Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science

6(4): 40-50, 2021; Article no.AJRCS.74058
ISSN: 2581-7167

Environmental Control of Plant Primary Metabolism: Exploitation of Plant Plasticity in Perennial and Tree Crops

Sumanta Bhattacharya[1]*, Swrupananda Mukherjee[2], Rituparna Mazumder[3] and Sparsha Moni Chatterjee[4]

[1]MAKAUT, Public–Foreign-Defence Policy Analyst, Development Studies, India.
[2]Department of Pharmaceutics, NSHM Knowledge Campus, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.
[3]Bengal School of Technology, West Bengal, India.
[4]Executive Council AICTE, Technical Education, BOAA, IIHT, Ministry of Textile, Development Commission (Govt. of India), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJRCS/2021/v6i430125
Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Al-kazafy Hassan Sabry, National Research Centre, Egypt.
Reviewers:
(1) Seid Hussen Muhie, Wollo University, Ethiopia.
(2) Delian Elena, University of Agronomical Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Bucharest, Romania.
(3) Imran Arshad, UAE.
Complete Peer review History: https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/74058

Review Article

Received 12 July 2021
Accepted 22 September 2021
Published 27 September 2021

ABSTRACT

Perennial and tree crops are interwoven with environmental challenges in multiple ways, as anthropogenic global changes are a fundamental component in a variety of pressures that have negative consequences for farming. Climate controls have a wide range of detrimental effects on the land and crops. Rainfall, temperature, heat waves, pests or bacteria, CO2 or ozone levels, and marine flows are a few examples of environmental controls life. These alterations have a negative influence on the metabolisms of primary and secondary in plants, but they make use of the adaptability of plants also, which is referred to as plasticity.

Biological and metabolic characteristics, as well as plant genome mutations for greater adaptability, play an important impact on growth patterns. Pathogens and herbivores, for example, are important climatic regulators that induce unique plasticity within the plant system. The incredible adaptability

---

**Corresponding author: E-mail: sumanta.21394@gmail.com;*

is that the plants thrive under extreme conditions. Furthermore, more research and investigations are needed to determine how and to what extent plasticity can aid endurance. Because of the influence of various other factors, the results of previous studies have been inconsistent. They sense the stressor in the environment, become engaged, and then trigger the appropriate physiological responses. According to the GDB theory, the metabolic exchange is responsible for plant elasticity including the processes of growth and differentiation.

The genetic trade-off in plant life development is caused by the biological impact on growth and genetic alterations, as well as herbivory and plant-plant competition. In a traditional growth rate model, researchers separate the biological and evolutionary components to characterize the impact of competition in the development of this flexibility. Plant breeding is unquestionably important in the application of plasticity to stressful controls. In the current circumstances, larger yields under harsh environmental conditions are required to meet food demand.

Keywords: Perennial crop; primary metabolism; regulation of environment; biotic and abiotic stress, exploitation of plasticity.

1. INTRODUCTION

All the living beings including plants, humans, animals, and also bacteria owe their existence to the constantly occurring metabolic processes, which consists of a wide range of phenomena both physically and chemically [1,2]. Plants in our environment, face a variety of problems like any other living being, including drought, heat, cool, and salinity of soil [3]. UV rays, temperature variations, flooding, and other environmental controls are examples of abiotic pressures that cause additional factors of the environment [4].

Plants build their genomes in opposition to these environmental limitations unlike mammals and become genetically evolved when immobile [5]. Phenotypic plasticity refers to a genotype's ability to vary the appearance of diverse perennial crops in response to different controls. Plants are capable of biosynthesizing an unrivaled diversity of structurally complex bioactive natural compounds with particular actins to survive in hostile environments like predators and restrictions of changing environments [6]. A recent study suggests thatphenotypic plasticity will be the crucial aspect in helping plants thrive in the next years, rather than genetic variety [7].

The Up and down regulations within plant cells and tissues of primary metabolism are two distinct processes in this context [8]. Crops have evolved complicated systems, such as plasticity, to sense pathogens and defend themselves against potential injuries or dangers, according to studies [9]. One aspect that improves plant adaptability is the down-regulation of photosynthesis. Plasticity aids plants in surviving and reproducing in geographically variable environments [10]. Plasticity is directly connected to an up-flow dimension to colonize the spaces and open areas [11] because this allows the gas for a rapid exchange in response to climatic controls [12].

Studies on the genetic alterations of phenotypic adaptation in tomatoes have been undertaken for numerous environmental variables [13,14]. Although yields in non-target situations may be lowered, cultivars suited to specific conditions will require strong adaptability [15]. It is quoted that the weather might turn extreme in the future. Farmers should grow the species with high productivity whichprovidescrops in various environmental constraints in the future [9]. The exploration of hereditary control should be conducted, or the testing conditions should be determined such that it increases the chances of identifying plastic genotypes, to better understand the mechanism of plasticity through reproduction. Plant adaptation research necessitates various controls and a well-determined agenda [16].

When exposed to pathogens, techniques like chlorophyll fluorescence measures and sugar response signal intensity measurements had showcasedthat the photosynthesis rate in that particular area is very low and the tissue’s immediate proximity is lowered. The energy conserved as a result of this brilliant maneuver is put to good use in defense actions. Plant phenotypic plasticity refers to the ability of plant genotypes to produce a variety of phenotypes in response to environmental conditions [17,18]. Nilsson-Ehle coined the phrase "phenotypic plasticity" [19]. Plasticity also refers to the ability to change one's mind shift developing sequences following environmental constraints Plasticity also refers to an organism's ability to change its metabolic phenotypic state in response to a variety of external factors [20].

The downregulation of primary metabolism, which leads to a plant defensive response, dampens signal transmission. Plants use innate immunity to defend themselves against threats. Both general and specific defensive responses can be pre-programmed. This article examines plants' innate skills and methods for controlling and regulating their basic metabolism to prevent the spread of virulent pathogens and also avirulent pathogens.

2. MAIN TEXT

2.1 Environmental Controls: Physiological Effects on Primary Metabolism and Plasticity of Plants

Plants will frequently expose to different environmental difficulties, primarily classified [21] as biotic difficulties and abiotic difficulties. [22] Environmental controls and quantitative trait facts for abiotic stress tolerance genetics have been reported [23]. Decrease in yields and possibly crop losses are the result of these factors. Plant pathogen defense is a complex process that involves plant plasticity hierarchies and genomes that encompass signal detection, defense response, and signal transduction. Many plants will respond by altering their cuticle shields, while others have their membrane modulations. Plants also consist of herbivores that respond to their presence structures [24], such ascellular responses to pathogen attack, reactive species homeostasis, and molecular chaperone [25].

2.2 Abiotic Challenges: Diversity and Evolved Exploitation of Plasticity

2.2.1 Temperature

In all stages of plant development, temperature, water, and soil nutrients are critical variables. Temperature changes during the next twenty years, according to the IPCC [26], range under 23°C. As result (IPCC, 2008; Mittler and Blumwald [27]) droughts, floods, and excessive heat will become more common. It depends on the species to be more responsive to changes at certain development changes in particular environmental conditions when compared to others (Ibáez et al. 2017).

Perennial plants, like other agricultural plants, are susceptible to rising temperatures. Temperature and perennial crops have a more complicated relationship than annual crops do. Individual species, on the other hand, have variable sensitivities and magnitudes of effects. Citrus (Citrus sinensis) thrives in temperatures between 30°C and 35°C, If the temperature increases, the fruit production gets decreases [28]. According to studies, If apples are exposed to high temperatures during the period of reproduction (>20°C), the size of the fruit and soluble solids rise, but hardness as a quality criterion diminishes [29]. If the temperature exceeds the range of 25°C during fruit growth, the glucose concentration, content of acid in the fruit, and the size of fruit in citrus decrease [30]. According to studies by Ayenan et al. 2019, breeding is increased in tomatoes when temperatures are high.

Increased temperature over the optimal mean temperature diminishes fruit production rapidly in cherry [31].

The temperature has been shown to affect the flowering of crops such as mango and guava. As the temperature rises, mango fruit has a considerable vegetative bias that affects flowering phenology. The proportion of mutant flowers was higher in late-emerging sepals that were linked totemperature rise [32,33].

Senna candolleana is a kind of semi-arid perennial Chilean plant that shows greater water supply flexibility in populations from various climate zones [34]. Many research reveals a relationship between the adaptation degree [35] in different settings and the average plasticity across diverse habitats, demonstrating adaptive plasticity in response to a variety of environmental constraints [36].

Temperature changes also cause crops to catch fire [37,38]. Manyspecies of boreal trees have evolved to the point where they can regrow swiftly after a forest fire [39]. Other species like aspens, display adaptability through vegetative reproduction (Shinneman et al. 2015) [40]. Because of these adaptabilities, nutrients that would otherwise be retained in the soil for a long time are released into the soil [41]. It aids in the development of germination seedbeds and reduces the amount of competing vegetation cover. Even though the fire causes significant damage, the plants' inherent flexibility allows them to create new seedbeds [42,43]. This field also has several other resources [44].

The heat shock proteins' synthesis, or HSPs,) [45] is different from genotypes in response to diverse temperature shocks [46]. Low temperatures, as well as a variety of other limitations, are frequently a factor limiting seed development of tree crops inthe northern limit [47]. Seed production is related to seasonal changes in weather conditions (Woodward et al. 1994).

2.2.2 Low light stress

The role of light in net primary production cannot be stressed among the different components of the relationship between temperature and plants. Light availability is influenced by plant population, spatial organization, and canopy structure (Liu et al. 2012). Foliar characteristics like leaf area index and leaf mass per unit area influence the light of leaf gathering capacity and photosynthetic potential. The amount of light reaching the crop also fluctuates.

The amount of light intercepted is always proportionate to the amount of dry matter generated. During different growth phases of Indian mustard, reciprocal shadowing between plants affects photosynthetically active radiation at the canopy level, while self-shading caused by the flowers, upper leaves,and pods affects photosynthetically active radiation at the plant level. Indian mustard suffers from low light stress as a result of dreary weather, harsh winters, foggy and frosty conditions, which results in reductionsin yield. The considerable effect of shading on morpho-physiological characteristics results in low sink strength or flowering, a longer time for reproduction, and a shorter phase for vegetation (Sharma et al. 2014).

2.2.3 Drought

Drought is exacerbated by rainfall changes caused by comparable factors, as well as rising ambient temperatures and carbon dioxide levels. Plants are dying early as a result of the intense drought. When crop plants are drought-stricken, their initial response is to stop growing. Plants limit shoot development and metabolic demand under drought circumstances. Drought activates metabolites which cause plants to produce defensive molecules required for osmotic equilibrium.

Tree seedlings have very narrow climatic niches than older trees (Grubb 1977; Hogg and Schwarz 1997; Jackson et al. 2009; Lenoir et al. [48]; Bell et al. [49]; Dobrowski et al. [50]), making them more susceptible to heat stress and drought. The risk has vastly increased as a result of environmental changes, with more frequent and dangerous droughts [51]. Plant drought resistance has been measured using canopy temperature (Tc) (Gonzalez-Dugo et al., 2005). The canopy temperature will vary by leaf under drought and pathogen infection, as stress-induced morphological changes in the leaf reflected radiation that would otherwise be lost (Jackson, 1986). In drought-stressed situations, the temperature of the canopy, Tc, is critical for plant growth. The plants of the Drought-stressed festival produced less and the canopy temperature is higher when compared with irrigated plants (Blum et al., 1989). Plants with less canopy temperature during drought stress will have a greater plant water ratio and can tolerate more drought conditions [52].

2.2.4 Precipitation

Only if the availability of nutrients gets increased and weather change elevates temperatures to specific species ideals and modifies precipitation forms of soil for decreasing water stress days can plant plasticity exploit and yield production [53].

The chemical properties of the soil, nutrients, and the position of nutrient ions relative to the root surface, as well as the length or distance a nutrient must travel in the soil to reach the root surface, all impact the nutrients accessible to plants in the ground [54]. The key drivers of the availability of nutrients, root growth, and development are soil moisture and temperature. The procedure's outcome is likely to be influenced by environmental control. According to certain theories, direct influences on root surface area may be the primary cause of climate change's effects on nutrient usage efficiency (Itoh and Barber, 1983).

2.2.5 Soil salinity

Salinity in the soil is a serious global threat to agriculture. When crops are exposed to salt stress, they respond in a variety of ways. Soil salinity has an unfavorable effect on most crop yields, as well as the nutritional characteristics of the soil and the area's ecological balance. Soil salinity has two effects on plants. They include osmotic stress and ion toxicity. In the case of salinity stress, the plant cells' osmotic pressure surpasses the osmotic pressure in the cells, reducing the plant's ability to intake water and minerals like calcium and potassium from the soil.

In saline settings, gene expression patterns vary, as do qualitative and quantitative changes in protein synthesis. Even it is well acknowledged that salt stress causes quantitative alterations in protein synthesis if salinity activates specialized salt stress genes is a point of contention. The plant's ability to withstand this stress is closely linked to its genomic flexibility [55].

The primary reactions to abiotic stress, like high salt, are a change in the salt either ratio of sodium or potassium in the cytoplasm of the plant cell. Abscisic acid is a phytohormone that aids plant adaptation to environmental challenges such as high salt levels, dehydration, and freezing temperatures (Seki SK, et al., 2007).

2.2.6 Carbon dioxide

CO2 levels in the atmosphere have risen dramatically, posing a serious threat to long-term global change. (Kirkham, 2011) This involves changes in the agricultural field as well.

The quick and significant increase in photosynthesis could be employed as a key strategic adaptation for the decrease in the levels of CO2 in the atmosphere. Plant species thriving in high CO2 environments have shown a wide range of adaptation responses, therefore determining the degree of variation across plants that demonstrate up-or down-regulation of photosynthesis is crucial. For forecasting acclimatization reactions with accuracy in annual and perennial plants (Bowes, et al. 1993), the up-and-down regulation of photosynthates in high CO2 presence is a complicated process driven by morphophysiological changes related to carbon allocation between source and sink tissues throughout growth and development [56]. In high CO2-grown tomato plants, the rubisco small subunit mRNA was rapidly down-regulated when the sink demand was low [57]. Carbonic anhydrase mRNA levels increased in Arabidopsis grown in high CO2 conditions [58]. It was hypothesized that as sugars accumulate because of the lack of sink strength, nuclear genes become more sensitive than chloroplast genes. (Taylor, G. et al, 2005) There were disparities in transcript abundance, with lower expression linked with functions of chloroplast and high expressionswhich are associated with development and signaling functions (Ainsworth, E, et al., 2007).

The Rubisco protein levels and genetic transcripts' subunit decreased in tomato plants subjected to CO2 increment for about a month, [56] showing various sorts of post-transcriptional regulation of protein content than in control plants (Li, et al. 2008).

The author (Downton et al. 1987) studied the yield response of Valencia sweet orange trees to increasing CO2 over a year. The results reveal that trees planted in enriched CO2, i.e. 800 ppm CO2, produced 70% more fruit, although being comparable in size and mass to control trees grown in 400 ppm CO2.

A study harvesting of sour orange over six years was conducted. The results show that in the CO2-enriched treatment, the average number of fruits gathered per tree is much higher (Idso and Kimball, 1997). Furthermore, they claim that by years 8-10, the CO2-concentrated trees had reached steady-state flexibility in terms of increasing the CO2 level in fruit output. This implies that the mentioned instances of plasticity explosion will almost certainly persist for the remainder of fruit's lives (Idso and Kimball, 2001).

When sink demand was low, Van Oosten and Besford noticed a rapid down-regulation of the rubisco small subunit transcript in high CO2-grown tomato plants. In Arabidopsis growing in high CO2 settings, the levels of carbonic anhydrase mRNA rose (Cervigni, T, 1971). It was suggested that nuclear genes are more vulnerable than c genes due to the gradual sugar accumulation as a result of low sink strength [58].

2.3 Biotic Challenges: Diversity and Evolved Exploitation of Plasticity

To protect themselves from diseases and herbivores [59], plants have created a range of defense systems. These diseases cause biotic stress on their hosts, deplete nutrients, and can even kill plants. To avoid detrimental impacts on their survival, plants tend to establish a balance between their flexibility and biotic stress [60].

The structural and chemo-diversity of plant primary metabolism is as diverse as the variety of bacteria and diseases. As a result, no one system can interpret and explain all control and defense-related acts. The aforementioned mechanisms, on the other hand, are intuitive and suggestive of more investigation in this field.

Plants have evolved complex strategies to deal with biotic stresses despite the lack of an adaptive immune system. The plant genome has hundreds of resistance genes to various biotic stresses. (Cheng et al., 2012; Wang Z. et al. [61]) The metabolic mechanisms that support plant defense responses have been studied extensively. However, how and why distinct signaling pathways converge to elicit biotic stress responses is largely unknown. One such area of scientific interest is the light signaling pathway.

2.3.1 Viruses

Plants infected with viruses, whether perennial or fruit crops, cause loss to agricultureand also loss of neighboring vegetation and time. Furthermore, because most viral infections are asymptomatic but synergistically worsen the damage caused by other disease assaults, virus-related losses in fields are vastly understated [62,63]. Viruses are at blame for 50% of newly discovered infectious plant diseases [64]. The majority of dominant resistance genes found in the plant–virus interactions belong to the nucleotide-binding site–leucine-rich repeat category, which detects viral avirulence gene products via a gene-for-gene interface.While there was originally a direct physical relationship between the Avr and R genes, recent data now supports the more sophisticated "guard hypothesis" approach [65].

The N-terminal structure of virus-resistant NBS-LRR complexes has been discovered and identified, and it contains either a Toll–interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) or a coiled-coil (CC) domain [66,67].

Because half of the known plant viral resistance genes are recessively inherited, than any other resistances virus resistance is common to other plant diseases [68-69]. Using such genes in breeding programs to prevent plant diseases caused by pathogenic viruses is also a good idea.

2.3.2 Bacteria

Bacterial diseases are equally dangerous to perennial and tree plant crops. Even though studies and research indicate adaption of host plant and respond to the invasions of bacteria by reducing receptor density [70]. Plant growth-promoting bacteria can cause drought and salt tolerance [71]. More research is being done on the effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria on Loliumperenne, which possesses a thick root structure, excellent tillering, and adaptable plasticity regeneration capacities.

2.3.3 Fungi

Plant pathogenic fungi are classified as biotrophs or necrotrophs based on their lifestyle. Biotrophs feed on their hosts' live tissue; necrotrophy, on the other hand, kills the host and feeds on its dead tissues. Many plant pathogenic fungi, on the other hand, function as both biotrophs and necrotrophs depending on their habitat or the stage of their life cycle. They have an early phase in the biotrophy in the contamination process but most of the fungi were previously thought to be necrotrophs and hence hemi-biotrophs. [72]. In biotrophic and hemibiotrophic infections, SA signaling is engaged in pathogen resistance, whereas JA and ET signaling is involved in necrotrophic pathogen immunity.

2.3.4 Insects

According to studies, most crops have evolved insect resistance [73]. The release or buildup of self-protective chemicals, which are plentiful in certain perennial plants, is the most selective defense strategy used by plants [74,75]. Several other perennial plants retain granular minerals in their tissues to protect themselves against insect attack and consumption. Silica build-up in perennial grasses is one example.

2.4 Joint Stresses: Studies on Plasticity

Plants are usually subjected to two or more stresses at once, such as low levels of water, heat, and pests [4]. When contrasting single climatic circumstances with several climatic situations, because each control imposes particular needs on the plant, varied results have been gathered [76].

Plant plasticity in Puccinia spp. has been linked to low water levels and precipitation. Rust or root-rotting bacterial infections have been found, as well as low water levels [71]. Several perennial plants have been studied for high-temperature stress and diseases regularly [77]. In addition, the experiments discovered how pest infections and cold temperatures alter the plasticity of weed over crops [71,78-79]. A contemporaneous heatwave in a drought situation has been demonstrated in several studies to increase soil water evaporation. The drought will be exacerbated, and crop yields will be reduced. Drought and weed stress, on the other hand, will reduce soil water levels while increasing the flexibility of perennial weeds, making them more competitive [80].

Despite the fact of studies and data show that combined environmental controls do not always harm plants. Most of these combinations have a good effect on plants by increasing their flexibility. In some perennial and tree crops, studies show that plants may survive one sort of stress while suffering from another.

Heat–pathogen interactions and water-level–pathogen interactions have been identified as relevant research issues in several agriculturally inbound combined stress studies. Individual droughts and ozone shocks may damage the development of Medicagotruncatula, but when drought and ozone stress are combined, plants utilize their adaptability and boost yields, according to one intriguing study [81,70]. High CO2 levels have alsobeen demonstrated to ameliorate drought stress in Poapratensis (bluegrass).

According to Rivero et al research's plants that are subjected to both salt and heat stress outperform those that are only subjected to any of these stress conditions [82]. Similarly, under diverse stress situations, [83] Cynodondactylon is a perennial grass that has evolved more resistant to erosion over time.

3. CONCLUSION

Plants have evolved diverse adaptation strategies to survive and evolve through environmental restrictions throughout generations. Various signaling pathways are engaged as stressors are sensed, resulting in measured interventions. This has a profound impact on gene expression. They've had their transcripts modified, making them more robust to various pressures. Our understanding of plant signal transmission and genetic changes has been increased by recent advancements in biotechnology and bioinformatics. Hence the role of various genes in stress responses can be able to describe. Proteomics studies reveal a lot about how proteins are modified after they are translated. The adoption of these approaches in recent research has increased our understanding of plant stress signaling pathways. Plants must leverage their genetic plasticity for species survival in environmental restrictions, even while phenotypic plasticity aids short-term adaptation to numerous environmental controls [84].

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. John Grace, Has ecology grown up?, Plant Ecology & Diversity. 2019; 1-19.
   DOI: 10.1080/17550874.2019.1638464,
2. Benevenuto RF, Agapito-Tenfen SZ, Vilperte V, Wikmark OG, Van Rensburg PJ, Nodari RO. Molecular responses of genetically modified maize to abiotic stresses as determined through proteomic and metabolomic analyses. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0173069.
3. Ashraf MA, Akbar A, Askari SH, Iqbal M, Rasheed R, Hussain I. Advances in Seed Priming. Springer; Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Recent Advances in Abiotic Stress Tolerance of Plants Through Chemical Priming: An Overview. 2018; 51–79.
4. Suzuki N, Rivero RM, Shulaev V, Blumwald E, Mittler R. Abiotic and biotic stress combinations. New Phytologist. 2014;203:32–43.
5. Bradshaw AD. Evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity in plants. Advanced Genetics. 1965;13:115–155.
6. Antonio J Monforte. Time to exploit phenotypic plasticity. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2020;71(18):5295–5297.
7. Vitasse Y, Bresson CC, Kremer A, Michalet R, Delzon S. Quantifying phenological plasticity to temperature in two temperate tree species. Functional Ecology. 2010;24:1211-1218.
8. Niinemets Ü, Valladares F. Photosynthetic acclimation to simultaneous and interacting environmental stresses along natural light gradients: optimality and constraints. Plant Biology. 2004;6(3):254–268.
9. Kusmec A, de Leon N, Schnable PS. Harnessing Phenotypic Plasticity to Improve Maize Yields. Front. Plant Sci. 2018;9:1377.
10. Gratani, F. Covone, W. Larcher. Leaf plasticity in response to light of three evergreen species of the Mediterranean

maquis. Trees—Structure and Function. 2006;20(5):549–558.

11. Valladares F, Chico J, Aranda I, et al. The greater seedling high-light tolerance of Quercus robur over Fagus sylvatica is linked to a greater physiological plasticity. Trees. 2002;16:395–403.
12. Kuiper D, Kuiper PJC. Phenotypic plasticity in a physiological perspective. Acta Oecologica Oecologia Plantarum. 1988;9:43–59.
13. Diouf I, Albert E, Duboscq R, Santoni S, Bitton F, Gricourt J, Causse M. Integration of QTL, Transcriptome and Polymorphism Studies Reveals Candidate Genes for Water Stress Response in Tomato. Genes. 2020;11(8):900.
14. Diouf I, Derivot L, Koussevitzky S, Carretero Y, Bitton F, Moreau L, Causse M. Genetic basis of phenotypic plasticity and genotype×environment interaction in a multi-parental tomato population. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2020;71:5365–5376.
15. Bernardo R. Genotype × Environment Interaction. In: Bernardo, R., Ed., Breeding for Quantitative Traits in Plants, Stemma Press, Woodbury. 2010;177-203.
16. Arnold PA, Kruuk LEB, Nicotra AB. How to analyse plant phenotypic plasticity in response to a changing climate. New Phytologist. 2019;222:1235–1241.
17. Pigliucci M, Murren CJ, Schlichting CD. Phenotypic plasticity and evolution by genetic assimilation. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2006;209(12): 2362–2367.
18. Pigliucci Massimo, Murren Courtney, Schlichting Carl. Phenotypic Plasticity and evolution by genetic assimilation. The Journal of experimental biology; 2006.
19. Nilsson-Ehle H. 'Vilka erfarenheter hava hittills vunnits rörande möjligheten av växters acklimatisering?'. Kunglig Landtbruksakadamiens Handlingar och Tidskrift. 1914;53:537–572.
20. Theodore Garland, Scott A. Kelly; Phenotypic plasticity and experimental evolution. J Exp Biol. 2006;209(12):2344–2361.
21. Patterson, David T. Effects of Environmental Stress on Weed/Crop Interactions. Weed Science. 1995;43(3):483-90.
22. Ganie SA, Molla KA, Henry RJ, Bhat KV, Mondal TK. Advances in understanding salt tolerance in rice. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2019;132:851–870.
23. Gerszberg A, Hnatuszko-Konka K. Tomato tolerance to abiotic stress: a review of most often engineered target sequences. Plant Growth and Regulation. 2017;83:175–198.
24. Cui H, Tsuda K, Parker JE. Effector-triggered immunity: from pathogen perception to robust defense. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2015;66:487–511.
25. He M, He CQ, Ding NZ. Abiotic stresses: general defenses of land plants and chances for engineering multistress tolerance. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2018;9:1771.
26. IPCC. In: S Solomon, D Qin, M Manning, Z Chen, M Marquis, KB Averyt, M Tignor, HL Miller, eds. Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK & New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press; 2007.
27. Ron Mittler and Eduardo Blumwald, Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2010;61(1):443-462.
28. Cole PJ, McCloud PI. Salinity and climatic effects on the yields of citrus. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 1985; 25:711-717.
29. Warrington Ian, Fulton T, Halligan E, Silva H. Apple Fruit Growth and Maturity are Affected by Early Season Temperatures. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. American Society for Horticultural Science. 1999;124:468.
30. Hutton RJ, Landsberg JJ. Temperature sums experienced before harvest partially determine the post-maturation juicing quality of oranges grown in the Murrumbidgee Irrigation Areas (MIA) of New South Wales. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2000;80:275-283.
31. Kenji Beppu, Takayuki Ikeda, Ikuo Kataoka. Effect of high temperature exposure time during flower bud formation on the occurrence of double pistils in 'Satohnishiki' sweet cherry, Scientia Horticulturae. 2001;87(1–2):77-84.
32. Singh RN, Majumder PK, Sharma DK. Sexexpression in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) with reference to prevailing temperature. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 1966;89:228-229.
33. Ramaswamy N, Vijayakumar M. Studies of the effects of flowering and fruiting

behaviour of South Indian mango cultivars in Abstract IV. International Mango Symposium, Miami Beach. 1992;47.

34. Relyea, Rick A, Associate Editor: Peter J. Morin. Costs of Phenotypic Plasticity. The American Naturalist. 2002;159(3):272-82. Accessed August 17,2021. DOI: 10.1086/338540.
35. Van Kleunen M, Fischer M. Constraints on the evolution of adaptive phenotypic plasticity in plants. New Phytologist. 2005; 166:49-60.
36. Sultan SE. Phenotypic plasticity for plant development, function and life history. Trends in Plant Science. 2000;5(12):537-42.
37. He T, Pausas JG, Belcher CM, et al. Fire-adapted traits of Pinus arose in the fiery Cretaceous. New Phytol. 2012;194:751–759.
38. He T, Pausas JG, Belcher CM, Schwilk DW, Lamont BB. Fire- adapted traits of Pinus arose in the fiery Cretaceous. New Phytologist. 2012;194:751-759.
39. Briand CH, Schwilk DW, Gauthier S, Bergeron Y. Does fire regime influence life history traits of jack pine in the southern boreal forest of Québec, Canada? Plant Ecol. 2015;216:157–164.
40. Zamorano JG, Hokkanen T, Lehikoinen A. Climate-driven synchrony in seed production of masting deciduous and conifer tree species. J Plant Ecol. 2018; 11:180–188.
41. Shinneman DJ, Baker WL, Rogers PC, Kulakowski D. Fire regimes of quaking aspen in the Mountain West. For Ecol Manag. 2013;299(2013):22–34.
42. Greene DF, Zasada JC, Sirois L, et al. A review of the regeneration dynamics of North American boreal forest tree species. Can J For Res. 1999;29:824–839.
43. Miyanishi K, Johnson EA. Process and patterns of duff consumption in the mixedwood boreal forest. Can J For Res. 2002;32:1285–1295.
44. Prescott CE, Maynard DG, Laiho R. Humus in northern forests: friend or foe? For Ecol Manage. 2000;133:23–36.
45. Morin X, Augspurger C, Chuine I. Process-based modeling of species' distributions: What limits temperate tree species' range boundaries? Ecology. 2007;88:2280–2291.
46. Tonsor SJ, Scott C, Boumaza I, Liss TR, Brodsky JL, Vierling E. Heat shock protein 101 effects in A. thaliana: genetic variation, fitness and pleiotropy in controlled temperature conditions. Molecular Ecology. 2008;17(6):1614–1626.
47. Pigott CD, Huntley JP. Factors controlling the distribution of Tilia cordata at the northern limits of its geographical range III. Nature and causes of seed sterility. New Phytol. 1981;87:817–839.
48. Lenoir J, Gégout J-C, Pierrat J-C, et al. Differences between tree species seedling and adult altitudinal distribution in mountain forests during the recent warm period (1986–2006). Ecography. 2009; 32:765–777.
49. Bell DM, Bradford JB, Lauenroth WK. Early indicators of change: divergent climate envelopes between tree life stages imply range shifts in the western United States. Glob Ecol Biogeogr. 2014;23:168–180.
50. Dobrowski SZ, Swanson AK, Abatzoglou JT, et al. Forest structure and species traits mediate projected recruitment declines in western US tree species. Glob Ecol Biogeogr. 2015;24:917–927.
51. Wu W, Ma BL, Whalen JK. Enhancing rapeseed tolerance to heat and drought stresses in a changing climate: perspectives for stress adaptation from root system architecture. Advances in Agronomy. 2018;151:87–157.
52. Blum A. Effective use of water (EUW) and not water-use efficiency (WUE) is the target of crop yield improvement under drought stress. Field Crop Res. 2009;112: 119–123.
53. Neilsen D, Neilsen G, Forge T. Building resilience: future directions in mineral nutrition of woody perennial crops. Acta Horticulturae. 2018;1-12.
54. Jungk A. Dynamics of nutrient movement at the soil–root interface. Plant Roots: The Hidden Half, 3rd edn. New York, USA: Marcel Dekker. 2002;587–616.
55. Manchanda G, Garg N. Salinity and its effects on the functional biology of legumes. Acta Physiol Plant. 2008;30: 595–618.
56. Van Oosten J, Wilkins D, Besford R. Acclimation of Tomato to Different Carbon Dioxide Concentrations. Relationships between Biochemistry and Gas Exchange during Leaf Development. The New Phytologist. 1995;130(3):357-367.
57. Raines CA, Horsnell PR, Holder C, Lloyd JC. Arabidopsis thaliana carbonic

anhydrase: cDNA sequence and effect of CO_2 on mRNA levels. Plant Mol Biol. 1992;20(6):1143-8.

58. Van Oosten J, Wilkins D, Besford R. Regulation of the expression of photosynthetic nuclear genes by CO_2 is mimicked by regulation by carbohydrates: a mechanism for the acclimation of photosynthesis to high CO_2? 1994;17(8): 913-923.
59. Hammond-Kosack KE, Jones JDG. Plant disease resistance genes. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 1997;48: 575 607.
60. Peck S, Mittler R. Plant signaling in biotic and abiotic stress. J Exp Bot. 2020;71(5): 1649-1651.
61. Wang S, Zhu G, Huang Z, et al. Rewiring of the fruit metabolome in tomato breeding. Cell. 2018;172:249–261.e12.
62. Hull R. Plant Virology, 5th Edn. New York: Academic Press; 2013.
63. Anderson JP, Badruzsaufari E, Schenk PM, Manners JM, Desomnd OJ, Ehlert C, et al. Antagonistic interaction between abscisic acid and jasmonate- ethylene signaling pathways modulates defense gene expression and disease resistant in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2004;16:3460–3479.
64. Gao Z, Johansen E, Eyers S, Thomas CL, Noel Ellis TH, Maule AJ. The potyvirus recessive resistance gene, sbm1, identifies a novel role for translation initiation factor eIF4E in cell-to-cell trafficking. Plant J. 2004;40(3):376-85.
65. Soosaar, Jennifer & Burch-Smith, Tessa & Dinesh-Kumar, Savithramma. Mechanisms of plant resistance to viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2005;3:789-98.
DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro1239.
66. Moffett P. Mechanisms of recognition in dominant R gene mediated resistance. Adv. Virus Res. 2009;75:1–33.
67. De Ronde D, Butterbach P, Kormelink R. Dominant resistance against plant viruses. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2014;5:307. Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00307
68. Diaz-Pendon JA, Truniger V, Nieto C, Garcia-Mas J, Bendahmane A, Aranda MA. Advances in understanding recessive resistance to plant viruses. Mol Plant Pathol. 2004;5(3):223-33.
69. Diaz-Pendon JA, Truniger V, Nieto C, Garcia-Mas J, Bendahmane A, Aranda MA. Advances in understanding recessive resistance to plant viruses. Molecular Plant Pathology. 2004;5:223-233.
70. Song Y, Yu J, Huang B. Elevated CO_2-mitigation of high temperature stress associated with maintenance of positive carbon balance and carbohydrate accumulation in kentucky bluegrass; 2014.
71. Valerio M, Lovelli S, Perniola M, Di Tommaso T, Ziska L. The role of water availability on weed–crop interactions in processing tomato for southern Italy. Acta Agric. Scand. Sect. 2013;B63:62–68.
72. Pieterse C, Leon-Reyes A, Van der Ent S, et al. Networking by small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. Nat Chem Biol. 2009;5:308–316.
73. Hanley Mick, Lamont Byron, Fairbanks Meredith, Rafferty Christine. Plant structural traits and their role in anti-herbivore defence. Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution and Systematics; 2007.
74. Chen MS, Pan BZ, Wang GJ, et al. Analysis of the transcriptional responses in inflorescence buds of Jatropha curcasexposed to cytokinin treatment. BMC PlantBiol. 2014;14:318.
75. Massey FP, Ennos AR, Hartley SE. Silica in grasses as a defence against insect herbivores: contrasting effects on folivores and a phloem feeder. Journal of Animal Ecology. 2006;75:595-603.
76. Zandalinas SI, Mittler R, Balfagón D, Arbona V, Gómez-Cadenas A. Plant adaptations to the combination of drought and high temperatures. Physiologia Plantarum. 2018;162:2–12.
77. Cordes RC, Bauman TT. Field competition between ivy leaf morning glory (*Ipomoea hederacea*) and soybeans (*Glycine max*). Weed Sci. 1984;32:364–370.
78. Patterson DT, Flint EP. Effects of chilling on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*), velvetleaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*), and spurred anoda (*Anoda cristata*). Weed Sci. 1979;27:473–479.
79. Patterson DT, Flint EP. Effects of chilling on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*), velvetleaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*), and spurred anoda (*Anoda cristata*). Weed Sci. 1979;27:473–479.
80. Stuart BL, Harrison SK, Abernathy JR, Krieg DR, Wendt CW. The response of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) water relations to smooth pigweed (*Amaranthus hybridus*) competition. Weed Sci. 1984;32: 126–132.

81. Puckette MC, Weng H, Mahalingam R. Physiological and biochemical responses to acute ozone-induced oxidative stress in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 2007;45:70–79.
82. Rivero RM, Mestre TC, Mittler R, Rubio F, Garcia-Sanchez F, Martinez V. The combined effect of salinity and heat reveals a specific physiological, biochemical and molecular response in tomato plants. Plant Cell Environ. 2014;37: 1059–1073.
83. Coakley Stella, Scherm Harald, Chakraborty Sukumar. Climate change and plant disease management. Annual Review of Phytopathology. 1999;37:399-426.
84. Richter S, Kipfer T, Wohlgemuth T, Guerrero CC, Ghazoul J, Moser B. Phenotypic plasticity facilitates resistance to climate change in a highly variable environment. Oecologia. 2012;169(1): 269–279.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/74058