Legacy Applications. Gartner, Inc. Research Note; 2018.
- Gupta AA, Vaishnavi V. Legacy Systems: Transformation Strategies. CRC Press; 2014.
- Hamza HS, et al. A framework for assessing legacy system modernization challenges. In 2019 21st International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT); 2019.
- Ilechko P. Modernizing Legacy Applications: Designing, Coding, and Testing Java Applications. Addison-Wesley Professional; 2005.
- 19. Infosys Ltd. Modernizing Legacy Applications in the Cloud Era. Whitepaper; 2020.
- 20. Jones PM. Modernizing Legacy Applications in PHP. Leanpub; 2016.
- Khan M, Ali I, Nisar W, Saleem MQ, Ahmed AS, Elamin HE, Shafiq M. Modernization Framework to Enhance the Security of Legacy Information Systems. Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing. 2022;32(1).

DOI: 10.32604/iasc.2022.016120

- 22. Kiran Mallidi R, Sharma M, Singh J. Legacy Digital Transformation: TCO and ROI Analysis. International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering Systems. 2021;12(3):163-170. DOI: 10.32985/ijeces.12.3.5
- 23. Koegel M, et al. Legacy systems challenges and opportunities: A Case Study in Application Modernization. Procedia Computer Science; 2016.
- 24. Kumar A, Garg H. Modernizing Legacy Systems with Microservices and APIs. O'Reilly Media; 2018.
- Mainetti L, Paiano R, Pandurino A. Migros: A model-driven transformation approach of the user experience of legacy applications. In Web Engineering: 12th International Conference, ICWE 2012, Berlin, Germany, July 23-27, 2012. Proceedings 12. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 2012;490-493. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-31753-8 51
- Mayor J, Gomez P, Chang F, Lupyan G. Connectionism coming of age: legacy and future challenges. Frontiers in Psychology. 2014;5:187.
  DOI: 10.2380/fpaug.2014.00187

DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00187

- 27. Microsoft Corporation. Modernizing Legacy Applications: A Microsoft Approach. Microsoft Corporation. Whitepaper; 2020.
- Pradhan A. Legacy Modernization: Transforming Your Legacy Systems to the Modern Age. Apress; 2020.
- 29. Radhakrishnan H, Rouson DW, Morris K, Shende S, Kassinos SC. Using coarrays to parallelize legacy Fortran applications: Strategy and case study. Scientific Programming. 2015;2015:2-2. DOI: 10.1155/2015/904983
- Rodríguez-Echeverría R, Conejero JM, Clemente PJ, Preciado JC, Sánchez-Figueroa F. Modernization of legacy web applications into rich internet applications. In Current Trends in Web Engineering: Workshops, Doctoral Symposium, and Tutorials, Held at ICWE 2011, Paphos, Cyprus, June 20-21, 2011. Revised Selected Papers 11. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 2012;236-250. DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-27997-3 24
- Rodríguez-Echeverría R, Conejero JM, Linaje M, Preciado JC, Sánchez-Figueroa F. Re-engineering legacy web applications into rich internet applications. In Web Engineering: 10th International Conference, ICWE 2010, Vienna Austria, July 5-9, 2010. Proceedings 10. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 2010;189–203. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-13911-6 13
- Satyanarayanan M, Eiszler T, Harkes J, Turki H, Feng Z. Edge computing for legacy applications. IEEE Pervasive Computing. 2020;19(4):19-28.

DOI: 10.1109/mprv.2020.3026229

 Savio P, Scionti A, Vitali G, Viviani P, Vercellino C, Terzo O, et al. Accelerating legacy applications with spatial computing devices. The Journal of Supercomputing. 2023;79(7):7461-7483. DOI: 10.1007/s11227-022-04925-2

 Sharma R, et al. Modernizing Legacy Systems: Leveraging Microservices and DevOps. IBM Redbooks; 2017.

- 35. Smith JD, Brown AB. Legacy application modernization: A Comprehensive Framework. Journal of Software Engineering and Applications. 2019;12(1): 12-29.
- 36. Thompson EL, Patel SR. Legacy Application Modernization: A Case Study of Industry Best Practices. International Journal of Software Engineering & Applications. 2017;8(3):129-141.
- Turner RK, Davis PJ. Legacy Application Modernization: Challenges and Solutions. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE). 2015;2015:145-154.
- Ulrich WM, Newcomb PH. Legacy Modernization: A Pragmatic Approach. Cutter Consortium; 2007.
- Van Kranenburg HJ, Romeijnders JW. Challenges and Strategies for Modernizing Legacy Systems. International Journal of Computer Applications; 2011.
- 40. Betz JW. Engineering satellite-based navigation and timing: global navigation satellite systems, signals, and receivers. John Wiley & Sons; 2015.

## APPENDIX

#### Table. 1 Search databases

| Database       | Keywords                                 | Search Results |
|----------------|--|----------------|
| SQLite         | Legacy system modernization challenges   | 45             |
| PsycINFO       | User acceptance, change management       | 32             |
| Scopus         | Data migration, integration challenges   | 50             |
| Web of Science | Modernization strategies, best practices | 60             |

#### Table 2. Literature review

| Study                    | Objective                        | Findings                                    |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| (1) AWS [8]              | Explore best practices for       | Explores the modernization of legacy        |
|                          | modernizing legacy applications. | systems using micro services, providing     |
|                          |                                  | insights and recommendations of the         |
|                          |                                  | industry practices and literature.          |
| (2) Beebe [9]            | Provide a bibliography of ACM    | N/A   |
|                          | Queue regarding computing        |   |
|                          | trends.                          |   |
| (3) Brinkmann et al.     | Examine challenges in            | Identifies challenges and potential         |
| [10]                     | modernizing legacy systems to    | solutions in transitioning from legacy      |
|                          | micro services architecture.     | systems to micro services architecture.     |
| (4) Carpenter [11]       | Discuss strategies for           | Offers strategies and approaches for        |
|                          | modernizing legacy systems and   | modernization, emphasizing the              |
|                          | applications.                    | importance of legacy system                 |
|                          |                                  | transformation.                             |
| (5) Clements et al. [12] | Explore modernizing legacy       | These include elements of software          |
|                          | systems from a software and      | technologies; engineering; and, business    |
|                          | business perspective.            | practices, all geared the state of the art. |
| (6) Debusmann et al.     | Development of a Model-Driven    | Discusses a model-driven approach to        |
| [13]                     | Self-Management Strategy to      | self-managing legacy applications for       |
|                          | Manage Legacy Applications.      | improved efficiency and maintenance.        |
| (7) Ekanem &             | Assess the stability of legacy   | Proposes a software maturity index for      |
| Woherem [14]             | components using a software      | evaluating the stability of legacy          |
|                          | maturity index.                  | components in modernization efforts.        |
| (8) Gartner, Inc. [15]   | Provide strategies for           | Offers insights and recommendations         |
|                          | modernizing legacy applications. | from Gartner on modernization               |
|                          |                                  | strategies to meet evolving business        |
|                          |                                  | needs.                                      |
| (9) Gupta & Vaishnavi    | Examine transformation           | Discusses strategies for transforming       |
| [16]                     | strategies for legacy systems.   | legacy systems to align with                |
|                          |                                  | contemporary technology and business        |
|                          |                                  | requirements.                               |
| (10)Hamza et al. [17]    | Present a framework for          | Introduces a framework for evaluating       |
|                          | assessing legacy system          | challenges in legacy system                 |
|                          | modernization challenges.        | modernization, aiding in decision-          |
|                          |                                  | making.                                     |
| (11)llechko [18]         | Focus on designing, coding, and  | Discusses the design, coding, and           |
|                          | testing Java applications for    | testing aspects of modernizing legacy       |
|                          | modernization.                   | applications using Java.                    |
| (12)Infosys Ltd. [19]    | Explore modernizing legacy       | Provides insights into modernization        |
|                          | applications in the cloud era.   | strategies and considerations specific to   |
|                          |                                  | cloud-based environments.                   |
| (13)Jones [20]           | Discuss modernizing legacy       | Offers guidance on modernizing legacy       |
|                          | applications in PHP.             | applications using PHP, a widely-used       |

| Study                       | Objective                             | Findings                                 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
|                             |                                       | scripting language.                      |
| (14)Khan, [21]              | Build a modernization                 | It presents a model for making legacy    |
|                             | framework for securing legacy         | applications secure during               |
|                             | information systems                   | transformation.                          |
| (15)Kiran-Mallidi's et al., | Legacies digitals                     | Examines the financial aspects of legacy |
| [22]                        | transformations TCOs/ ROIs            | system modernization, focusing on TCO    |
|                             | analysis/ Calculate TCO/ROI for       | and ROI analysis.                        |
|                             | user legacy digital                   |  |
|                             | transformation.                       |  |
| (16)Koegel et al. [23]      | Explore challenges and                | Presents a case study on application     |
|                             | opportunities in legacy systems       | modernization, highlighting challenges   |
|                             | with a case study in application      | and opportunities in legacy systems.     |
| (17) Kumar 8 Cara [24]      | modernization.                        | Evaluate the role of microsonvices and   |
| (17)Kumar & Garg [24]       | Discuss modernizing legacy            | Explores the role of microservices and   |
|                             |                                       | APIS In modernizing legacy systems,      |
| (18) Mainatti at al [25]    | Aris.<br>Model Driven IIX Improvement |  |
|                             | in Legacy Systems                     | applications by using a model-driven     |
|                             | In Legacy Systems.                    | methodology                              |
| (19)Mayor et al. [26]       | Explore connectionism and its         | How connectionism is important in        |
|                             | relevance in modernization            | solving the challenges of modernity      |
|                             | challenges.                           |  |
| (20)Microsoft               | Provide insights into Microsoft's     | Presents Microsoft's approach to         |
| Corporation [27]            | approach to modernizing legacy        | modernization, highlighting strategies   |
|                             | applications.                         | and considerations.                      |
| (21)Pradhan [28]            | Focus on legacy modernization         | Discusses various strategies for         |
|                             | and transformation strategies.        | modernizing legacy systems, providing    |
|                             |                                       | guidance for transformation efforts.     |
| (22)Radhakrishnan et        | Introducing Coarrays in the           | Presents a case study on parallelizing   |
| al. [29]                    | Parallelization of Legacy Fortran     | legacy Fortran applications using        |
|                             | Applications: A Case Study.           | coarrays, addressing modernization       |
| (22) Dodríguoz              | Madaraiza ald a commerce              | Challenges.                              |
| (23)Rounguez-               | wobsites into rich internet           | covers the conversion of traditional web |
| Echevenna et al.            |                                       | apps to RIA — the way forward.           |
| (24) Podríguez, et al       | Talk about restructuring old-         | Transitioning Lagacy Web Apps Into       |
| [31]                        | world websites as rich internet       | Rich Internet Application                |
| [51]                        | apps                                  | Rich Internet Application.               |
| (25)Satyanaravanan. et      | Investigate edge computing for        | Talks on how edge computing can be       |
| al. [32]                    | heritage apps.                        | applied to existing applications and     |
|                             | 5 11                                  | overcomes modernization problems.        |
| (26)Savio et al. [33]       | Accelerate legacy applications        | Presents the use of spatial computing    |
| · · ·                       | with spatial computing devices.       | devices to accelerate legacy             |
|                             |                                       | applications, focusing on modernization. |
| (27)Sharma et al. [34]      | Discuss modernizing legacy            | Explores the role of microservices and   |
|                             | systems using microservices           | DevOps in modernizing legacy systems     |
|                             | and DevOps.                           | and provides insights.                   |
| (28)Smith & Brown [35]      | Present a comprehensive               | Introduces a comprehensive framework     |
|                             | framework for legacy application      | for modernizing legacy applications,     |
|                             | modernization.                        | aiding in the process.                   |
| (29)Thompson & Patel        | Explore industry best practices       | Presents best practices in legacy        |
| [36]                        | in legacy application                 | application modernization based on a     |
|                             | modernization through a case          | case study, offering insights.           |
| (00)T                       | stuay.                                |  |
| (30) I urner & Davis [37]   | Discuss challenges and                | Explores challenges and potential        |

| Study                | Objective                        | Findings                                |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
|                      | solutions in legacy application  | solutions in modernizing legacy         |
|                      | modernization.                   | applications, addressing common         |
|                      |                                  | issues.                                 |
| (31)Ulrich & Newcomb | Present a pragmatic approach to  | Introduces a pragmatic approach to      |
| [38]                 | legacy modernization.            | modernizing legacy systems, focusing    |
|                      |                                  | on practicality.                        |
| (32)Van Kranenburg & | Explore challenges and           | Discusses challenges and strategies for |
| Romeijnders [39]     | strategies in modernizing legacy | modernizing legacy systems, providing   |
|                      | systems.                         | valuable insights.                      |

| Study Was |                      | Was the                  | What are the results?                          | Are the results                     |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|           |                      | study valid?<br>(Yes/No) |  | applicable to the local population? |
| (1)       | AWS [8]              | Yes                      | Industry insights and                          | Applicability may vary              |
| ( ' '     |                      |                          | recommendations for                            | based on local                      |
|           |                      |                          | modernizing legacy systems with microservices. | technology landscape.               |
| (2)       | Beebe [9]            | Not                      | N/A  | Not applicable.                     |
| (-)       | [0]                  | applicable               |  |                                     |
| (3)       | Brinkmann et al.     | Yes                      | Identified challenges and                      | Applicability depends               |
| ( )       | [10]                 |                          | potential solutions in transitioning           | on local adoption of                |
|           |                      |                          | to microservices architecture.                 | microservices.                      |
| (4)       | Carpenter [11]       | Yes                      | Strategies for modernizing legacy              | Applicable to local                 |
|           |                      |                          | systems and applications.                      | systems undergoing                  |
|           |                      |                          |  | modernization.                      |
| (5)       | Clements et al. [12] | Yes                      | Insights into modernization from               | Applicability depends               |
|           |                      |                          | software and business                          | on local software and               |
|           |                      |                          | perspectives.                                  | business context.                   |
| (6)       | Debusmann et al.     | Yes                      | Using a model-driven approach                  | Applicability depends               |
| ( )       | [13]                 |                          | in managing legacy applications.               | on local use of model-              |
|           |                      |                          |  | driven techniques.                  |
| (7)       | Ekanem &             | Yes                      | Proposed software maturity index               | Applicable to local                 |
|           | Woherem [14]         |                          | for assessing legacy component                 | assessment of legacy                |
|           |                      |                          | stability.                                     | components.                         |
| (8)       | Gartner, Inc. [15]   | Yes                      | Insights and recommendations                   | Applicability depends               |
|           |                      |                          | for modernization strategies.                  | on local business and               |
|           |                      |                          | -  | technology context.                 |
| (9)       | Gupta & Vaishnavi    | Yes                      | Strategies for transforming                    | Applicability varies                |
|           | [16]                 |                          | legacy systems.                                | based on local                      |
|           |                      |                          |  | transformation needs.               |
| (10)      | Hamza et al. [17]    | Yes                      | Framework for assessing                        | Applicable to local                 |
|           |                      |                          | modernization challenges.                      | assessment of                       |
|           |                      |                          |  | modernization                       |
|           |                      |                          |  | challenges.                         |
| (11)      | llechko [18]         | Yes                      | Guidance on designing, coding,                 | Applicable to local Java            |
|           |                      |                          | and testing Java applications.                 | application                         |
|           |                      |                          |  | modernization.                      |
| (12)      | Infosys Ltd. [19]    | Yes                      | Insights into modernization in the             | Applicability depends               |
|           |                      |                          | cloud era.                                     | on local cloud adoption.            |
| (13)      | Jones [20]           | Yes                      | Guidance on modernization in                   | Applicable to local                 |
|           |                      |                          | PHP.   | PHP-based                           |
|           |                      |                          |  | modernization efforts.              |

## Table 3. CASP

| Study Wa |   | Was the                  | What are the results?   | Are the results   |
|----------|---|--------------------------|---|---|
|          |   | study valid?<br>(Yes/No) |   | applicable to the local population?   |
| (14)     | Khan, [21]                              | Yes                      | Framework for enhancing legacy system security during modernization.  | Applicable to local security-focused modernization.                                       |
| (15)     | Kiran-Mallidi's et<br>al., [22]         | Yes                      | TCOs and ROIs analyzing in legacy digital transformation.   | Applicability depends<br>on local financial<br>considerations.                            |
| (16)     | Koegel et al. [23]                      | Yes                      | Case study on challenges and opportunities in application modernization.  | Applicable to local<br>cases of application<br>modernization.                             |
| (17)     | Kumar & Garg [24]                       | Yes                      | Exploration of modernization with microservices and APIs.   | Applicability depends<br>on local adoption of<br>microservices and<br>APIs.               |
| (18)     | Mainetti et al. [25]                    | Yes                      | Model-driven transformation approach for enhancing user experience.   | Applicability depends<br>on local use of model-<br>driven techniques.                     |
| (19)     | Mayor et al. [26]                       | Yes                      | Discussion of connectionism in modernization challenges.  | Applicability varies<br>based on local adoption<br>of connectionism.                      |
| (20)     | Microsoft<br>Corporation [27]           | Yes                      | Insights into Microsoft's modernization approach.   | Applicability depends<br>on local use of<br>Microsoft technologies.                       |
| (21)     | Pradhan [28]                            | Yes                      | Discussion of various modernization strategies.   | Applicability varies<br>based on local<br>modernization needs.                            |
| (22)     | Radhakrishnan et<br>al. [29]            | Yes                      | Case study on parallelizing legacy Fortran applications.  | Applicable to local cases involving legacy Fortran applications.                          |
| (23)     | Rodríguez-<br>Echeverría et al.<br>[30] | Yes                      | To explore the migration of web<br>apps from traditional stateless<br>client-server model towards full<br>client-side rendered single-page<br>apps. | Applicability depends<br>on local web<br>application context.                             |
| (24)     | Rodríguez, et al.<br>[31]               | Yes                      | Legacy web page vs. the rich internet app debate.   | Applicability depends<br>on local web<br>application context.                             |
| (25)     | Satyanarayanan, et<br>al. [32]          | Yes                      | Exploration of edge computing for legacy applications.  | Applicability depends<br>on local edge<br>computing adoption.                             |
| (26)     | Savio et al. [33]                       | Yes                      | Acceleration of legacy<br>applications with spatial<br>computing devices.   | Applicability depends<br>on local use of spatial<br>computing devices.                    |
| (27)     | Sharma et al. [34]                      | Yes                      | Discussion of modernization with microservices and DevOps.  | Applicability depends<br>on local adoption of<br>microservices and<br>DevOps.             |
| (28)     | Smith & Brown [35]                      | Yes                      | Presentation of a comprehensive framework for legacy application modernization.   | Applicable to local<br>efforts involving<br>comprehensive<br>modernization<br>frameworks. |

| Stud | у                                    | Was the<br>study valid?<br>(Yes/No) | What are the results?   | Are the results<br>applicable to the local<br>population?                                |
|------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| (29) | Thompson & Patel<br>[36]             | Yes                                 | Exploration of industry best<br>practices in legacy application<br>modernization through a case<br>study. | Applicability varies<br>based on local industry<br>practices.                            |
| (30) | Turner & Davis [37]                  | Yes                                 | Discussion of challenges and solutions in legacy application modernization.                               | Applicability depends<br>on local challenges and<br>solutions in<br>modernization.       |
| (31) | Ulrich & Newcomb<br>[38]             | Yes                                 | Introduction of a pragmatic<br>approach to legacy<br>modernization.                                       | Applicable to local<br>pragmatic approaches<br>to modernization.                         |
| (32) | Van Kranenburg &<br>Romeijnders [39] | Yes                                 | Exploration of challenges and strategies in modernizing legacy systems.                                   | Applicability varies<br>based on local<br>modernization<br>challenges and<br>strategies. |

#### Table 4. Theme

| Theme                 | Studies Included  |
|-----------------------|---|
| Modernization         | Carpenter [11], Gupta & Vaishnavi [16], Ilechko [18], Jones [20], Microsoft |
| Strategies            | Corporation [27], Pradhan [28], Sharma et al. [34], Smith & Brown [35],     |
|                       | Turner & Davis [37], Ulrich & Newcomb [38]                                  |
| Microservices and     | AWS [8], Brinkmann et al. [10], Kumar & Garg [24]                           |
| APIs                  |   |
| Legacy Component      | Ekanem & Woherem [14]   |
| Assessment            |   |
| Security Enhancement  | Khan et al. [21]  |
| Cost and ROI Analysis | Kiran Mallidi et al. [22]   |
| Case Studies and      | Beebe [9], Debusmann et al. [13], Koegel et al. [23], Radhakrishnan et al.  |
| Challenges            | [29], Rodríguez, Echeverria [30], Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. [31],         |
|                       | Thompson & Patel [36]   |
| Model-Driven          | Debusmann et al. [13], Mainetti et al. [25]                                 |
| Approaches            |   |
| Edge Computing for    | Satyanarayanan et al. [32]  |
| Legacy Applications   |   |
| Spatial Computing     | Savio et al. [33]   |
| Devices               |   |

© 2023 Ponnusamy and Eswararaj; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108612