



Strengths and Weaknesses of Non-chemical Weed Management Strategies in Small-scale Vegetable Production

Mahbuba Ferdous ^{a,b,++*}

^a Department of Agricultural Extension, Ministry of Agriculture, Bangladesh.

^b University of New England, Australia.

Author's contribution

The author designed, coordinated data collection, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAAR/2023/v23i2456

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105012>

Original Research Article

Received: 26/06/2023

Accepted: 31/08/2023

Published: 08/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Weeds are continuing to create problems for vegetable growers more than ever before due to climate change, frequent cultivation, and the evolution of herbicide resistance. Weeds not only decrease vegetable yields but also reduce their quality. This case study aims to investigate weed management strategies, their strengths and weaknesses, and, the scope for improvements in a small-scale vegetable garden in Australia. The Armidale community garden in the New England region was selected for this study because of its wider types of vegetable production. The data was collected by observing and, taking an in-depth and unstructured interview of the convener of the garden. The study showed that the growers only use non-chemical weed management strategies to tackle the predicament. Mechanical and cultural methods including mulching, hand weeding, tillage, and grazing are mainly used to manage the weed infestation in the vegetable garden. Among them mulching with wood chips and hand weeding were considered more effective in minimizing the weed competition during the critical periods of crop development but they could not reach a satisfactory level. Thus, further improvements by integrating several approaches simultaneously are needed to control weeds more effectively and economically. The outcomes of the study will benefit both conventional and organic vegetable producers as well as researchers to keep weed populations at a manageable level.

⁺⁺ Former Student;

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: mahbubanatp2@gmail.com; mahbubalusy21@gmail.com

Keywords: *Weed management; community garden; integrated methods; mulching; hand weeding; tillage; crop rotation.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Weeds are one of the major threats worldwide to conserve biodiversity as well as have a significant economic impact on agricultural production. Weed adversely affects crop yield and quality, and impacts farm management and profitability. Weed infestation has to become a significant risk due to climate change and intensive cropping [1]. In agriculture, it has long been a concern because weed competes with desirable plants for resources such as nutrients, water, space, sunlight and ultimately restricts the plant growth [2,3]. In addition, they can provide host and vectors for plant pathogens, nematodes, mites and insects. Weeds also have economic impacts on cosmetic, recreational and decorative goals such as lawns, parks, landscape architecture, golf course and other natural areas [4]. Some weeds cause irritation to skin or digestive system of human or animal via thorns, hair, prickles or burs [2]. For instance, *Nerium* species have a poisonous chemical which are harmful for human skin [5].

Australia has been facing a great challenge in weed infestation which also increases fire risk and land management cost. Over 2500 weed species currently affects Australian environment [5]. Willmott stated that "Having a great climate and geography, combined with a highly disturbed land-scape, increasing development, tourism and population growth makes all the necessary ingredients for a weed heaven" [6]. Unregulated development, intensive cropping and release of new varieties are making the weed problem worse [7]. The Center for Invasive Species Solutions estimated the annual weed management cost is about \$5 billion in Australia [8]. In addition, they are likely to do a considerable amount of damage to the environment [9,2].

Australia has diversified horticultural crops that grown in intensive rotation, across the wide range of environments [10]. Weeds are a persistent problem for most of the vegetable growers in this region. The common features of vegetables production include frequent fertilization, regular irrigation, soil disturbance along with lack of selective herbicides may enhance the growth of weed [11,12]. Kristiansen *et. al.* stated that weed infestation can reduce yield in vegetable crop up to 70%. Controlling

weeds in horticultural enterprise and gardens are expensive [10]. However, weed management cost may vary with the weed species, soil types, crop varieties, growing seasons and other input products [13]. The weed management cost ranged from 2-22% of total production cost in vegetable production [14]. Australian vegetable growers need to spend \$479 per hectare for weed management, which covers about 11% of total variable expenses [5]. Weeds associated with pest and disease may cause greater economic losses for vegetable production [11].

There are a number of weed control options currently available to Australian vegetable growers. These are categorized into chemical, mechanical and cultural weed control methods. Some techniques are applicable for reducing weed before crop establishment; some are useful after crop plantation and others for enhancing crop-weed competition [11]. All methods are not suitable for all types of plant or all types of enterprise. Minimization of weed competition during critical periods of crop development is the main goal of most vegetable farmers. Many farmers integrate techniques from each of these groups into their farm to control weeds at a satisfactory level [10].

Due to a sub-tropical environment and geographic location, the Northern Tablelands of New South Wales has a wider level of biodiversity [15]. A cool climate with high rainfall makes the state ideal for vegetable production as well as allows it to be a suitable field for weed domination [6]. The region has a dubious honor of being home to the widely established weeds with continual new incursions. Conventional weed management by chemical herbicides has resulted in herbicide-resistant weed population [16]. Moreover, the negative impacts of chemical herbicides on human health and the environment have increased the demand for safe food production [9]. Thus, cost-effective, environment-friendly sustainable weed management tactics should be applied to enhance the agricultural productivity and profitability in this region. This study investigates the existing weed management approaches of a horticulture enterprise in New South Wales and recognizes the importance and areas of improvement within these strategies.

To date, a considerable number of studies have analysed the effectiveness and affordability of

different weed control methods in large-scale and commercial enterprises across New South Wales. However, a few studies available focused on the weed management system of a small-scale vegetable farm in the New England region. The lack of available information about the effects of weeds and usefulness of the applied tactics has increased the need for further study on accessible weed management methods. This study aims to describe the weed management systems of a community garden in New England region to find out the strengths and weaknesses of these tactics. This study seeks information about why they use such kind of technologies and whether these strategies are economically sound. This report also highlights the needs and scope of improvement within these strategies to ensure a better management practice for the future. The findings might make an important contribution to sustainable weed management systems in vegetable production.

2. METHODS

2.1 Site Selection

The Armidale Community Garden in the New England region of New South Wales (NSW) was selected for this study (Fig. 1). The garden is situated at 30.5252°S 151.6648°E in the northern tablelands of NSW [17]. A group of enthusiastic Armidale community members came together and established the garden in a set of six clay tennis courts behind the New England Regional Art Museum (NERAM) in late 2011, which had fallen into disuse for many years [18,19]. The community garden is an action group of Sustainable Living Armidale (SLA) and SLA's

insurance covers all its activities [20]. This is a mixed vegetable growing garden in Armidale which also cultivates a lot of flowers, berries and herbs. Though summer is the main growing season, the garden covers assorted colors of leafy vegetables, beans, tubers crops, spices, berries and fruits round the year. The volunteers who help in the garden can take some lovely fresh food away for free. Excess produce is sold, either directly from the garden or at the farmers' market on first and third Sunday of every month, which also provides the funds for garden activities [18].

2.2 Data Collection

The study was conducted through observation, interview, and informal discussion with a key person responsible for weed management in this garden during the period of June 2018. The researcher personally visited the garden, observed the existing weed problems and interviewed Ms. Jo Leoni, Community garden convener and mainstay. One in-depth and unstructured interview was taken to collect the data. A tape recorder was used to record the conversation. The interview was designed to investigate the present weed control tactics, their strengths and weaknesses, economic benefits, and, the need and scope for further improvements. With the consent of the respondent, photographs of different weed management approaches were taken for further study. This in-depth interview provided a multi-dimensional view for exploring the respondent's understanding, attitude, and behavior about weed.



Fig. 1. Maps of Armidale Community Garden

(Source: Google Maps https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Location-of-Armidale-in-New-South-Wales_fig1_289479556/)

3. RESULTS

3.1 Weeds in Armidale Community Garden

Armidale community garden is a shared garden that invites all community people to grow and harvest chemical free fresh vegetables, fruits, herbs and flowers. The garden becomes a great platform to connect with culture, share knowledge and promote health and well-being. The respondent said that weeds become the main constraints of the garden by not only reducing yield and quality of the crops but also providing habitat for pest and lizards which hamper to work freely. The garden was dominated by broadleaf weeds i.e dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*), clover (*Trifolium repens*), fat hen (*Chenopodium album*), buxbaum's (*Carex buxbaumii*), thistles (*Cirsium arvense*), shepherd's purse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris*), stagger weed (*Dicentra eximia*); grass weeds i.e kikuyu (*Pennisetum clandestinum*), couch (*Elymus repens*), summer grass (*Digitaria ciliaris*), winter grass (*Poa annua*), cocksfoot (*Dactylis glomerata*) and sedges i.e nutgrass (*Cyperus rotundus*) which were functionally similar to the weeds commonly observed in Australian vegetable production [11]. Among them, kikuyu, nutgrass, fat hen, dandelion and thistles are considered as problematic weeds for their persistent underground parts and rapid spreading capacity. The respondent is concerned about weed and considered it as a great problem. The growers have to put extra effort to control the weeds throughout the year. Although the amount of economic loss due to weeds was never estimated by the growers, they believe more production might be possible if the weeds can manage properly.

3.2 Existing Weed Control Methods

Various weed control methods are available in the community garden. The growers always tried to keep the garden chemical free. Sometimes they use a few pesticides for controlling the pest and diseases but they never use either chemical or organic herbicides for weed management. Mechanical and cultural weed management methods are mainly used to tackle the problem. The weeding methods investigated in the community garden are presented below.

3.2.1 Mulching

Mulching is the main weed management tactics used in the garden for suppressing weed.

Organic mulch such as wood chips, pine and spruce bark is commonly used in the garden (Fig. 2). It is applied at a thickness of 4-5 inches between the beds and walkways. It was observed that the area covered by thick mulch is free from weeds while the uncovered area is continually getting weeds. That's why they tried to get the garden covered all the time. But the garden beds are not covered by mulch because most of the time a small worm named 'Spill bugs' remain in the bark. They can go up to the bed and can cut the seedlings. However, the decomposed mulches are mixed thoroughly with the soil during bed preparation. Mulches control weeds by preventing sunlight from reaching the soil surface that is needed for weed seed germination. The respondent said that mulching practices also improve soil health by retaining the soil moisture and welcoming some beneficial creatures i.e earthworms.

3.2.2 Hand weeding

Hand weeding is another common technique regularly used in the garden. Generally, in the growing seasons, the garden is weeded and irrigated in every two days. The weeds are pulled by hand or several types of hand weeder such as hoes, garden forks and trowels (Fig. 3a). The respondent said that most of the volunteers who work in this shared garden were happy to keep the vegetable beds free from weed. Most of the time, the volunteers bring their own weeding tools. They removed the weed by hand-pulling or hoeing and pile up the weed for composting. Before dumping in the compost pit, the noxious weed, seeds and quick spreading grasses such as kikuyu are separated carefully to check the weed infestation (Fig. 4).

3.2.3 Tillage

Tillage during fallow and before sowing or planting is also an effective way to control the weeds. Before crop bed preparation, a deep ploughing is done to uproot the existing weeds from the soil (Fig. 3b). Then hand picking is performed to keep the soil free from roots, stems, seeds and inert materials. Sometimes, tillage carried out immediately after harvesting to incorporate the plant residues to the soil. During the cropping phase, light tillage is also performed in between the crop rows. Spades, shovels, garden rakes, garden forks, mattocks and trowels were usually used for tilling. After tillage, the weeds as well as the green parts of the plant are used for compost (Fig. 4).



Fig. 2. Organic mulch (wood chips) used in the community garden



(a)



(b)

Fig. 3. a) Hand weeding and b) Tillage used during bed preparation for weed management.



Fig. 4. Selected weeds are used for compost production

3.2.4 Grazing

There is a little poultry shed where 12 chickens are reared in the community garden. They also help to manage the weed by eating the green leaves, inflorescences and seeds of weeds. The

respondent said that they never allow the chickens to graze the garden immediately after sowing or planting to the seedling stage of crops because they can eat seeds, little seedlings, and leaves which hamper crop growth.

3.2.5 Crop Rotation

The respondent said that they tried to cultivate different crops species in a periodic sequence of the beds. For instance, last year they grew cucurbits on the north-west corner and this year the beds are prepared for onion and garlic. Rotations provide less chance for the weeds associated with particular crops to adapt and survive. But there is no perfect cropping rotation system followed in the garden except changing the beds in every season. However, this practice plays a significant role in weed management by changing the environmental conditions for weeds.

3.3 Cost and Time for Weed Management

The respondent was unlikely to estimate the cost and time required for weed management. It was not clear which operations need how much money and labour. Since, it's a shared garden community people work here for free. The respondent said that during the cropping phase, 8-10 volunteers spend approximately an hour for hand weeding. So, there is no cost to labour except mulch. Around 15 cubic meters mulch is required to cover the garden to a thickness of 4 inches and the cost for mulch is about \$330-350.

4. DISCUSSION

Weed is one of the most significant obstacles to get desired vegetable production. The demand for integrated weed management has grown steadily in recent years among vegetable growers [21]. Because single management practice cannot control the weeds properly; the growers need to apply different controlling techniques simultaneously. The community garden also tried to control the weeds by applying different methods together. The most noticeable aspect of these strategies is that they are safe for the community and environment. Without using any kind of pesticides, weeds are being positively controlled during growing season. Therefore, there is a need to evaluate the efficiency and cost effectiveness of currently used weeding methods.

4.1 Strengths and Weaknesses of Existing Weed Management Methods

4.1.1 Mulching

Mulches are very effective at suppressing weeds and restricting seed germination. Kristiansen et

al. stated that mulch can control 80% of weeds of vegetables [12]. It can improve soil health by retaining soil moisture, controlling soil temperature and increasing soil microbes [22]. The decayed mulch can be used as fertilizer which increases the organic matter content of the soil [23]. The wood chips are relatively expensive but they do a lot of jobs for the garden. Thus, the method is an economically viable weed management option for the garden.

There are some problems experienced with mulch such as difficulties with application, and achieving uniform thickness. Moreover, mulch can act as a vector for weed spread because weed seeds, tubers and other reproductive parts can easily transmit through them [24]. It also increased insects and disease activities in vegetable crops [22].

4.1.2 Hand weeding

Hand weeding is widely used weed control technique all over the world. Kristiansen estimated that about 96% of weed could be reduced by regular hand weeding [12] whereas Pannacci et al. [25] argued that viable hand weeding strategies could provide 100% weed control efficacy. However, the efficiency depends on weed species and their growth habits, time, types of tools and skill of the worker [2,10,27]. Good selective control of weed is another advantage of hand weeding which is not available with other mechanical or chemical methods [12].

Hand weeding is one of the most difficult as well as time-consuming forms of manual work in agriculture [27]. Timing is also critical because many species can be regenerated from transplanted pieces if they become too large before hand -weeding [28]. In the community garden, hand weeding is mainly dependent on volunteer activities. In extremely hot, cold or rainy weather the number of volunteers was reduced which resulted in irregular weeding. Then it was difficult to restore the garden to its former state.

4.1.3 Tillage

Tillage before sowing or planting was universally used by vegetable growers due to its high effectiveness and affordability [25]. Kristiansen estimated an average reduction of weeds by 66% for tillage [12]. Tillage can play a key role in

destroying new colonies of weeds before being established in a suitable environment [26].

The effectiveness of tillage depends on tilling time, tilling equipment, knowledge and skill of farmers [26]. Excessive tillage can reduce soil quality and crop yield by damaging the root system [21]. Tillage often breaks the seed dormancy and encourages the germination of new weed cohorts [11].

4.1.4 Grazing

Grazing is a moderately effective and affordable method of weed management [29]. By eating the leaf, soft stem, flower and seed the chickens could make the weed less competitive to crop.

Grazing at seedling stage and excess grazing can reduce plant growth that's why grazing time should be controlled [29].

4.1.5 Crop rotation

Crop rotation is commonly used in the vegetable garden for insect and disease management. Most of the enterprises considered weed management to be the secondary objective of crop rotation [10]. It is a moderately effective but highly affordable weed management tool for controlling many broadleaf weeds.

The disadvantage of crop rotation is that it is not able to grow highest value crops [10]. Farmers also required knowledge of weeds, their favorable environment and skill to maintain proper crop rotation.

4.1.6 Farm hygiene

In addition, farm hygiene is not maintained properly in the garden. Everybody has access to the garden. The visitors and volunteers can easily spread weed through their shoes, tools and vehicles. Poor hygiene practices can allow weed infestations in a farm that was previously relatively weed free [14]. Sometimes, weed's reproductive parts remain in the composting materials which also help the weeds to spread again.

4.2 Future problems

Undoubtedly, weed management is a continuous battle for vegetable growers. They need to face many more weed management challenges in the future. The following problems may arise in the future:

- In Australia, most weed species have been introduced from overseas and every time new species are added to the list. The abundance and distribution of new weed species may increase in the garden.
- By adapting to the environment some minor weeds can become major weeds in the near future and can increase their economic impact.
- Climate change can be a major influence on to spread, adaptation and abundance of weeds in the garden.
- The existing farming systems such as intensive cropping and weed management systems such as mulching can increase weed infestation.

4.3 Recommendations

Although the growers are constantly trying to keep the community garden free from weed, still they cannot reach a satisfactory level. To be able to solve this problem, there may be a need for improvements in the current weed management methods. In addition, to face the future challenges more effective and economically sound weed control strategies should be practiced in the garden. The garden should practice sustainable weed management methods which will be safe both for the community and the environment. No single technique alone will efficiently control weeds during the entire cropping season [11]. Thus, integration of a number of methods is the best way to ensure a sustainable management system. By incorporating the following strategies, the existing management practices can be improved.

- To be able to control the weed effectively, understanding and knowledge of the biology and ecology of weeds are necessary. The developments of recent technologies such as the internet, computer and mobile have created several new and effective tools for weed identification, learning weed habitat and improving new control methods. The growers can improve their knowledge through these modern technologies.
- Maintaining farm hygiene is obvious for control weeds in the garden. Farm hygiene practices can successfully limit weed infestation. A range of techniques such as equipment cleanliness, careful products (seed, mulch, compost) selection and restriction of movement on the garden might reduce the spread of weeds. Visitors

could be encouraged to take personal initiatives such as using separate shoes or covering up socks to reduce the spread of weed in the garden.

- Planting cover crops or green manure crops can be an effective and profitable technique for this garden. They can grow lablab, mungbean, cowpea, and fava bean to cover the beds outside the cropping season. Dense cover crops are effective to suppress weeds [12]. It may also improve soil quality and structure, increase soil organic matter, reduce soil erosion and increase agroecosystem diversity.
- Bio fumigation will be another possible practice for the growers. Some Brassicaceae crops such as Indian mustard, fodder radish, fodder rape and canola release fumigant-like compounds into the soil when decomposed. These plants contain a lot of glucosinolates (GSLs) and after breaking down it can produce isothiocyanates (ITCs) which are responsible for the phytotoxic effects on weeds [11, 30]. It has a positive impact on weeds as well as insect and disease control. During the fallow season, bio fumigants can be planted in the garden and before crop cultivation; they can be mixed into the soil.
- By increasing the density of the crops, the growers can create a competitive advantage over the weeds. The vegetable crops that can produce a closed canopy can prevent the light from reaching the ground [30]. Then it will be difficult for weed seeds to germinate under a dense canopy. However, this will be useful for the broad leaves vegetables.
- Growers are suggested to apply bio herbicides or organic herbicides because they are not only effective but also safe for human, animals and environment. Different types of essential oils such as eucalyptus oil, pine oil, neem oil, vinegar, salts, and crushed mustard seeds are the available options of bioherbicides [14].
- Understanding the knowledge, attitudes and behavior of community people is important to develop sustainable weed management methods because they are the main driving force of the garden. Weed management will not be effective without the support of volunteers and visitors. There is a clear need to encourage the visitors about weed hygiene.

- To adopt a cost-effective method in the garden, the yield loss due to weed and management cost of each method should be estimated properly.

Overall, integrated weed management system that combines appropriate chemical, mechanical and cultural methods should be practiced in the garden. Regular monitoring will be needed to reduce the weed infestation because once the weed spreads; it will be very difficult to control.

5. CONCLUSION

Weeds are the major constraint to getting desirable yield and profit from agricultural production. Vegetable growers have to face more challenges to get rid of weed infestation. Weed management tactics may vary with weed species, crop varieties, seasons and enterprise goals. In this study, the present weed management strategies of a vegetable garden are critically analyzed by an in-depth interview with the key person responsible for managing weeds. Mulching with wood chips was the main method used in the garden. In addition, a few conventional methods such as hand weeding and tillage were applied to reduce the problem. Though the methods had high effectiveness and affordability, the growers were not able to control the overspreading weeds properly. Thus, further improvements are needed within the approaches. Since the single method is not sufficient to tackle this problem, systems integration is required to reduce the possibility of weed control failure. Along with the existing strategies, planting cover crops, applying organic herbicides and using bio fumigation are recommended. By practicing integrated weed management approaches, vegetable growers can control weeds more effectively and economically. Community involvement in the weed control process will sustain the improvement. Further research is required to determine the impact of weeds including the yield and quality decline, weed management cost and their effectiveness in the garden. Therefore, to meet the future challenges weed managers need to think beyond and be flexible in their approaches to weed issues.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was conducted as a part of the Integrated Weed Management (AGRO522) course in the University of New England, Armidale, Australia. I am grateful to the unit

coordinator Professor Brian Sindel, University of New England for his valuable guidance and cooperation throughout the study. The author greatly acknowledges the contribution of the respondent for giving interviews so generously.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Coleman MJ, Sindel BM, van der Meulen AW, Reeve IJ. The risks associated with weed spread in Australia and implications for natural areas. *Natural Areas Journal* 2011;31:368-376. Available:doi.org/10.3375/043.031.0407
2. Sindel BM. Weeds and their impact. In: Sindel BM, editor. *Australian weed management systems*, Melbourne: R.G. & F.J. Richardson. 2000;3-16.
3. Robert ELN. *Weed management handbook*. 9th ed. British Crop Protection Council, UK: Blackwell Science; 2002. Available: <http://www.communityfoods.org.au/directory/listing/433>.
4. Ansong M, Pickering C. What's a Weed? Knowledge, attitude and behaviour of park visitors about weeds. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10:1-14.
5. Berenger M. Australia's new approach to tackling our billion-dollar pest animal and weed problem. *Australian Veterinary Journal*. 2017;95:8-9.
6. Willmott J. Making the move from traditional to contemporary weed management. *Plant Protection Quarterly*. 2013;28:73-74.
7. Sinden J, Jones R, Hester S, Odom D, Kalisch C, James R, Cacho O. The economic impact of weeds in Australia. Technical Series 8, CRC for Australian Weed Management; 2004. Available: <https://www.cbd.int/financial/values/australia-a-economicweeds.pdf>
8. McLeod R. Annual costs of weeds in Australia. Centre for Invasive Species Solutions, Canberra; 2018. Available: <https://invasives.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Cost-of-weeds-report.pdf>
9. Islam F, Wang J, Farooq MA, Khan MSS, Xu L, Zhu J, Zhao M, Muños S, Li QX, Zhou W. Potential impact of the herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid on human and ecosystems. *Environment International*. 2018;111:332–351. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.10.020>
10. Kristiansen P, Coleman M, Fyfe C, Sindel BM. Weed management for the Australian vegetable industry: Final report. Horticulture Australia limited. University of New England; 2014. Available: <https://hdl.handle.net/1959.11/16778>
11. Kristiansen P, Coleman M, Fyfe C, Sindel BM. Weed management for the vegetable industry-Scoping study. Horticulture Innovation Australia, Sydney; 2015.
12. Kristiansen PE. Sustainable weed management in organic herb and vegetable production. PhD dissertation. University of New England; 2003.
13. Parry S, Shrestha A. Effects of weed-free periods on organic romaine lettuce production. *Journal of Crop Improvement*. 2018;32(1):124-139. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427528.2017.1402112>
14. Sindel BM, Coleman M, Kristiansen P, Reeve I. Sustainable broadleaf weed control in cucurbit crops. Final Report. VG10048. Horticulture Australia. 2011;3-29. Available: <https://www.horticulture.com.au/globalassets/hort-innovation/historic-reports/scoping-study-for-sustainable-broadleaf-weed-control-in-cucurbit-crops-vg10048.pdf>
15. Ruttledge A, Whalley RDB, Reeve I, Backhouse DA, Sindel BM. Preventing weed spread: A survey of lifestyle and commercial landholders about in the Northern Tablelands of New South Wales, Australia. *The Rangeland Journal*. 2015; 37:409-423. DOI: 10.1071/RJ15010
16. Preston C. Herbicide mode of action and herbicide resistance. In: Sindel BM, editor. *Australian weed management systems*, Melbourne: R.G. & F.J. Richardson. 2000;209-226.
17. Wikipedia; 2017. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Armidale,_New_South_Wales
18. Anonymous. The Armidale Community Garden; 2015. Accessed 17 June 2018.
19. Emma D. Community Gardens Australia; 2022.

- Accessed 15 April 2023
Available: <https://communitygarden.org.au/isting/armidale-community-garden/>.
ABN.765 052 285 56.
20. Anonymous. Sustainable Living Armidale; 2023.
Accesses 15 April 2023.
Available: <https://slarmidale.org/garden>
21. Kristiansen P, Sindel BM, Jessop R. Agronomic and economic evaluation of weed management methods in organic herb and vegetable production systems. Proceedings of the 11th Australian Agronomy Conference. 2003;4-1.
22. Khan BA. Implications of mulching on weed management in crops and vegetable. In: Akhtar K, Arif M, Riaz M, Wang H, editors. Mulching in Agroecosystems, Singapore: Springer; 2022.
Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6410-7_13
23. Billeaud LA, Zajicek JM. Influence of mulches on weed control, soil pH, soil nitrogen content, and growth of *Ligustrum japonicum*. Journal of Environmental Horticulture. 1989;7:155-157.
24. Arentoft B, Ali A, Streibig J, Andreasen C. A new method to evaluate the weed-suppressing effect of mulches: a comparison between spruce bark and cocoa husk mulches. Weed Research 2013;53:169-175.
DOI: 10.1111/wre.12011
25. Pannacci E, Lattanzi B, Tei F. Non-chemical weed management strategies in minor crops: A review. Crop Protection. 2017;96:44-58.
26. Pratley JE. Tillage and other physical management methods. In: Sindel BM, editor. Australian weed management systems, Melbourne: R.G. & F.J. Richardson. 2000:105-122.
27. Sita T, Sindel BM, Smart N, Coleman MJ, Fyfe C, Lawlor C, Vo B, Kristiansen P. Hand weeding tools in vegetable production systems: an agronomic, ergonomic and economic evaluation. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability. 2022;20(4):659-674.
DOI: 10.1080/14735903.2021.1964789
28. Asgarpour R, Ghorbani R, Koocheki A, Mohammad-Abadi A. Effects of integrated weed management using solarization, straw mulch and hand-weeding on weed seed-bank. Pizhūhishhā-yi zirā'i Irān. 2011;8:424-430.
29. Kemp DR, Friend DA. Grazing management methods. In: Sindel BM, editor. Australian weed management systems, Melbourne: R.G. & F.J. Richardson. 2000:139-160.
30. Bajwa AA, Walsh M, Chauhan BS. Weed management using crop competition in Australia. Crop Protection. 2017;95:8.
DOI: 10.1016/j.cropro.2016.08.021

© 2023 Ferdous; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105012>