

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 5, Page 248-261, 2024; Article no.JEAI.114055 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Unveiling the Impact of *Mythimna* seperata Walker (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Agriculture: Insights into Host Range Biology and Biological Control: A Review

Ab Ahad Bhat ^a, Hidayatullah Tak ^a, Ishtiyaq Ahad ^b, Waseem Ahmad War ^{b*}, Jameela Rasool ^b, Showkat Ahmad Sheikh ^c and Ishfaq Majeed Malik ^a

^a Department of Zoology, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu & Kashmir-190006, India. ^b Division of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology, Wadura campus, Sopore, Jammu & Kashmir-193201, India. ^c Division of Entomology, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology, Shalimar, Jammu & Kashmir-190025, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2024/v46i52373

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114055

> Received: 07/01/2024 Accepted: 12/03/2024 Published: 18/03/2024

Review Article

ABSTRACT

The Oriental armyworm scientifically referred to as *Mythimna separata* poses a significant threat to cereal crops across East Asia, South Asia, and Australia, resulting in notable agricultural losses. Recent reports indicate its widespread presence in 21 Asian countries and its establishment in

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: warwaseem78@gmail.com;

J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 248-261, 2024

Bhat et al.; J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 248-261, 2024; Article no.JEAI.114055

Europe (Russia) and Oceania (Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, New Caledonia, Norfolk Island, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, and Vanuatu). M. separata undergoes a comprehensive metamorphic life cycle, progressing through stages of egg, larva, pupa, and adult. The larvae are highly voracious, causing significant harm to diverse crops This pest targets a wide range of host plants spanning 18 families, with the majority belonging to Poaceae (44 species), Brassicaceae (10), Cucurbitaceae (9), and Fabaceae (8), exacerbating agricultural damages. The voracious feeding habits of *M. separata* larvae lead to significant crop damage and reduced yields across various crops. Its adaptability to diverse environments and broad host range further amplifies its impact on agriculture. The challenges in predicting and controlling outbreaks stem from its strong flight capabilities, high reproductive rates of females, and voracious feeding behavior during later larval stages. Traditionally, insecticide application has been the primary method for controlling *M. separata*. However, concerns regarding health risks. ecological disruptions, rising costs, diminishing efficacy of some products, and adverse effects on non-target organisms and human health highlight the need for environmentally sustainable pest management strategies. Biological control, involving the utilization of natural enemies such as insects, viruses, fungi, bacteria, nematodes, mites, and spiders, has emerged as a vital approach for managing insect pests while preserving ecological balance. Given the complex challenges posed by M. separata, implementing a holistic approach that integrates biological control methods holds promise for effective pest management. This approach aligns with principles of environmental sustainability and biodiversity conservation within agro-ecosystems.

Keywords: Mythimna separate; host; biology; biological control; management.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mythimna separata Walker, known as the Oriental armyworm, belongs to the Noctuidae family in the Lepidoptera order and is a significant polyphagous insect pest, particularly in cereal crops [1]. Its presence in cereal crops is characterized by unpredictable patterns. The pest is active at night, displays distinct brownishgrey forewings with intricate patterns, and has been documented in 27 countries, territories, and islands across humid tropics to temperate regions [2]. The pest's seasonal prevalence tends to increase with rising temperatures, while it exhibits a decrease in occurrence with higher levels of humidity and rainfall [3]. M. separata attacks various host plants, including vegetables, cereals, fruits, and ornamentals, causing extensive damage, such as the complete consumption of hectares of plants within a single day [4]. Severe damage during outbreaks has been reported in rice, wheat, sorghum, and millet across several countries [2,5]. Recent reports highlight its status as a major migratory pest of cereal crops in East Asia, South Asia, and Australia, leading to significant agricultural losses. The challenge in predicting and preventing outbreaks is attributed to the strong flight capacity, high reproductive potential, and voracious feeding habits of older larval instars [1]. Recent studies have identified host plants that sustain adult moths during long-distance migration. This species poses a threat to various

crops, particularly rice, which serves as a primary host [6]. Larvae of *M. separata* exhibit voracious feeding habits. causing characteristic windowpane-like patterns on rice leaves and extensive damage to maize and sorghum crops [7]. Current management methods heavily rely on insecticides, but their repeated use can lead to pesticide resistance and harm to beneficial organisms, including pollinators, birds, and fish [8,9]. Moreover, pesticide use contributes to environmental pollution, biodiversity loss, and disruption of ecosystem services [10,11,12]. The fourth and fifth larval instars are the most damaging stages, defoliating host crops [12,13]. Consequently, there is growing pressure from consumers, media, and governments to reduce pesticide use in agro-ecosystems. However, currently, there are no viable alternatives to manage *M. separata* effectively. Thus, there is a critical need to develop cost-effective, nonchemical, and sustainable pest management Integrated pest management methods. strategies, including biological control and combining multiple approaches, are crucial for sustainable and effective control of this agricultural pest.

2. DISTRIBUTION

Mythimna separata, a notorious agricultural pest, is infamous for its voracious appetite and its capacity to cause extensive damage to crops. Its widespread distribution across Asia poses a significant threat to food security in numerous countries. In China, it recurrently devastates crops such as corn and rice, while in India, known for its diverse agricultural landscape; it wreaks havoc on maize, sorghum, and millet fields. The Oriental armyworm's habitat spans from Asia to Australia, covering more the 27 countries and islands with diverse climates, ranging from humid tropical to temperate regions, [2], Records of *M. separata* presence date back to various sources across different regions. In Afghanistan, its occurrence was recorded [14], its occurrence was noted while in Australia, [15]. In the USSR, its existence was documented [14]. In China, numerous studies, have confirmed its presence [16,17], and [18], Bangladesh, Japan, and New Zealand have also recorded sightings, as documented by various researchers while there are no reported occurrences from certain areas like Malavsia. Timur. Tasmania. and other islands within the specified latitudinal and longitudinal limits, M. separata is likely to present throughout India. Researchers have documented its presence across various Indian states, including Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Delhi, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Manipur, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal [3].

3. HOST RANGE

Mythimna separata, recognized for its adaptability and insatiable appetite, exhibits a

preference for a broad spectrum of plants vital for human sustenance and economic activities. Among its favored hosts are maize, rice, sorghum, wheat, and various grasses. Under favorable conditions, the oriental armyworm can also infest cotton, soybeans, and diverse vegetable crops. The pest's ability to feed on such a diverse range of plants presents formidable challenges to farmers and agricultural systems, necessitating vigilant monitoring and the implementation of integrated pest management strategies to mitigate its adverse effects on crop yields. The degree of yield reduction depends greatly on when the damage occurs and how the larvae gather in groups. Periodically, infestations of this pest result in total crop failure. The widespread occurrence and resulting losses can be attributed to various factors including expanded irrigation, shifts in farming practices with the adoption of high-vield crop varieties, increased fertilizer usage, and continuous farming. Countries such as India, Bangladesh, China, Japan, Australia, and New Zealand have suffered significant crop losses due to this pest's impact [19]. It travels with wind currents, and its migratory patterns have been well-documented in China and Japan [20,21]. In the northern regions of India, it has been observed feeding on grassy crops and causing considerable sporadic damage [21,22]. The stage of the crop when M. separata infestation occurs greatly influences crop yield losses, sometimes resulting in complete loss [24].

| S.No | Scientific name | English name | Reference |
|------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 1 | Arachis hypogaea L. | Peanut | [4]. |
| 2 | Gossypium arboreum L. | Cotton | [25]. |
| 3 | Linum usitatissimum L. | Linseed | [6]. |
| 4 | Phaseolus vulgaris L. | Beans | [6,26]. |
| 5 | Vigna radiata (L.) | Mung bean | [27]. |
| 6 | Avena sativa | Oat | [28]. |
| 7 | Glycine max (L.) | Soybean | [29,30]. |
| 8 | Beta vulgaris L. | Sugarbeet | [6]. |
| 9 | Brassica juncea (L.) | Mustard | [31,25]. |
| 10 | Brassica napus L. | Rape / Rapeseed | [29,30]. |
| 11 | Brassica oleracea var. italica Plenck | Broccoli | [31]. |
| 12 | Brassica rapa L. | Turnip | [6]. |
| 13 | Cajanus cajan L. | Pigeon pea | [6]. |
| 14 | Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L. | Cauliflower | [31,25]. |
| 15 | Brassica oleracea var. capitata L. | Cabbage | [29,30]. |
| 16 | Cynodon dactylon | Bermuda grass | [32,28]. |
| 17 | Cucumis sativus L. | Cucumber | [31,4,25]. |
| 18 | Cannabis sativa L. | Hemp | [6]. |
| 19 | Echinochloa crus-galli L. | Barnyard grass | [27,6]. |

Table 1. Host range of the Oriental armyworm, Mythimna separata

Bhat et al.; J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 248-261, 2024; Article no.JEAI.114055

| S.No | Scientific name | English name | Reference |
|------|--|--------------|-------------|
| 20 | Hordeum vulgare | Barley | [28,26]. |
| 21 | Lactuca sativa L. | Lettuce | [31]. |
| 22 | Ipomoea batatas L. | Sweet potato | [25]. |
| 23 | Oryza sativa | Rice | [33,34,35]. |
| 24 | Paspalum scrobiculatum | Kodo | [36,37]. |
| 25 | Cicer arietinum | Chickpea | [38]. |
| 26 | Saccharum officinarum | Sugarcane | [23,4,25]. |
| 27 | Sorghum bicolour | Sorghum | [4; 25]. |
| 28 | Triticum aestivum | Wheat | [39,29,30]. |
| 29 | Pisum sativum | Pea | [6,40]. |
| 30 | Oryza sativa | Rice | [3,26]. |
| 31 | Zea mays | Maize | [3,26,39]. |
| 32 | Raphanus sativus L. var. longipinnatus | Radish | [26]. |
| 33 | Pennisetum purpureum Schumach | Napier grass | [3,4,6]. |
| 34 | Panicum miliaceum L. | Millet | [29,30]. |
| 35 | Nicotiana tabacum L. | Tobacco | [6]. |
| 36 | Solanum tuberosum L. | Potato | [29,30]. |
| 37 | Setaria italica (L.) | Rye | [6]. |
| 38 | Solanum lycopersicum L. | Tomato | [4,31]. |
| 39 | Pennisetum glaucum L. | Pearl millet | [4,6]. |
| 40 | Solanum melongena L. | Eggplant | [29,30]. |

4. OUTBREAKS OF ORIENTAL ARMYWORM, *Mythimna separata*

The sudden increase in M. separata leads to extensive crop damage during outbreaks, fueled by favorable environmental conditions and ample host plants. These outbreaks pose significant threats to agricultural productivity as the larvae exhibit voracious feeding behavior. Effective monitoring and integrated pest management strategies are crucial for mitigation Historical instances of *M. separata* outbreaks have been recorded across diverse locations and time For example. Andhra frames Pradesh experienced outbreaks in 1977, 1978, and 1981, while Dharwad; Karnataka faced an outbreak in 1980 and 1981. Additionally, outbreaks occurred in Kullu, Himachal Pradesh in 1983, and Hissar, Haryana, India. Various factors contribute to these outbreaks, including drought following rainfall, floods due to heavy precipitation, population migration, intensified fertilizer usage promoting insect proliferation, trash mulching facilitating oviposition and larval concealment, and specific temperature and humidity conditions before and during the outbreaks These attributions are supported by studies conducted by [3,41].

The surge in migrant populations stands as a significant catalyst for outbreak occurrences, disrupting the equilibrium between natural predators and host populations. In India, outbreaks correlate with climatic events like

heavy rainfall followed by drought, flooding, and the adoption of trash mulching in fields [42]. In December temperatures China. crucially influence outbreak size and timing. Drv conditions from January to April, coupled with elevated humidity from July to August, often result in severe subsequent generations. Population dynamics in Vietnam are intricately linked to relative humidity (RH) and rainfall, with outbreaks aligning with intense monsoon rains and floods. Bangladesh experiences outbreaks following droughts, while Fiji witnesses heavy rains preceding infestations [14]. Japan suggests that the application of substantial manure favors armyworm outbreaks. In monsoon regions, dry periods may constrain larval parasites and pathogens, while increased humidity and temperature facilitate extensive oviposition and accelerated development. This delicate balance of climatic conditions contributes to the cyclical nature of *M. separata* outbreaks. Understanding these triggers is paramount for implementing measures proactive in integrated pest management. The complex interplay between climate, agricultural practices, and regional conditions underscores the necessity for tailored strategies to curb outbreaks and minimize the economic and agricultural repercussions associated with the *M. separata* Researchers and policymakers must continually refine their understanding of these factors to devise effective and sustainable approaches in safeguarding agricultural systems from the impacts of M. separata outbreaks.

5. NATURE OF DAMAGE

The recently hatched larvae of M. separata initially target younger host leaves, later adopting a nocturnal feeding pattern. Furthermore, during the fifth and sixth instar stages, the larvae gather in groups and migrate in search of food, causing significant and seemingly abrupt outbreaks on crops. The *M. separata* is identified as a versatile and occasional pest according to Inflicting substantial harm across various crops. In India, reports indicate that M. separata larvae have been responsible for extensive damage to sorghum and other cereal crops through defoliation [2]. Highlighted the voracious feeding habits of larvae within the central whorls, particularly during the night, as they migrate between plants and fields once their food source is depleted. Emphasized severe damage caused by larvae in the central whorls of maize plants, affecting leaves and the apical portion of the plant. Researchers observed a high incidence of (2-3 larvae/plant), larvae resulting in approximately 55.92 per cent of plants being damaged. with some fields experiencing complete defoliation in the sorghum fields of Maharashtra. Satara district. Researchers documented the armyworm larvae voraciously consuming sorghum leaves and causing extensive damage during the night, with migrations occurring between fields when food was scarce [43]. Heavy feeding by armyworms on sorghum plants was reported; leading to a ragged appearance of the sorghum leaves [44].

6. BIOLOGY OF *Mythimna separata* ON DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

The moth species M. separata undergoes a comprehensive metamorphic life cvcle. progressing through stages of egg, larva, pupa, and adult. The larvae of *M. separata* are highly voracious, causing significant harm to diverse crops such as rice, corn, and other grasses. A thorough understanding of *M. separate's* biology is essential for developing effective strategies to manage this pest, thereby reducing its impact on yields and preserving agricultural crop productivity. The pre-oviposition period ranged from 2 to 5 days, during which females laid approximately 996 eggs on average. These eggs had an incubation period of 4 to 5 days [24]. Observations indicated that female *M. separata* deposited their eggs in sunlight, rugged pastures where there was adequate protection for both eggs and larvae [45]. Female moths lay around 500 to 900 eggs, with a maximum of 1943

recorded [45,46]. The egg stage lasted between 2 to 7 days [47,48]. Observations noted that eggs being laid between the leaf sheath and stem of rice plants (48). Researchers found that females laid eggs in soil [28]. Observations reported that female moths typically deposited eggs either in overlapping rows or occasionally singly or in clusters within narrow spaces such as leaf sheaths in rice fields in Varanasi, India [49]. In laboratory conditions, eggs were laid on paper or glass surfaces. Female moths were observed laying their eggs in clusters within the plant's whorl during nighttime [44], while egg laying in rows within the leaf sheath of sorghum was noted [50]. The eggs were described as broadly oblong, measuring about 0.5 mm long and 0.4 mm wide. Initially, the eggs are snowy white, but they darken to grevish or black upon hatching [51]. The eggs were noted round and light brown, gradually transitioning to pale yellow and ultimately black [28]. Another observation reported that the eggs were spherical, uniformly light-colored, changing to pale yellow and then blackish before hatching, with an average diameter of 0.6 mm [49]. Researchers found the incubation period to be 4-6 days on sorghum [53,54], 2 to 3 days on maize and wheat [49]. Another observation noted that incubation period varying from 4 to 22 days across different months [37]. Generally, M. separata larvae were observed to undergo six larval instars, as noted by various researchers [49,50] while some observations of seven instars on sorghum [43]. Researchers reported a larval period of 14.25 to 18.50 days for *M. separata* on sorghum, passing through six larval instars [49]. The newly emerged larva displayed a petite, cylindrical form, exhibiting an energetic demeanor with a pale white hue and tender skin. Their findings revealed that the initial larval stage ranged from 3 to 4 days, averaging 3.70 days in the first generation, and 3 to 5 days, averaging 4.00 days in the second generation [50]. The second instar larvae as having a green body adorned with light brown parallel stripes along the dorsolateral aspect, along with a light brown head. They reported the duration of the second instar larvae to be approximately 2.6 days in laboratory conditions and 4.0 days under field conditions [49]. The length of the larva ranged from 2.54 to 3.23 mm, with an average of 3.0 mm (50), while some reported a length of 9.5 mm for armyworm larvae [49]. Researchers noted a significant alteration in body coloration during this stage, accompanied by an increase in size compared to the first instar larva [50]. Researchers reviled that the larvae displayed a light brown hue, marked by longitudinal parallel stripes on their dorsolateral sides, along with a similarly colored head. They also noted that the third instar larvae typically lasted around 3.4 days in laboratory settings and 4.4 days under field conditions [49]. The third instar larva as elongated, featuring brownish longitudinal bands on its dorsolateral body, along with a light brown head [50]. Researchers documented that the fourth instar larvae lasted approximately 2.6 days on average in laboratory settings and 4.0 days in the field [49], variations in the color of the instar within individuals, ranging from light green to dark green, accompanied by whitish-yellow mid-dorsal stripes. They noted the larval head to be vellowish-brown to dark brown, exhibiting a honeycomb-like structure. The length of the fourth instar larvae ranged from 8.00 to 11.00 mm, averaging 9.6 mm [49]. The general body color was described as dark greenish, featuring a white mid-dorsal line extending from the anterior part of the prothorax to the distal end of the anal shield, followed by a dark band extending from the base of the sub-dorsal setae to the ventral margin of the spiracles [51], the larvae exhibited colors such as green, dark brown, or black, with a dark brown honeycomb-patterned head capsule. They observed longitudinal colored bands on the sides and whitish-yellow stripes along the mid-dorsal region. The length of these larvae ranged from 18 to 20 mm, averaging 18.8 mm [50], fully grown larvae were described as greenish-brown with a honeycomb-patterned pale orange head marked by dark lines, measuring between 37 to 42 mm in length [55]. Sixth instar larvae appears greenish-brown to dark brown, with an average length and breadth of 34.46 mm and 5.12 mm, respectively, on sorghum [52]. The length of fully grown larvae ranged from 33 to 39 mm, with an average of 36 mm, and noted variations in color from light brown to dark brown or black, with a dark brown honeycomb-structured head capsule. These larvae exhibited distinct brown bands on their sides and whitish-yellow along with dark brown stripes dorsally, resulting in an overall dark brown appearance. The total larval period ranged from 14 to 22 days on sugarcane [50]. Researchers observed varying larval periods on maize and wheat, ranging from 13-14 days in March-April, 20-26 days in April-May, 18-21 days in June-July, and extending to 88-100 days in

October [28,56]. The total larval period of M. separata was found to be 16.6 days in laboratory conditions and 21.4 days in field conditions [49], a total larval period of 16.68 ± 0.73 day was reported on sorghum [52]. The overall duration of the larval stage ranged from 21 to 27 days, averaging 23.10 days for the first generation and 23.00 days for the second generation when reared on sorghum [4], the larval period varied on different hosts: 22.56 days on maize, 24.52 days on wheat, and 25.95 days on sorghum under laboratory conditions. The larval developmental time for each instar ranged from 1.8 to 3.9 days, with pre-pupal and pupal stages lasting 1-2 days and 8-12 days, respectively [57], the pre-pupal period to be 1.0 to 2.0 days at Pantnagar [24,37]. The pre-pupal stage on different host plants, had periods of periods of 2.09, 1.95, and 2.00 days on wheat, sorghum, and maize, respectively [57]. Under laboratory conditions, Pupation was observed among various substrates including dry leaves, stubble, tillers, and leaf whorls of maize, with pupal coloration transitioning from dirty white to dark brown [28]. Pupal length and breadth were reported as 16.5 ± 2.0 mm and 4.0 to 0.5 mm, respectively, with a reddish-brown coloration [49]. The pupal period varied across different host plants and conditions, ranging from 7 to 11 days on sugarcane, 9.2 to 11.2 days on paddy, and 8.6 to 9.8 days on rice [56,49], a pupal duration of 7 to 10 days, averaging 8.68 ± 0.48 days was reported on sorghum [52], a pupal period ranging from 11 to 15 days, with an average of 12.60 days for the first generation and 12 to 15 days for the second generation on sorghum was noted [50], a pupal durations of 9.15, 11.05, and 10.05 days on maize, wheat, and sorghum, respectively [57]. Adult M. separata was described as pale brown (28), with medium-sized bodies and pale brown forewings and translucent grey hind wings [49]. Female moths measured approximately 40.00 mm, while males measured around 35.00 mm in length with expanded forewings. The head and thorax of the adult moth were reported to be dark brown, with brownish to ochraceous (brownish-yellow) forewings bearing a distinct oblique dark streak [52]. The adult moth was described as light brown with a thorax covered in scales [50]. Overall, the post-embryonic development of M. separata was completed within 29-39 days [24].




Fig. 1. Life cycle of Oriental armyworm Mythimna separata

7. EFFECT OF CLIMATIC FACTORS ON DIFFERENT EVENTS OF Mythimna separata

Several researchers have documented the impact of climatic factors on the reproduction, development, and survival of M. separate. In East Pakistan observed that the hatching period of eggs varied from 5 to 13 days which is influenced by prevailing temperatures and food availability. Larval coloration was mainly affected by temperature and food availability, with an extended period during winter and a shortened duration in warmer seasons. The pest's seasonal occurrence is positively associated with temperature but negatively correlated with humidity and rainfall [3]. Researchers in China reported the potential impact of hiah [58]. temperatures on egg development Crowding was found to influence the coloration of Leucania separata larvae [59]. In Japan, researchers highlighted the oviposition ratio of M. separata in dried sorghum leaves [60]. The yearround presence of *M. separata* larvae was found in the field, with variations in pre-oviposition, incubation, larval and pupal periods. The optimal temperature for survival and multiplication was identified as 20°C to 25°C [28], the partially grown larval stage during winter were mentioned. Adaptations to seasonal rhythms were studied revealing threshold temperatures for each stage [61]. Reduced survival and feeding behavior at high temperatures were studied. Australia reported peak fecundity and fertility at 20°C [62]. temperature and humidity effects on reproductive biology were noted, with January showing the longest oviposition period [63]. Pupal wintering in the soil at Kullu was reported, with larvae and adults absent during winter [41].

8. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF ORIENTAL ARMYWORM, *Mythimna* separata

The armyworm Mythimna separata (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae), Prevails in Asia, Australia, New Zealand, and the Pacific Islands and is widely distributed India, moreover appears in sporadically in epidemic proportions, during both the Kharif and Rabi seasons. The use of pesticides has been the most common method for the management М. separata. of

| Natural enemy | Species | Reference |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Fungi | Entomophthora sp. | [4]. |
| | Metarrhizum anisopllae | [14]. |
| | Nomuraea rlleyi | [75]. |
| | Spicaria tumosorospus | [76]. |
| | Spicana sp. | [4]. |
| Bacteria | Bacillus thuringiensis | [2]. |
| | Bacillus cereus | [77,78]. |
| | Serratia marcescens | [77]. |
| Nematode | Neoplectana sp. | [24]. |
| Virus | Nuclear polyhedrosis (NPV) | [79,80,24]. |
| | NPV of Prodenla latera (F) | [81]. |
| Insects | Carabidae, Coleoptera | • • |
| | Calosoma australis Hop | [82]. |
| | Formicidae, Hymenoptera | [0]] |
| | Cataglypis bicolour | [83]. |
| | Pentatomidae, Hemiptera | [~~]. |
| | Anedrallus spinidens F | [2]. |
| | Vaspidae, Hymnoptera | [2]. |
| | Polistes chinensis orientalis | [2]. |
| | Polistes judwigae | |
| | Polistes olivaceus | [2]. |
| | | [2]. |
| | Trachiniae, Diptera | [0.4] |
| | Brachymeria sp. | [84]. |
| | Tetrastichus sp. | [85,84]. |
| | Exorista fallax | [86]. |
| | Ichneumonidae, Hymnoptera | |
| | <i>Diadegma</i> sp. | [86]. |
| | Amblyteles sp. | [85]. |
| | Branchymeria lassue | [85]. |
| | Braconidae, Hymenoptera | |
| | Braconoid rogas sp. | [86]. |
| | Apanteles flavipes | [87]. |
| | Apanteles glomeratrus | [88]. |
| | Apanteles parbhanni | [87]. |
| | Apanteles ruficrus | [84,24]. |
| | Metopius rufus | [24]. |
| | Desophyrus sp. | [24]. |
| | Compoletis chlorideae Uchida | [24]. |
| | Enicospilus sp. | [24]. |
| | Dipteran parasitoids | |
| | Caecelia illota (Curran) | [24]. |
| | Sturmiopsis inferens Townsend | [24]. |
| | Palexorista solennis (Walker) | [24]. |
| | Palexorista laxa (Curran) | [24]. |
| | Megasellia sp. | [24]. |
| Birds | Acridotheres tristis L | [47; 22]. |
| | Acridotheres fuscus, L | [47, 22]. [14]. |
| | Bubulcus ibis L | [14]. |
| | Coracias benghalensis L | |
| | | [28; 22]. |
| | Corvus splendens L | [22]. |
| | Dicrurus macrocercus L | [89]. |
| | Passer domesticus L | [90]. |

Table 2. Natural enemies of the Mythimna separata

Unfortunately, the pest has developed different resistance mechanisms to various pesticide families [64]. Indiscriminate use of pesticides also leads to natural imbalance, environmental pollution, and exorbitant monetary drain [65,66,67]. demerits of chemical Such insecticides necessitated the search for effective, safer, more specific, biodegradable, and less expensive agents to control insect pests. Total replacement of current pesticides from the market is impossible. Hence, there is a need for a holistic approach that integrates various biological agents, cultural practices, and conservation strategies. By adopting these measures, farmers can effectively manage armyworm populations, minimize crop damage, and reduce reliance on chemical pesticides. This sustainable approach not only safeguards agricultural yields but also preserves the ecosystem, ensuring a balanced and healthier agricultural environment for future harvests. The biological control of M. separata, involves a multifaceted approach encompassing various natural enemies, and pathogens to manage its population and mitigate damage to crops. One of the key biological control strategies involves leveraging the natural predators and parasitoids of the oriental armyworm. Parasitic wasps and flies, such as species from the Trichogramma, Cotesia, and Tachinid families, play a pivotal role in the management of M. separata. These insects lay their eggs on the larvae of the armyworm, and upon hatching, the larvae feed on the host, ultimately leading to its demise. Additionally, predatory insects like ladybugs and lacewings actively consume armyworm eggs and young larvae, contributing to population control. Entomopathogenic fungi, nematodes. and bacteria offer another effective avenue for biological control. Beauveria bassiana, a fungus. infiltrating armvworm's operates bv the exoskeleton, causing infection and eventual mortality. Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt), a bacteriabased biopesticide, selectively targets specific insects like armyworms while posing minimal risk to other organisms, making it a valuable tool in biological control strategies. Because of the occurrence and abundance of entomopathogens in nature, their high efficacy and specificity are considered to be the most likely alternative agents against pests [65,66,68]. Entomopathogenic protozoans, fungi, bacteria, rickettsial, and viruses responsible for insect diseases constitute important natural factors in regulating the pest population of M. separata [69]. Another effective alternative control strategy for this pest is the use of Entomopathogenic

nematodes. EPN members of the Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae families, are effective biological control agents M. pests. other separata and agricultural [70,71,72,73,74] By leveraging biological control agents, we can reduce reliance on chemical thereby minimizing pesticides. potential ecological harm and mitigating the risk of resistance development. The introduction of these natural enemies contributes to the overall resilience and balance of agroecosystems. fostering long-term pest management solutions. However, successful implementation requires a thorough understanding of the armyworm's biology and ecology, as well as continuous research to optimize the efficacy of biological control strategies. Integrating biological control measures into a comprehensive Integrated Pest Management (IPM) framework ensures a holistic and sustainable approach to managing *Mythimna* separata, promoting agricultural sustainability while preserving the health of the broader ecosystem.

9. CONCLUSION

The oriental armyworm, Mythimna separata, is a notorious insect pest that poses a severe threat to crops, especially in the Asian continent. This nocturnal moth belongs to the family Noctuidae which causes widespread damage to a variety of crops in its larval stage. The life cycle of the oriental armyworm begins with the adult female moths laying eggs on host plants. The larvae, hatch from these eggs and undergo up to seven larval instars before reaching maturity. The pest has an extensive host range, as it feeds on a variety of grasses and cereal crops. rice, maize, wheat, barley, and millet are major host plants of this Pest. This wide spectrum host range contributes to the pest's economic impact, causing heavy damage to crops and posing a constant threat to farmers. The complex life cycle of *M. separata* involves, female moths laying eggs on host plants and the emerging larvae go through several (up to seven) instars before their pupation in the soil. The larvae are damagecausing stages of the pest, causing damage to crops during their feeding stage. The ability of the pest to migrate over long distances, aided by prevailing winds, adds to the challenges of managing and controlling its populations.

Management practices are employed to control *M. separata*. The approach constitutes various control method strategies like chemical control, biological control, and cultural practices.

However, concerns regarding health risks, ecological disruptions, rising costs, diminishing efficacy of some products, and adverse effects on non-target organisms and human health for hiahliaht the need environmentally sustainable pest management control. Biological control includes the use of Entomopathogens, and natural enemies, such as parasitic and predators to keep M. separata populations in check. Sustainable and eco-friendly pest control methods are increasingly emphasized to strike a balance between protecting crops and maintaining a healthy ecosystem. In conclusion, separata controlling М. requires а comprehensive and integrated approach that considers the ecological balance of the farming system. By adopting sustainable practices and combining various control methods, farmers can mitigate the impact of *M. separata* infestations and safeguard their crops.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all the authors who contributed their time, expertise, and insights to the creation of this review paper.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ali A, Rashid MA, Huang QY, Lei CL. Effect of UV-A radiation as an environmental stress on the development, longevity, and reproduction of the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2016;23(17):17002-17007.
- Sharma HC, Davies JC. The Oriental armyworm, Mythimna Separata (Walk.), distribution, biology and control, a literature review. Miscellaneous Report No. 59. London, UK: Centre for Overseas Pest Research; 1983.
- Bhat AA, Tak H, Ahad I, War WA, Dass WM. Impact of Weather Parameters on Seasonal Incidence of Oriental Armyworm, *Mythimna* separata (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae) Infesting Maize Ecosystem in North Kashmir. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2024 Feb 13;14(2):537-44.

- Cadapan EP, Sanchez FF. The biology of the true armyworm, *Pseudaletia separata* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Philippine Entomologist. 1972;2(3):217-226.
- Jiang X, Luo L, Zhang L, Sappington TW, Hu Y. Regulation of migration in *Mythimna separata* (Walker) in China: A review integrating environmental, physiological, hormonal, genetic and molecular factors. Environmental 2011;40(3):516-533.
- Available:https://doi.org/10.1603/EN10199
 6. Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International (CABI) – Plant wise knowledge Bank; 2020. Available:https://www.plantwise.org/knowle dgebank/datasheet/45093#HostPlantsSect ion (accessed June 2022)
- 7. Jiang X, Zhang L, Cheng Y, Luo L. Current status and trends in research on the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker) in China. Chinese Journal of Applied Entomology. 2014;51:881-889.
- Whitehorn PR, O'Connor S, Wackers FL, Goulson D. Neonicotinoid pesticide reduces bumble bee colony growth and queen production. Science. 2012;336(6079):351.
- Godfray HCJ, Blacquière T, Field LM, Hails RS, Petrokofsky G, Potts SG, McLean AR. A restatement of the natural science evidence base concerning neonicotinoid insecticides and insect pollinators. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2014;281(1786).
- Karuppuchamy P, Venugopal S. Chapter 21 - Integrated Pest Management. In Ecofriendly Pest Management for Food Security. 2016;651-684.
- Tiwari S, Dickinson N, Saville DJ, Wratten SD. Host plant selection by the Wheat Bug, Nysius huttoni (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae) on a range of potential trap plant species. Journal of Economic Entomology. 2018;111(2):586-594.
- McLaughlin A, Mineau P. The impact of agricultural practices on biodiversity. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 1995;55(3):201-212.
- 13. Neupane FP, Shrestha SM, Thapa RB, Adhikari TB. Crop Protection. Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal: Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science; 1992.
- 14. Grist DH, Lever RJAW. Pests of rice. Longmans Green London. 1969;520.

- Anonymous. Distribution maps of pests' Series A (Agricultural). No. 230. Commonwealth Institute of Entomology. London; 1967.
- 16. Chao H, Chen C. Observations of two Important Insect pests (aphid and armyworm) found in wheat and their natural enemies. Fukein Agricultural Journal. 1947;9:23-31.
- 17. Ma SC. Insect ecology in the People's Republic of China. Acta Entomologica Sinica. 1979;22:257–266.
- Yinchang W. A study on the synchronization of the occurrence of two noctuids - the black cutworm and the armyworm. Acta Phytophylactica Sinica. 1980;7:247-251.
- Sharma HC, Youm O. Insect pest management in pearl millet with particular emphasis on host plant resistance. In I.S. Khairwal, K.N. Rai, D.J. Andrews, & G. Harinarayana (Eds.), Pearl Millet Breeding. Oxford and IBH Publishing Company. 1999;381–415.
- 20. Koyama J. Some considerations on the chronological records of outbreaks of the armyworm (*Leucania separata* Walker). Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology. 1970;14:57–63.
- Oku T, Koyama J. Long-range migration as a possible factor causing the late summer outbreak of the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* Walker, in Tohoku District, 1969. Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology. 1976;20:184– 190.
- 22. Chaudhary JP, Singh Z. Outbreak of armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker), on paddy crop in Haryana. Haryana Agricultural University Journal of Research. 1980;10:248-249.
- 23. Singh D, Manchanda SK. Severe incidence of armyworm on rye in Kashmir valley. Science and Culture. 1981;47:97-98.
- 24. Sharma HC, Sullivan DJ, Bhatnagar VS. Population dynamics and natural mortality factors of the Oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in South-Central India. Crop Protection. 2002;21(9):721-732.
- Gabriel BP. Insects and mites injurious to Philippine crop plants. [unpublished]. (Available through the senior author); 1997.
- 26. Kuramitsu K, Ichiki RT, Nakamura S, Kainoh Y. Host plants of the herbivorous

insect *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) affect its susceptibility to parasitism by the larval parasitoid *Cotesia kariyai* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Bio control Science and Technology. 2016;26(7):1009-1019.

- Catindig JLA, Barrion AT, Litsinger JA. Developmental biology and host plant range of rice ear cutting caterpillar *Mythimna separata* (Walker). International Rice Research Notes (Philippines). 1994;19(1):23-25.
- Bindra OS, Singh J. Bionomics of the armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker). (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) at Ludhiana, Punjab. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1973;48:299-303.
- 29. Koyama J, Matsumura M. Ecology and control of armyworm, Mythimna separata (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Japan, with special reference to outbreak and migration. Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology. 2019;63(2):39-56.
- Yooboon T, Bullangpoti V, Kainoh Y. Contact toxicity and antifeedent activity of binary mixtures of piperine and β-asarone against the crop pests, Pseudoletia separata and *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). International Journal of Pest Management. 2020;1-8.
- 31. Deang RT. An annotated list of insect pests of vegetables in the Philippines. Philippine Entomologist. 1969;1:313-333.
- 32. Kalode MB, Varma A, Yadav CP, Israel P. Feeding reaction of armyworm (*Cirphis compta* Moore) larvae fed on some cultivated and wild plants. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1971;41: 568-572.
- 33. Dean GJW. Insect pests of rice in Laos. PANS. 1978;24:280-289.
- 34. Rawat RR, Singh OP. Final report on "Studies on the chemical control of major insect pests of soybean, groundnut and rice at Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh" Period I ab 1977-78 and kharif 1978 79. Department of Entomology, JNKVV, Jabalpur, M. P., India. 1979;87.
- 35. Gangrade GA, Kaushik UK, Patidar GL, Shukla C, Srivastava SK, Deshmukh PD, Pophali DJ. Insect pests of summer paddy in Madhya Pradesh. Indian International Rice Research Newsletter. 1980;3(16).
- 36. Fletcher TB. Sugarcane, paddy and other cereals, grasses and fodder crops In Proceedings of the 2nd Entomologists

Meeting at Pusa (Bihar, India). 1917;137-209.

- Tripathi AK, Bhattacharya AK, Verma SK. Developmental behaviour of Mythimna separata (Walker) on some monocotyledonous plants; 1982.
- Mehto PN, Singh KM. Succession of insect pests in chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* Linn. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1985;45(4):377-383.
- Zhao YY, Li SU, Shuai LI, Li YP, Xu XL, Cheng WN, Wu JX. Insecticide resistance of the field populations of oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker) in Shaanxi and Shanxi provinces of China. Journal of Integrative Agriculture. 2018;17(7):1556-1562.
- 40. Prasad D, Singh KM, Katiyar RN. Succession of insect pests in early maturing high yielding variety of pea, *Pisum sativum* Linn. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1985;45(4):451-455.
- 41. Thakur JN, Rawat US, Pawar AD. First record of armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Haworth) as a serious pest of maize in Kullu (HP) India and recommendations for its integrated management. Tropical Pest Management. 1987;33;173–175.
- 42. Puttarudriah M, Usman S. Flood causes armyworm outbreak. Mysore Agricultural Journal. 1957;32:124-131.
- 43. Srivastava KP. Sorghum insect pests in India. In V. Kumble (Ed.), Proceedings of the International Sorghum Entomology Workshop. ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India. 1985;45-56.
- 44. Meksonasee Β. Chawanapong Μ. Sorghum pests in South East Asia. In V. Kumble (Ed.), Proceedings of the International Entomology Workshop Patancheru ICRISAT. (A.P.). India. 1985:57-64.
- 45. Hamblyn CJ. Army caterpillar damage on east coast hill countries. New Zealand Journal of Agriculture. 1959;98;329:331-333.
- Hsia TS, TSAI SM, TEN HS. Studies of the regularity of outbreak of the oriental armyworm, *Leucania separata* Walker. II. servations on the migratory activity of moths across the China Gulf and Yellow Sea of China. Acta Entomologica Sinica. 1963;12:552-564.
- 47. Avasthy PN, Chaudhary JP. Biology and control of armyworm, Pseudoletia unipuncta Haw Indian Sugarcane Journal. 1965;9:249-251.

- 48. Feakin SD. Control of armyworm, *M. separata*, in pest control in rice. In PANS manual no. 3. Tropical Pesticides; 1971.
- 49. Singh D, Rai L. Bionomics of rice cutworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker). Entomon. 1977;2:141-144.
- Giraddi RS, Kulkarni KA. Biology of armyworm, *M. separata* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) under laboratory conditions. Journal of Farming Systems. 1985;1(102):29-37.
- 51. Bhatttacherjee NS, Gupata SL. Identity of lepidopterous pests infesting wheat crop at Delhi. Indian J. Enl. 1971;33(4):435-451.
- 52. Patel RK. Some new observations on the habit and behavior of rice ear-cutting caterpillar. Oryza. 1979;16(1):71-72.
- 53. Kulshreshtha JP, Kalode MB, Varma A. Paddy cutworm (*Pseudoletia separata* Walker) and armyworm (Cirphis compta Moore) as serious pests of high yielding varieties of rice. Oryza. 1970;7:143-145.
- 54. Kalode MB. Insect pests of rice and their control. Pestology. 1977;1(3):13.
- 55. Katiyar OP, Patel RK. *Tribolium* sp.: An enemy of pupae of rice armyworms. Science and Culture. 1969;35:482.
- 56. Avasthy PN, Chaudhary JP. Biology and control of armyworm, Pseudoletia unipuncta Haw Indian Sugarcane Journal. 1965;9:249-251.
- 57. Sisodiya DB. Biology, varietal susceptibility of sorghum and control of armyworm (*Mythimna separata* [Walker]). [Master's thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, Anand]; 2001.
- 58. Chan AK, Fehj F, Hai-haiun J, Chen CH, Chung H. Effect of high temperature on the development and reproduction of the armyworm, *Leucania separata* (Walker) I. development and hatching of the egg (In Chinese). Acta.Ento. Sin. 1965;14:I 225-38.
- 59. Iwao S. Differences in light reaction of the armyworm, *Leucania separata* (Walker), in relation to their phase status. Nature. 1967;213:941-942.
- 60. Tanaka A, Wakikado T, Ouchi Y. Ecology of the armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker) II. Field survey of oviposition by sorghum dry-leaf trap; 1971.
- Metcalf CL, Flint WP. Insects injurious to corn. In Destructive and Useful Insects: Their Habits and Control. 1973; 411-473.
- 62. Mulder PG, Showers WB. Defoliation by the armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on

field corn in Iowa. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1986;79(2):368-373.

- Singh A, Mrig KK, Chaudhary JP. Incidence and survival of Mythimna species on cereal crops in Hisar. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1987;57(1):59-60.
- Anderson CJ, Oakeshott JG, Tay WT, Gordan KHJ, Zwich A, Walsh Hybridization and gene flow in the mega-pest lineage of moth Helicoverpa. Proc Nalt Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115:5034-5039.
- Briggs JD. Microbial control and Entomology as biological science. Proc. Jt. U.S.-Japan Seminar on Microbial Control of Insect Pests. Eukuda. 1967 April 21-23;141-142.
- Burges HD, Hussey NW. Introduction. In Buries HD, Hussey NW (ed.). Microbial Control of Insects and Mites. Academic Press, London, New York. 1971;1-11.
- 67. Igoffo CM. Effects of entomopathogens on vertebrates. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1973;217: 141-172.
- Mathad SB. Control of cabbage looper Trichoplusiani (Hbn.) with nuclear polyhedrosis virus. Journal of Karnatak University Science. 1973;18:122-130.
- Steinhaus EA. The effects of disease on insect populations. Hilgardia. 1954;23: 197-261.
- Karabörklü S, Ayvaz A, Yilmaz S, Azizoglu U, Akbulut M. Native entomopathogenic nematodes isolated from Turkey and their effectiveness on pine processionary moth, *Thaumetopoea wilkinsoni* Tams. International Journal of Pest Management. 2015;61:3-8.
- Kepenekci I, Hazir S, Özdem A. Evaluation of native entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of the European cherry fruit fly *Rhagoletis cerasi* L. (Diptera: Tephritidae) larvae in soil. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2015;39:74-79.
- 72. Laznik Ž, Tóth T, Lakatos T, Vidrih M, Trdan S. Control of the Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* [Say]) on potato under field conditions: A comparison of the efficacy of foliar application of two strains of Steinernema feltiae (Filipjev) and spraying with thiametoxam. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection. 2010;117:129–135.
- 73. Oreste M, Baser N, Ibouh K, Verrastro V, Tarasco E. Potential of entomopathogenic fungi and nematodes against Drosophila

suzukii in laboratory assays. IOBC-WPRS Bulletin. 2017;129:77–78.

- 74. Yuksel E, Taskesen YE, Erarslan D, Canhilal R. Effectiveness of different entomopathogenic nematode species against the variegated cutworm, Peridroma saucia (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control. 2018;28:82.
- 75. Broadley RH. Armyworm on South Queensland field crops Queensland Agricultural Journal. 1979;105:433-443.
- Tseng SY, Chao Y. Studies on the endogenous fungus - Spicaria fumosorosea (Wize) Vassilijevsky. Acta Phytophylactica Sinica. 1965;4:59-68.
- Kushwaha KS, Gopinadhan PB. A note on biotic agents regulating field populations of the armyworm, *Pseudoletia separata* Walker (Noctuidae Lepidoptera). University of Udaipur Research Journal. 1972;10: 78-79.
- Rangarajan M, Srivastava RP, Chakravarti BP. A note on the different insect hosts of Serratia marcescens Bizio. Labdev Journal of Science and Technology. 1968;6(B): 159-160.
- Tsai SU. Studies on a nuclear polyhedrosis virus of *Pseudoletia separata* (Walk). Acta Entomologica Sinica. 1965;14: 534-540.
- Battu GS, Dilwari VK, Bindra OS. Investigations on microbial ~infections of insect pests In the Punjab II Indian Journal of Entomology. 1977;39:271 -280.
- 81. Hwang GH, Ding T. Studies on the nuclear polyhedrosis virus disease of the cotton leaf worm, *Prodenia litura* F. Acta Entomologica Sinica. 1975;18:17-24.
- 82. Smith JH, Caldwell NEH. Armyworm and other Noctuidae outbreaks during 1946-47. Queensland Agricultural Journal. 1947;65:81-87.
- 83. Khan RM, Sharma SK. Cataglypsis bicolor Fab (Hym Formicidaedae) as a predator on a few Noctuid Iarvae. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1972;59:192.
- Tsung WU. Jung. Distribution seasonal occurrence and natural enemies of armyworm, attacking rice in China. International Rice Research Center Newsletter. 1982;L 7(2):9-10.
- 85. Rizvi SHA, Singh HM. Natural enemies of paddy cutworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker). Oryza. 1980;17(3):244-245.
- 86. Lu XC, Lan GX. An investigation on parasitoids of Leucania separata (Walker)

Bhat et al.; J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 248-261, 2024; Article no.JEAI.114055

in Bijie Region, Guizhou Province. Natural Enemies of Insects. 1986;5:247-248.

- Rao SN. Studies on Indian Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera, Parasitoid). Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society. 1969;66:222-226.
- Khan MQ. Life history of castor semiloopers in Hyderabad (Deccan). Indian Journal Entomology. 1946;8:111-115.
- Bindra OS, Singh J. incidence of Insect pests on wheat In the Punjab during 1969-70 In proceedings, Ninth All India Wheat Research Workers Workshop, 28 August 1970, NPW Delhi, India; 1970.
- 90. Tanaka S. Ecology of the armyworm, Mythimna separata (Walker) VII Experiments of predation of sparrows on the pupal stage. Proceedings of the Association for Plant Protection, Kyushu, Japan. 1975;71:11-14.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114055