



Agronomic Evaluation of Heat Tolerant Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Cultivars under Late Sown Conditions

**A. Sagar^{a++*}, N. Mahesh^{a#}, O. Sampath^{a#}
and K. Chandra Shakher^{bt}**

^a Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Polasa, Jagtial, India.

^b Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Kampasagar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92585

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104825>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/06/2023

Accepted: 07/08/2023

Published: 09/08/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment entitled "Agronomic evaluation of heat tolerant chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars under late sown conditions" was carried out during *Rabi*, 2022 in sandy clay loam soils of college farm at Agricultural College, Jagtial. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design and replicated thrice. The treatments included six dates of sowing viz., November 1st, November 15th, December 1st, December 15th, January 1st and January 15th in main plots and three varieties viz., JG 14, NBeG-3 and NBeG-47 in sub plots. The outcome of the research revealed that crop sown on 1st November showed significantly higher plant height (51.7 cm), dry matter production (477 g m⁻²) and yield attributes like number of branches plant⁻¹ (8.9), number of pods plant⁻¹ (27.9), seed yield (2265 kg ha⁻¹) and haulm yield (5479 kg ha⁻¹) yield compared to other dates of sowing but it was also statistically on par with crop sown on 15th November for most of the parameters.

⁺⁺ M. Sc. Research Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Subject Matter Specialist (Crop Production);

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sagarakarapu11@gmail.com;

Significantly, the lowest growth parameters and yield attributes was noticed for crop sown on 15th January. Among three varieties plant height was significantly highest with NBeG-47 (51.1 cm) due to its genetic character however, significantly higher dry matter production (403.8 g m⁻²), yield attributes like number of branches plant⁻¹ (8.1), number of pods plant⁻¹ (23.9), seed yield (1898 kg ha⁻¹), haulm yield (4432 kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (30%) was recorded with NBeG-3 which in turn on par with NBeG-14 for most of the characters.

Keywords: Chickpea; dates of sowing; varieties; seed yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a major legume crop, commonly known as gram, bengal gram, chana. It is the second-most prominent pulse crop in the world for the human diet, following pigeon pea [1]. It is a significant contributor to human nutrition for millions of people in under developed countries and is a rich source of protein. It has high nutritive value with protein (18-22%), carbohydrate (52-70%), fat (4-10%), crude fibre (1.37%), lysine (195-205 mg⁻¹), carotene (89-94 mg⁻¹), fibre (3%), minerals (calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, iron, zinc) and vitamins [2].

Chickpea is an annual, self-pollinated diploid legume crop, which is beneficial for both human and soil health. They meet 80% of their nitrogen (N) requirement through symbiotic rhizobial interaction that allows them to fix up to 140 kg N ha⁻¹ from the atmosphere, leaving significant amounts of residual nitrogen for succeeding crops and adding much-needed organic matter to maintain and improve soil health, long-term fertility, and sustainability of the ecosystem.

In view of crop diversification chickpea can be grown under rice fallows as it is a premier pulse crop and profitable for farmers. Higher yields arise from sowing within the optimal period, which gives the crop more time to grow and develop, as compared to early and late sowing, that reduces crop yields [3].

Chickpea is normally sown during 2nd FN of October to 1st FN of November in Telangana state. However, chickpea sowing is often delayed due to late transplanting of paddy in *Kharif*, growing long duration rice varieties and higher soil moisture during rice harvesting, fields take another 15 to 20 days to reach proper soil moisture to take up sowing of chickpea. These conditions lead to exposure of crop to higher temperature at critical stages like flowering and

pod development ultimately resulted in drastic yield reduction. Under late sown conditions high temperatures at reproductive stage limit the crop yield. In India chickpea yield reduced by 53 kg ha⁻¹ for each 1^oC increase in seasonal temperature [4]. In this context, the study was conducted to study the performance of heat-tolerant chickpea cultivars under delayed conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at the College Farm, Agricultural College, Jagtial, Professor Jaya Shankar Telangana State Agricultural University. The farm is geographically situated in Northern Telangana Zone of Telangana State at an altitude of 243.4 m above mean sea level at 18° 50'37" N latitude and 78° 57'6" E longitude. According to Troll's climatic classification it falls under semi-arid tropics (SAT). The soil texture of experimental field was sandy clay loam, medium in organic carbon (0.5%), low available nitrogen (270.9 kg ha⁻¹), and high in available phosphorus (36 kg ha⁻¹) and high in available potassium (416.25 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment consists of eighteen treatment combinations with six dates of sowing viz., 1st November, 15th November, 1st December, 15th December, 1st January and 15th January and three varieties viz., JG-14, NBeG-3 and NBeG-47 in split plot design, replicated thrice. Three varieties of chickpea were sown at different dates as per the treatments at a spacing of 30 x 10 cm. Recommended dose of fertilizers i.e., 20-50-20 NPK kg ha⁻¹ were applied as basal dose in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. All recommended package of practices done pertaining to other management practices.

The data collected on growth parameters at different phenological stages, seed and haulm yield from the experiment was statistically analysed by analysis of variance utilizing split plot design [5].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height (cm)

Plant height of the crop increased as the crop age advanced. At branching initiation, dates of sowing showed no significant influence on the plant height. Significantly highest plant height at flowering (39.9cm), pod development (48.5cm) and physiological maturity (51.7cm) was observed with November 1st sowing compared to other dates of sowing while, the lowest plant height at flowering (34.0cm) for crop sown on 1st January and at pod development (37.9 cm) and physiological maturity (39.0 cm) was observed with 15th January sowing (Table 1). Delay in sowing drastically reduced the plant height of the crop due to adverse weather conditions. The results were similar to the findings of Eshan et al. [6] and Sekhar et al. [7].

Significantly higher plant height was observed for NBeG-47 variety at branching initiation (30.4 cm), flowering (40.6 cm), pod development (48.3 cm) and physiological maturity (51.1 cm) compared to JG-14 and NBeG-3 which in turn, on par with each other. Higher plant height of NBeG-47 might be due to its genotype as the variety is developed to have higher plant height to make it suitable for mechanical harvesting.

Interaction effect showed no significant impact on plant height at branching initiation but showed significant effect at flowering, pod development and harvest. At flowering highest plant height was observed for November 1st sowing with NBeG-47 variety (47.1 cm) which was on par with 15th November sowing of NBeG-47 variety (43.7 cm) and lowest in case of 15th November sowing with NBeG-3 (31 cm). At pod development and physiological maturity significantly highest plant height was recorded with 1st November sowing of NBeG-47 variety (59.7 and 63.2 cm) and lowest for 15th January sowing of NBeG-3 variety (35.8 and 36.5 cm).

3.2 Dry Matter Production (g m⁻²)

At all the crop growth stages dry matter production is significantly affected by date of sowing. At branching initiation, flowering, pod development and physiological maturity dry matter production of crop sown on November 1st (26.4, 58.8, 357.3 and 477.7 g m⁻² respectively) is significantly higher which is also on par with crop sown on 15th November (24.7, 54.8, 333.2,

and 456.8 gm⁻²) while, significantly the lowest dry matter production was observed in case of crop sown January 15th (16.4, 34.8, 163.3, and 190.3 gm⁻² respectively) (Table 2). These results were corroborative the findings of Ragavendra et al. [8], Suryakala et al. [9], Sekhar et al. [7] and Singh et al. [10].

Significantly higher dry matter production was observed with NBeG-3 variety at branching initiation (22.9 gm⁻²), flowering (51.2 gm⁻²), pod development (293.5 gm⁻²) and at physiological maturity (403.8 gm⁻²). However, it was on par with JG-14 while the lowest dry matter production was noticed with NBeG-47.

3.3 Yield Attributes

3.3.1 Number of branches plant⁻¹

Higher number of branches plant⁻¹ of chickpea was noticed for crop sown on November 1st (8.9) but it was comparable with 15th November (8.2) sowing. Significantly lower number of branches plant⁻¹ was recorded for January 15th (5.6) sowing which was in turn, equitable with 1st January (6.5) sowing (Table 3). The results are in concurrence with findings of Kumar et al. [11] and Kumar et al. [12].

Among three varieties, significantly higher number of branches plant⁻¹ was recorded with NBeG-3 (8.1) compared to JG-14 (7.3) and NBeG-47 (6.8) which in turn recorded the lowest number of branches plant⁻¹. The lower number of branches plant⁻¹ in JG-14 and NBeG-47 are due to the genotype's erect nature and compact structure as well as peculiar branching habit. These were similar as shown by Niveditha et al. [13] and Basha et al. [14].

3.3.2 Number of pods plant⁻¹

Maximum number of pods plant⁻¹ was noticed in November 1st (27.9) sown crop compared to other dates of sowing. In turn it is also on par with November 15th (25.5) sowing while significantly lowest pods plant⁻¹ was recorded for 15th January sowing (13.2). Results were in conformity with the findings of Kumar et al. [12], Kumar et al. [2] and Sekhar et al. [7]. Early sowing resulted in favourable weather factors such as plant water status, temperature, photoperiod, sunshine hours, photosynthetic rate, and chlorophyll concentration resulted more number of pods plant⁻¹ [11].

Maximum number of pods plant⁻¹ was obtained with NBeG-3 (23.9) which was on par with JG-14 (22.8) and significantly superior over NBeG-47 (16.6) which in turn, recorded the lowest number of pods plant⁻¹.

3.3.3 Number of seeds pod⁻¹

Number of seed pod⁻¹ was not significantly influenced by the date of sowing. This may be due to the fact the producing number of seeds per pod is genetical character of chickpea which was less influenced by dates of sowing.

Number of seeds pod⁻¹ was influenced by varieties as it is a genetical character. Among three varieties NBeG-47 noticed higher seeds pod⁻¹ (1.2) and significantly superior to JG-14 (1.1) and NBeG-47 (1.1) which in turn, on par with each other.

3.3.4 Seed index (100 seed weight) (g)

Among different sowing dates higher seed index is observed for November 15th (27.7g) sown crop which in turn on par with 1st November, 1st December and 15th December sowings while, significantly the lowest seed index was observed for January 15th (20.3g) due to prevailing higher temperatures during pod development which leads to shrivelling of grains. Shorter grain filling period, high temperatures during the grain filling stages and longer photoperiod due to delay in sowing might be the reason for the reduced seed index of chickpea [11].

Maximum seed index was recorded with NBeG-47 (27.3 g) which was on par with NBeG-3 (26.8 g) and significantly lowest seed index was observed for JG-14 (24.3 g). Highest seed index of NBeG-47 might be due to genotypic variation.

3.3.5 Seed yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Significantly the highest seed yield was obtained with November 1st (2265 kg ha⁻¹) sowing which is equitable with 15th November (2034 kg ha⁻¹) sown crop. While, the significantly lowest seed yield of chickpea was recorded with 15th January (553 kg ha⁻¹) due to delayed sowing (Table 4). The higher seed yield of chickpeas sown during the first week of November is due to lower maximum temperatures and higher relative humidity similar findings were reported by Kumar et al. [11]. Under delayed sowing, reproductive stage of chickpea coincides with heat stress, chickpea being sensitive to fluctuating temperatures yields of the crop get effected [13].

Among three varieties higher seed yield was obtained for NBeG-3 (1898 kg ha⁻¹) which is on par with JG-14 (1785 kg ha⁻¹) these two varieties were heat tolerant performed better under normal sown and delayed conditions also. While, significantly the lowest seed yield was observed for NBeG-47 (1307 kg ha⁻¹). Similarly, Sekhar et al. (2015) claimed that NBeG-3 produced significantly higher yields under different dates of sowing. The higher yield of NBeG-3 and JG-14 is due to its heat and drought tolerant capability hence recommended for late sowing conditions was noticed by Niveditha et al. [13] and Dhote et al. (2019).

3.3.6 Haulm yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Significantly the highest haulm yield was noted with November 1st (5479 kg ha⁻¹) sowing compared to other dates of sowing however, it was on par with 15th November (5095 kg ha⁻¹). While, the lowest haulm yield (173 kg ha⁻¹) was observed for 15th January sowing. Increased dry matter accumulation and improved yield attributing traits due to the accumulation of more photosynthates in sinks may be the causes of increased stover and biological yield under normal sowing [15].

Among the three varieties, NBeG-3 showed highest haulm yield (4432 kg ha⁻¹) and significantly superior to NBeG-47 (3710 kg ha⁻¹) while it was at par with JG-14 (4268 kg ha⁻¹). Even under late sown conditions, NBeG-3 followed by JG-14 genotypes produced a consistent yield because of heat tolerance hence, they can be employed in breeding programmes going forward.

3.3.7 Harvest index (HI)

Harvest index of chickpea was not significantly affected by different dates of sowing. However numerically maximum harvest index was noticed under January 15th (30.3%) sowing. Delayed sowing reduced the biomass production but increased the harvest index similarly stated by the findings of Singh et al. [16].

Among the three varieties NBeG-3 (30.0%) showed higher harvest index which is on par with JG-14 (29.9%) and the lowest harvest index was observed for NBeG-47 (26.1%). This variation among genotypes might be due to differences in physiological traits that might be responsible for production potential Kumar et al. [11].

Table 1. Plant height (cm) of chickpea as influenced by different dates of sowing and varieties at different growth stages

| Treatments | Branching initiation | 50 % flowering | Pod development | harvest |
|--|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Dates of sowing (D) | | | | |
| D ₁ : 1 st November | 27.0 | 39.9 | 48.5 | 51.7 |
| D ₂ : 15 th November | 27.6 | 36.7 | 44.1 | 46.3 |
| D ₃ : 1 st December | 27.0 | 35.8 | 42.1 | 43.7 |
| D ₄ : 15 th December | 26.2 | 35.2 | 41.6 | 43.6 |
| D ₅ : 1 st January | 27.4 | 34.0 | 38.9 | 39.9 |
| D ₆ : 15 th January | 25.9 | 34.5 | 37.9 | 39.0 |
| SEm ± | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| CD | NS | 2.78 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Varieties (V) | | | | |
| V ₁ : JG-14 | 25.6 | 34.3 | 39.2 | 40.5 |
| V ₂ : NBeG-3 | 24.6 | 33.2 | 39.2 | 40.4 |
| V ₃ : NBeG-47 | 30.4 | 40.6 | 48.5 | 51.1 |
| SEm ± | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| CD | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Interaction (D x V) | | | | |
| D ₁ V ₁ | 25.9 | 37.8 | 41.4 | 45.8 |
| D ₁ V ₂ | 25.0 | 34.8 | 44.6 | 46.3 |
| D ₁ V ₃ | 30.2 | 47.1 | 59.7 | 63.2 |
| D ₂ V ₁ | 25.7 | 35.6 | 40.4 | 42.0 |
| D ₂ V ₂ | 24.3 | 31.0 | 40.1 | 41.4 |
| D ₂ V ₃ | 32.8 | 43.7 | 52.1 | 55.6 |
| D ₃ V ₁ | 26.0 | 33.2 | 37.9 | 38.5 |
| D ₃ V ₂ | 26.0 | 33.9 | 41.7 | 43.1 |
| D ₃ V ₃ | 29.0 | 40.6 | 47.0 | 49.5 |
| D ₄ V ₁ | 26.1 | 32.4 | 39.9 | 40.2 |
| D ₄ V ₂ | 22.7 | 33.2 | 37.0 | 38.1 |
| D ₄ V ₃ | 29.8 | 40.1 | 48.2 | 52.6 |
| D ₅ V ₁ | 25.7 | 33.2 | 38.8 | 39.3 |
| D ₅ V ₂ | 25.3 | 32.8 | 36.1 | 37.3 |
| D ₅ V ₃ | 31.3 | 36.1 | 41.8 | 43.1 |
| D ₆ V ₁ | 24.2 | 33.9 | 37.0 | 37.6 |
| D ₆ V ₂ | 24.4 | 33.5 | 35.8 | 36.5 |
| D ₆ V ₃ | 29.2 | 36.3 | 41.0 | 43.0 |
| SEm ± | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| CD | NS | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.6 |

Table 2. Dry matter (g m⁻²) production of chickpea as influenced by different dates of sowing and varieties at different growth stages

| Treatments | Branching initiation | 50 % flowering | Pod development | harvest |
|--|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Dates of sowing (D) | | | | |
| D ₁ : 1 st November | 26.4 | 58.8 | 357.3 | 477.7 |
| D ₂ : 15 th November | 24.7 | 54.8 | 333.2 | 456.8 |
| D ₃ : 1 st December | 22.6 | 50.1 | 307.2 | 431.3 |
| D ₄ : 15 th December | 20.8 | 43.4 | 255.7 | 377.8 |
| D ₅ : 1 st January | 18.7 | 39.7 | 234.7 | 348.1 |
| D ₆ : 15 th January | 16.4 | 34.8 | 163.3 | 190.3 |
| SEm ± | 0.7 | 1.9 | 9.0 | 10.9 |
| CD | 2.2 | 6.0 | 28.5 | 34.4 |
| Varieties (V) | | | | |
| V ₁ : JG-14 | 22.3 | 48.0 | 284.9 | 391.8 |

| Treatments | Branching initiation | 50 % flowering | Pod development | harvest |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| V ₂ : NBeG-3 | 22.9 | 51.2 | 293.5 | 403.8 |
| V ₃ : NBeG-47 | 19.6 | 41.6 | 247.3 | 345.5 |
| SEm ± | 0.5 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| CD | 1.6 | 3.9 | 20.8 | 21.5 |
| Interaction (D x V) | | | | |
| D ₁ V ₁ | 27.1 | 58.2 | 347.6 | 496.6 |
| D ₁ V ₂ | 28.4 | 61.7 | 401.9 | 478.7 |
| D ₁ V ₃ | 23.9 | 56.7 | 322.7 | 457.9 |
| D ₂ V ₁ | 25.5 | 55.1 | 346.0 | 462.5 |
| D ₂ V ₂ | 25.8 | 61.8 | 347.3 | 481.9 |
| D ₂ V ₃ | 22.8 | 47.6 | 306.3 | 426.3 |
| D ₃ V ₁ | 23.8 | 54.6 | 317.7 | 435.3 |
| D ₃ V ₂ | 23.7 | 53.9 | 316.4 | 468.1 |
| D ₃ V ₃ | 20.5 | 42.0 | 287.8 | 390.6 |
| D ₄ V ₁ | 21.8 | 43.2 | 268.7 | 376.0 |
| D ₄ V ₂ | 22.1 | 51.3 | 268.0 | 412.0 |
| D ₄ V ₃ | 18.7 | 35.9 | 230.5 | 345.7 |
| D ₅ V ₁ | 19.5 | 42.3 | 265.7 | 407.9 |
| D ₅ V ₂ | 20.2 | 42.0 | 264.0 | 366.3 |
| D ₅ V ₃ | 16.7 | 35.0 | 174.4 | 270.4 |
| D ₆ V ₁ | 16.2 | 34.8 | 164.0 | 172.5 |
| D ₆ V ₂ | 17.7 | 36.7 | 163.9 | 216.4 |
| D ₆ V ₃ | 15.4 | 32.9 | 162.3 | 182.2 |
| SEm ± | 1.4 | 3.2 | 17.4 | 18.1 |
| CD | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Table 3. Yield attributes of chickpea as influenced by different dates of sowing and varieties

| Treatments | No. of branches plant ⁻¹ | No. of pods plant ⁻¹ | No. of seeds pod ⁻¹ | Seed index |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| Dates of sowing (D) | | | | |
| D ₁ : 1 st November | 8.9 | 27.9 | 1.2 | 27.2 |
| D ₂ : 15 th November | 8.2 | 25.5 | 1.2 | 27.7 |
| D ₃ : 1 st December | 7.7 | 21.7 | 1.2 | 27.7 |
| D ₄ : 15 th December | 7.4 | 20.5 | 1.1 | 27.7 |
| D ₅ : 1 st January | 6.5 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 26.2 |
| D ₆ : 15 th January | 5.6 | 13.2 | 1.1 | 20.3 |
| SEm ± | 0.30 | 1.42 | 0.07 | 0.50 |
| CD | 0.93 | 4.47 | NS | 1.58 |
| Varieties (V) | | | | |
| V ₁ : JG-14 | 7.3 | 22.8 | 1.1 | 24.3 |
| V ₂ : NBeG-3 | 8.1 | 23.9 | 1.1 | 26.8 |
| V ₃ : NBeG-47 | 6.8 | 16.6 | 1.2 | 27.3 |
| SEm ± | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.05 | 0.4 |
| CD | 0.5 | 2.2 | 0.14 | 1.2 |
| Interaction (D x V) | | | | |
| D ₁ V ₁ | 8.9 | 29.5 | 1.2 | 26.8 |
| D ₁ V ₂ | 10.3 | 33.4 | 1.1 | 28.4 |
| D ₁ V ₃ | 7.7 | 20.9 | 1.3 | 27.6 |
| D ₂ V ₁ | 8.1 | 28.8 | 1.1 | 25.6 |

| Treatments | No. of branches plant ⁻¹ | No. of pods plant ⁻¹ | No. of seeds pod ⁻¹ | Seed index |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| D ₂ V ₂ | 9.0 | 27.9 | 1.1 | 27.5 |
| D ₂ V ₃ | 7.3 | 19.8 | 1.3 | 30.1 |
| D ₃ V ₁ | 7.9 | 24.6 | 1.1 | 25.0 |
| D ₃ V ₂ | 8.3 | 24.3 | 1.1 | 28.8 |
| D ₃ V ₃ | 7.0 | 16.3 | 1.2 | 29.1 |
| D ₄ V ₁ | 7.7 | 21.5 | 1.1 | 25.7 |
| D ₄ V ₂ | 7.9 | 22.2 | 1.1 | 29.0 |
| D ₄ V ₃ | 6.7 | 17.9 | 1.3 | 28.5 |
| D ₅ V ₁ | 6.2 | 16.3 | 1.0 | 24.1 |
| D ₅ V ₂ | 6.8 | 21.8 | 1.1 | 27.1 |
| D ₅ V ₃ | 6.5 | 15.3 | 1.2 | 27.5 |
| D ₆ V ₁ | 5.2 | 16.3 | 1.0 | 20.0 |
| D ₆ V ₂ | 6.3 | 13.9 | 1.1 | 19.9 |
| D ₆ V ₃ | 5.4 | 9.2 | 1.2 | 21.1 |
| SEm ± | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| CD | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Table 4. Seed yield, haulm yield (kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index of chickpea as influenced by different dates of sowing and varieties

| Treatments | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Haulm yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Harvest index (%) |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Dates of sowing (D) | | | |
| D ₁ : 1 st November | 2265 | 5479 | 29.1 |
| D ₂ : 15 th November | 2034 | 5095 | 28.2 |
| D ₃ : 1 st December | 1916 | 4858 | 27.9 |
| D ₄ : 15 th December | 1619 | 4319 | 27.2 |
| D ₅ : 1 st January | 1591 | 3828 | 29.4 |
| D ₆ : 15 th January | 553 | 1239 | 30.3 |
| SEm ± | 108 | 173 | 1.4 |
| CD | 342 | 546 | NS |
| Varieties (V) | | | |
| V ₁ : JG-14 | 1785 | 4268 | 29.9 |
| V ₂ : NBeG-3 | 1898 | 4432 | 30.0 |
| V ₃ : NBeG-47 | 1307 | 3710 | 26.1 |
| SEm ± | 50 | 97 | 0.7 |
| CD | 147 | 283 | 2.1 |
| Interaction (D x V) | | | |
| D ₁ V ₁ | 2383 | 5683 | 29.6 |
| D ₁ V ₂ | 2525 | 5892 | 30.0 |
| D ₁ V ₃ | 1887 | 4861 | 28.0 |
| D ₂ V ₁ | 2325 | 5260 | 30.7 |
| D ₂ V ₂ | 2318 | 5370 | 30.0 |
| D ₂ V ₃ | 1460 | 4655 | 24.0 |
| D ₃ V ₁ | 1931 | 5010 | 27.8 |
| D ₃ V ₂ | 2426 | 5026 | 32.5 |
| D ₃ V ₃ | 1391 | 4539 | 23.5 |
| D ₄ V ₁ | 1749 | 4457 | 28.3 |
| D ₄ V ₂ | 1789 | 4700 | 27.5 |
| D ₄ V ₃ | 1319 | 3801 | 26.1 |
| D ₅ V ₁ | 1679 | 3945 | 29.7 |
| D ₅ V ₂ | 1702 | 4264 | 28.5 |
| D ₅ V ₃ | 1392 | 3276 | 30.0 |
| D ₆ V ₁ | 641 | 1255 | 33.6 |
| D ₆ V ₂ | 628 | 1336 | 31.7 |
| D ₆ V ₃ | 390 | 1126 | 25.6 |

| Treatments | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Haulm yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Harvest index (%) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| SEm ± | 123 | 238 | 1.8 |
| CD | NS | NS | NS |

4. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the above results, it is concluded that the optimum sowing window for chickpea crop is November 1st and November 15th with heat tolerant chickpea cultivars i.e., NBeG-3 and JG-14. However, under delayed condition chickpea can be sown up to 1st December with heat tolerant cultivars as less than 20 percent yield reduction observed over normal sowing.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- SLondhe VM, Jadhav VT, Birajdar SG, Pawar PB, Jadhav JD, Mrutsagar VMA. Studies on shifting of sowing window for sustainable production of rabi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under changing rainfall situation in medium deep soil of scarcity zone of Maharashtra. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;8(6):432-436.
- Kumar S, Khande RS, Sonboir HL, Pandey N, Bhambri MC. Effect of sowing time, spacing and nipping on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under irrigated condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(1):1218-22.
- Gurung GB, Rijal DK, Gurung BD. Effect of sowing time on grain yield of chick pea under rain fed condition at Pakhribas, PAC technical paper Pakhribas Agriculture Center. 1996;21:264-269.
- Kalra N, Chakraborty D, Sharma A, Rai HK, Jolly M, Chander S, Kumar PR, Bhadrari S, Barman D, Mittal RB, Lal M, Sehgal M. Effect of increasing temperature on yield of some winter crops of northwest India. Current Science. 2008;94:82-88.
- Panse VC, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. III Rev. Ed. ICAR, New Delhi. 1978;68-75.
- Eshan SS, Hossen MS, Islam MA, Islam MA. Chickpea phenology and yield related to agrometeorological indices under different temperature regimes. Journal of Agroforestry and Environment. 2023;16(1): 1-8.
- Sekhar D, Kumar PP, Rao KT. Performance of chickpea varieties under different dates of sowing in high altitude zone of Andhra Pradesh, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2015; 4(8):329-332.
- Ragavendra T, Sudhakar P, Rani PS, Jayalakshmi V, Reddy BR. Photothermal requirement and response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes under different dates of sowing. Andhra Pradesh Journal of Agricultural Science. 2021;7(1): 49-54.
- Suryakala A, Murthy VRK, Rekha MS, Jayalalitha K. Growth and yield of Chickpea in Vertisols of Krishna Zone of Andhra Pradesh. The Andhra Agricultural Journal. 2019;66(4):603-605.
- Singh RP, Verma SK, Singh RK, Idnani LK. Influence of sowing dates and weed management on weed growth and nutrients depletion by weeds and uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed condition. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014;84(4):468-72.
- Kumar A, Kumar N, Devi S, Dhaka AK, Khokhar S. Physiology and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Genotypes in response to different sowing dates in semi-arid regions of North India. Legume Research-An International Journal. 2023;(1):6.
- Kumar S, Verma CB, Kumar A, Singh M, Singh M. Effect of sowing dates and micronutrient on growth and yield of chickpea varieties (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Under changing climatic conditions. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(3): 1071-1077.
- Niveditha M, Patil S, Kalaghatagi S, Ashvathama V. Growth and yield of chickpea genotypes under changing weather scenario in the Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka. Journal of Farm Science. 2022;35(2):192-198.
- Basha SJ, Jayalakshmi V, Ahammed SK, Kamakshi N. Studies on growth and yield characters of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties suitable for mechanical harvesting. The Journal of the Society for Tropical Plant Research. 2020;7(3): 634-637.

15. Sethi IB, Sewhag M, Kumar R, Kumar P, Jajoria M. Yield performance of chickpea cultivars as influenced by sowing time and seed rate. *The Bioscan*. 2016;11(1): 407-409.
16. Singh TP, Deshmukh PS, Nagar RSV. Effect of sowing time of chickpea on its yield and plant growth in North–Western part of India. *Bharatiya Vaigyanikevam Audyogik Anusandan Patrika*. 2011;19(1): 31-35.

© 2023 Sagar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104825>