



# Effect of Balance and Imbalanced Fertilization on Soil Physical Properties, Growth and Yield of Rice in Chhattisgarh, India

Nainsi Naya <sup>a\*</sup>, Parmanand Verma <sup>a</sup>, Neha Gawde <sup>a</sup>,  
Deepika Sahu <sup>a</sup> and Supriya Thakur <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, 492012 (C.G.), India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, 492012 (C.G.), India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJSSPN/2024/v10i1212

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111783>

Original Research Article

Received: 20/11/2023  
Accepted: 25/01/2024  
Published: 01/02/2024

## ABSTRACT

Effect of balance and imbalanced fertilization on soil physical Properties, nutrient uptake, growth and yield of rice in Chhattisgarh was studied under long-term fertilizer experiment during kharif 2019-20 at research farm of Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (C.G.). The experiment comprised of different levels or doses of NPK fertilizers alone and in combination with zinc sulphate, farmyard manure (FYM), blue green algae (BGA) and green manuring (GM) was laid out in randomized block design with four replications and ten treatments viz. Control, 50% NPK, 100% NPK, 150% NPK, 100% NPK+ZnSO<sub>4</sub>@ 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 100% NP, 100% N, 100% NPK + FYM @5t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50% NPK+BGA@ 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 50% NPK + GM @40kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The results showed that the physical

\*Corresponding author: Email: naincy.naya@gmail.com;

properties like total porosity, gravimetric and volumetric moisture content, hydraulic conductivity, infiltration rate, water stable aggregates and mean weight diameter of the soil were increased, while the bulk density was reduced significantly with the 100% NPK FYM treatment over all other treatments. However, the use of imbalanced (100% N and 100% NP) fertilizer, super optimal (150% NPK) and sub optimal (50% NPK) rate of inorganic fertilizer as compared to the unfertilized control showed significant effect on the physical properties of soil. Integrated nutrient management practice including FYM and recommended dose of NPK showed as best treatment with respect to growth and yield parameters like plant height, no. of tillers and no. of grains as compare to other treatments. The grain (5065 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw (7075 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) yield of rice was registered higher in 150% NPK treatment followed by 100% NPK FYM treatment (4855 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 6565 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest in control treatment (2350 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2680 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Keywords:** Total porosity; gravimetric and volumetric moisture content; hydraulic conductivity; infiltration rate; water stable aggregates and mean weight diameter.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The negative impacts of imbalanced fertilizers, coupled with escalating prices, have led to growing interests in the use of organic materials as a source of nutrients. The soil organic matter plays an important role in improvement of soil physical, chemical and biological properties and ultimately increasing soil productivity and crop yields [1]. Long-term experiment have shown that crop residues incorporation, farm yard manures and green manures increased soil organic carbon and nutrient availability as compared to the nitrogenous fertilizers alone. Balanced use of nutrients is one of the most important factors for sustaining agricultural production and soil health. The results emanating from long-term fertilizer experiments across the country have clearly indicated that imbalance use of chemical fertilizers has resulted in numerous problems viz. micronutrient deficiencies, nutrient imbalances in soil and plant system, environmental degradation and deterioration of soil health.

The combined use of organic and inorganic sources of plant nutrients not only pushed the production and profitability of field crops but also helped in maintaining the fertility status of the soil. The advantage of combining organic and inorganic sources of nutrients in integrated nutrient management has been proved superior to the use of each component separately [2].

C.G. state is popularly known as “Rice bowl of India” because maximum area is covered under rice during kharif and contribute major share in national rice production. Rice is cultivated in an area of 4.61 M ha with the production of 6.8 million tones and productivity of 17.17 q/ha.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Site Description

A field experiment was conducted on Vertisol of Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidhyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh. Raipur is situated at 21° 4' North Latitude and 81° 4' East Longitude with the altitude of 293 meter above mean sea level.

### 2.2 Experimental Details

The field experiment was conducted in randomized block design with four replicates in rice as a test crop and supplementing a part of nitrogen through different organic sources viz., FYM, green manure (*Crotalaria juncea*) and blue green algae. A set of ten treatments was repeated in the permanent laid out plots with following treatment details: T1 – Control, T2 - 50% of the recommended optimum NPK dose, T3 - 100% of the RDF NPK dose, T4 - 150% of the RDF optimum NPK dose, T5 - 100% of the rec. optimum NPK + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in kharif crop only, T6 - 100% NP of rec. optimum N and P dose, T7 - 100% N of rec. optimum N dose, T8 - 100% NPK + FYM (@5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T9 - 50% NPK + BGA (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T10 - 50% NPK + Green manure.

### 2.3 Soil Sampling and Analysis

For determining of Bulk density was determined by removing natural undisturbed core sample from soil by iron core sampler. Total porosity was estimated from the bulk density and particle density of the soil. Soil Moisture Content was estimated from Fresh weight of soil and dry weight of soil. Volumetric Moisture Content was estimated by multiplying bulk density with

corresponding soil moisture content. Steady state infiltration rate was measured by using double ring infiltrometer. Reading were recorded at 5, 10, 15, 30 minutes and then one hour intervals till constant steady state rate was obtained [3]. The procedure used for aggregate analysis was Modified Yoder's wet sieving method [4]. Soil samples were collected in between from 0 to 15 cm depth segment after harvest of rice crop. At the time of sampling the sample were broken gently at their natural cleavage and air dried in the laboratory. Air -dried soil samples were passed through 4 mm sieve. These samples were cleaned by removing roots, lime, concentration etc. The nest of five sieve having 2,1,0.5,0.25 and 0.125 mm opening were mounted on sieve holders in the Yoder type wet sieving machine. Air dried triplicate soil samples were used for analysis. Out of them one sample was kept for moisture content estimation and the remaining two samples were used for aggregate analysis. In the sieve set the soil sample was placed at top sieve. Immediately prior to sieving, water level was raised rapidly to a point where it fairly covers the sample when sieve set at its highest position.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Bulk Density

Bulk Density of soil (0 - 0.15 m depth) is measured at flowering and harvesting stages of rice crop. At flowering stage of the crop it ranged from 1.29 to 1.43 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> and at harvesting stage of the crop varied from 1.30 to 1.47 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> under different treatments. Bulk density was considerably less in organic manure plots. The

lower values of bulk density 1.29 and 1.30 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> at flowering and harvesting stage of crop respectively were recorded in 100% NPK+FYM treatment as compared to other treatments. (Table1).

#### 3.2 Total Porosity

The 100% NPK + FYM, 50% NPK + GM and 50% NPK + BGA plots had greater micro porosity than control and 100% alone inorganic fertilizers plots at both (flowering and harvesting stage) stages of the crop. Increase in total and micro-porosity of the soil with fertilizer and manure treatments could be attributed to higher organic matter content, better aggregation and change in pore size distribution of soil. Hati et al. [5] found that total porosity of the soil increases with fertilizer and compost application, depending upon the amount of materials added (Table1).

#### 3.3 Gravimetric and Volumetric Moisture Content

The 100% NPK + FYM had the highest gravimetric moisture content (25.96 %) followed by 150% NPK (21.56 %) and lowest in control (18.54 %) at 45 DAT. Interaction between different treatment levels were observed to be significant effect on both 45 DAT and 95 DAT of the crop. At 45 DAT the Gravimetric moisture content was recorded low values as compare to the flowering stage of the crop. The 100% NPK + FYM treatment had the highest Volumetric moisture content at flowering stage that was on par with 150% NPK results and the lowest volumetric moisture content was observed under control (Table 2).

**Table 1. Effect of inorganic fertilizer and organic manure on bulk density and total porosity of soil during rice growth**

| Treatments     | Bulk density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> ) |                    | Total porosity (%) |                  |
|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                | flowering stage                    | harvesting stage   | flowering stage    | harvesting stage |
| Control        | 1.40                               | 1.43 <sup>a</sup>  | 47.16              | 45.94            |
| 50% NPK        | 1.36                               | 1.37 <sup>b</sup>  | 48.67              | 48.01            |
| 100% NPK       | 1.35                               | 1.37 <sup>b</sup>  | 49.05              | 48.01            |
| 150% NPK       | 1.38                               | 1.39 <sup>b</sup>  | 47.92              | 47.54            |
| 100% NPK + Zn  | 1.40                               | 1.42 <sup>ab</sup> | 47.16              | 46.12            |
| 100% NP        | 1.39                               | 1.36 <sup>bc</sup> | 47.54              | 46.41            |
| 100% N         | 1.43                               | 1.47 <sup>a</sup>  | 46.03              | 44.52            |
| 100% NPK + FYM | 1.29                               | 1.30 <sup>c</sup>  | 51.31              | 50.93            |
| 50 % NPK + BGA | 1.32                               | 1.34 <sup>c</sup>  | 50.18              | 49.24            |
| 50% NPK + GM   | 1.31                               | 1.32 <sup>c</sup>  | 50.56              | 49.90            |
| Sem (±)        | 0.05                               | 0.02               | 2.00               | 2.26             |
| CD (P = 0.05)  | NS                                 | 0.06               | NS                 | NS               |

**Table 2. Gravimetric and volumetric moisture content and infiltration rate as effected by the continuous application of fertilizers and organic manure**

| Treatments     | Gravimetric moisture content ( $\theta_w$ %) |                     | Volumetric moisture content ( $\theta_v$ %) |                     | Infiltration rate (mm hr <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|--|
|                | 45 DAT                                       | 95 DAT              | 45 DAT                                      | 95 DAT              |  |
| Control        | 18.54 <sup>c</sup>                           | 18.36 <sup>d</sup>  | 25.98 <sup>b</sup>                          | 25.83 <sup>bc</sup> | 3.0 <sup>h</sup>                         |
| 50% NPK        | 19.97 <sup>c</sup>                           | 19.72 <sup>c</sup>  | 27.12 <sup>b</sup>                          | 26.87 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.0 <sup>fg</sup>                        |
| 100% NPK       | 20.30 <sup>bc</sup>                          | 20.01 <sup>c</sup>  | 27.36 <sup>b</sup>                          | 27.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.0 <sup>de</sup>                        |
| 150% NPK       | 21.56 <sup>b</sup>                           | 21.27 <sup>b</sup>  | 29.69 <sup>ab</sup>                         | 29.43 <sup>b</sup>  | 6.0 <sup>c</sup>                         |
| 100% NPK + Zn  | 20.30 <sup>b</sup>                           | 20.19 <sup>bc</sup> | 28.34 <sup>b</sup>                          | 28.93 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.0 <sup>f</sup>                         |
| 100% NP        | 19.91 <sup>c</sup>                           | 19.35 <sup>cd</sup> | 27.64 <sup>b</sup>                          | 26.92 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.0 <sup>d</sup>                         |
| 100% N         | 18.70 <sup>c</sup>                           | 18.44 <sup>d</sup>  | 26.70 <sup>b</sup>                          | 23.93 <sup>c</sup>  | 5.0 <sup>d</sup>                         |
| 100% NPK + FYM | 25.96 <sup>a</sup>                           | 24.48 <sup>a</sup>  | 33.60 <sup>a</sup>                          | 34.84 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.0 <sup>a</sup>                         |
| 50 % NPK + BGA | 20.83 <sup>b</sup>                           | 20.48 <sup>b</sup>  | 27.50 <sup>b</sup>                          | 27.05 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.0 <sup>d</sup>                         |
| 50% NPK + GM   | 22.49 <sup>b</sup>                           | 21.79 <sup>b</sup>  | 29.41 <sup>b</sup>                          | 28.61 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.0 <sup>b</sup>                         |
| Sem ( $\pm$ )  | 0.79   | 0.56                | 1.39  | 1.50                | 0.24                                     |
| CD (P = 0.05)  | 2.30   | 1.63                | 4.03  | 4.35                | 0.11                                     |

### 3.4 Infiltration Rate

The higher infiltration rate was recorded in treatment 100% NPK+FYM (8.0 mm/hr), followed by 50% NPK+GM (7.0 mm/hr) and lowest (3.0 mm/hr) in control plots. The higher cumulative infiltration (Table 2) was recorded in 100% NPK + FYM (694 mm), followed by 50% NPK + BGA (625 mm) and lowest (443 mm) in control plots.

### 3.5 Water Stable Aggregates

The coarser (> 2mm) aggregate showed the significant difference amongst various treatments. The organic source of nutrient like FYM, GM and BGA showed significant increased in coarser aggregates (> 2 mm) over the control plot (Table 3).

**Table 3. Percent water stable aggregates and mean weight diameter under different treatments after 14 cycles of rice**

| Treatments    | Percent water stable aggregates |   |                                |                               |                               | MWD(mm)             |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|               | >2mm                            | 2-1mm                                       | 1-0.5mm                        | 0.5-0.25mm                    | <0.25mm                       |                     |
| Control       | 4.70 <sup>i</sup>               | 63.06 <sup>ab</sup><br>(67.76) <sup>*</sup> | 19.97 <sup>ab</sup><br>(87.73) | 7.68 <sup>c</sup><br>(95.41)  | 4.55 <sup>bc</sup><br>(99.96) | 1.302 <sup>g</sup>  |
| 50% RDF       | 15.23 <sup>fg</sup>             | 57.87 <sup>bc</sup><br>(73.1)               | 14.51 <sup>c</sup><br>(87.61)  | 7.11 <sup>cd</sup><br>(94.72) | 5.14 <sup>b</sup><br>(99.86)  | 1.532 <sup>de</sup> |
| 100% RDF      | 22.03 <sup>e</sup>              | 44.63 <sup>ef</sup><br>(66.66)              | 22.51 <sup>a</sup><br>(89.71)  | 8.57 <sup>c</sup><br>(97.74)  | 2.22 <sup>cd</sup><br>(99.96) | 1.626 <sup>d</sup>  |
| 150% RDF      | 32.1 <sup>bc</sup>              | 46.48 <sup>de</sup><br>(78.58)              | 11.48 <sup>cd</sup><br>(90.06) | 7.51 <sup>c</sup><br>(97.57)  | 2.24 <sup>c</sup><br>(99.81)  | 1.924 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 100% RDF + Zn | 16.17 <sup>i</sup>              | 52.19 <sup>c</sup><br>(68.36)               | 9.01 <sup>d</sup><br>(77.37)   | 15.43 <sup>ab</sup><br>(92.8) | 7.16 <sup>b</sup><br>(99.96)  | 1.468 <sup>ef</sup> |
| 100% NP       | 19.24 <sup>ef</sup>             | 56.19 <sup>c</sup><br>(75.43)               | 12.37 <sup>c</sup><br>(87.8)   | 10.45 <sup>c</sup><br>(98.25) | 1.54 <sup>d</sup><br>(99.79)  | 1.636 <sup>d</sup>  |
| 100% N        | 12.78 <sup>gh</sup>             | 68.92 <sup>a</sup><br>(81.7)                | 9.75 <sup>d</sup><br>(91.45)   | 6.09 <sup>d</sup><br>(97.54)  | 2.42 <sup>c</sup><br>(99.96)  | 1.559 <sup>d</sup>  |
| 100% NPK+FYM  | 48.56 <sup>a</sup>              | 16.05 <sup>g</sup><br>(64.61)               | 6.76 <sup>e</sup><br>(71.37)   | 16.77 <sup>a</sup><br>(88.34) | 11.77 <sup>a</sup><br>(99.91) | 2.051 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 50% NPK+BGA   | 31.54 <sup>cd</sup>             | 51.51 <sup>cd</sup><br>(83.05)              | 8.71 <sup>de</sup><br>(91.76)  | 7.38 <sup>c</sup><br>(99.14)  | 0.82 <sup>d</sup><br>(99.96)  | 1.963 <sup>ab</sup> |
| 50% NPK+GM    | 37.11 <sup>b</sup>              | 49.84 <sup>d</sup><br>(89.65)               | 5.33 <sup>e</sup><br>(92.28)   | 4.45 <sup>d</sup><br>(96.73)  | 3.22 <sup>c</sup><br>(99.95)  | 2.093 <sup>a</sup>  |
| SEm ( $\pm$ ) | 1.81                            | 2.31  | 1.37                           | 1.45                          | 1.05                          | 0.04                |
| CD (P=0.05)   | 5.26                            | 6.72  | 3.97                           | 4.21                          | 3.05                          | 0.13                |

### 3.6 Mean Weight Diameter (MWD)

Mean weight diameter (MWD) was significantly influenced by different treatments and it had considerable increase with the increasing dose of NPK through organic and inorganics from 1.302 to 2.093 mm. The highest value of MWD was recorded under 50% NPK + GM plot (2.093 mm) and 100% NPK + FYM treated plot (2.051 mm) and the lowest value was recorded under control plot (1.302 mm) (Table 3).

### 3.7 Plant Height

(Table 4) Application of 100% NPK + FYM recorded the highest plant height at all stages of rice growth (45 DAT, 75 DAT and 105 DAT) which was at par with 150% NPK but significantly superior to control. The combined use of organic and inorganic sources of plant nutrients in varying proportions resulted better growth of the plants.

### 3.8 Number of Tillers

Among the different fertilizer treatments, 150% NPK significantly enhanced the number of effective tillers per hill (6.95) over control (4.85). The treatments 150% NPK, 100% NPK + FYM, 100% N, 50% NPK + GM were statistically at par and significantly higher than other treatments. Nayak et al. [6] reported a significant increase in number of effective tillers per hill due to application of chemical fertilizer with organic manure (Table 4).

### 3.9 Number of Grains

123 number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> were noted in 100% NPK + FYM which was found significantly higher than that under control and completely chemically fertilized plot but remained at par with 50% NPK + GM and 50% NPK + BGA (Table 4).

**Table 4. Effect of integrated plant nutrient management on growth parameters of rice**

| Treatments     | Plant Height (cm)   |                     |                     | Tillers per hill   | Effective Tillers  | No of grains      |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
|                | 45 DAT              | 75 DAT              | 105 DAT             |                    |                    |                   |
| Control        | 49.06 <sup>d</sup>  | 77.76 <sup>e</sup>  | 83.6 <sup>e</sup>   | 6.5 <sup>b</sup>   | 4.85 <sup>c</sup>  | 77 <sup>e</sup>   |
| 50% NPK        | 56.98 <sup>bc</sup> | 83.02 <sup>cd</sup> | 97.9 <sup>c</sup>   | 7.4 <sup>a</sup>   | 6.25 <sup>a</sup>  | 97 <sup>cd</sup>  |
| 100% NPK       | 63.62 <sup>a</sup>  | 97.06 <sup>a</sup>  | 103.22 <sup>b</sup> | 7.725 <sup>a</sup> | 5.875 <sup>b</sup> | 106 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 150% NPK       | 67.85 <sup>a</sup>  | 98.59 <sup>a</sup>  | 105.5 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.95 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.95 <sup>a</sup>  | 107 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 100 % NPK + Zn | 63.68 <sup>a</sup>  | 93.58 <sup>b</sup>  | 104.48 <sup>b</sup> | 7.05 <sup>ab</sup> | 5.95 <sup>b</sup>  | 98 <sup>c</sup>   |
| 100 % NP       | 62.68 <sup>ab</sup> | 95.48 <sup>b</sup>  | 99.48 <sup>c</sup>  | 7.2 <sup>a</sup>   | 5.85 <sup>b</sup>  | 105 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 100% N         | 53.48 <sup>cd</sup> | 82.85 <sup>de</sup> | 97.77 <sup>cd</sup> | 7.7 <sup>a</sup>   | 6.9 <sup>a</sup>   | 102 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 100% NPK + FYM | 68.37 <sup>a</sup>  | 102.87 <sup>a</sup> | 112.8 <sup>a</sup>  | 8 <sup>a</sup>     | 6.9 <sup>a</sup>   | 123 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 50% NPK + BGA  | 56.98 <sup>b</sup>  | 90.92 <sup>bc</sup> | 102 <sup>bc</sup>   | 6.65 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.4 <sup>bc</sup>  | 98 <sup>c</sup>   |
| 50% NPK + GM   | 61.81 <sup>a</sup>  | 96.65 <sup>ab</sup> | 104.04 <sup>b</sup> | 7.35 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.05 <sup>ab</sup> | 116 <sup>ab</sup> |
| SEm (±)        | 2.02                | 2.18                | 2.00                | 0.33               | 0.32               | 4.87              |
| CD (P=0.05)    | 5.87 (S)            | 6.33 (S)            | 5.81 (S)            | 0.96 (S)           | 0.92 (S)           | 14.14 (S)         |

**Table 5. Long term effect of various treatments on Grain and Straw yield of rice crop**

| Treatments     | Yield (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                    |
|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
|                | Grain                        | Straw              |
| Control        | 2350 <sup>f</sup>            | 2680 <sup>d</sup>  |
| 50% NPK        | 4045 <sup>c</sup>            | 4775 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 100% NPK       | 4345 <sup>bc</sup>           | 5555 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 150% NPK       | 5065 <sup>a</sup>            | 7075 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 100 % NPK + Zn | 4135 <sup>c</sup>            | 5510 <sup>ab</sup> |
| 100 % NP       | 4345 <sup>bc</sup>           | 5835 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 100% N         | 3950 <sup>cd</sup>           | 5390 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 100% NPK + FYM | 4855 <sup>ab</sup>           | 6565 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 50% NPK + BGA  | 3675 <sup>de</sup>           | 4615 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 50% NPK + GM   | 4105 <sup>c</sup>            | 6555 <sup>a</sup>  |
| SEm (±)        | 230                          | 543.               |
| CD (P=0.05)    | 667                          | 1576               |

### 3.10 Yield

Grain yield of rice varied from 2350 to 5065 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> amongst different nutrient concentration alone and along with organics. Increase in grain yield over control (2350 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was 2945, 4345 and 5065 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with the application of 50, 100 and 150% NPK, respectively. Among the treatments maximum grain (5065 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (7075 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained with 150% NPK. This may be due to the higher available nutrients and optimum soil properties in the plots receiving higher dose (150% NPK) of inorganic fertilizers. Similar results were also reported by Pandey et al. [7]. Incorporation of organic sources with inorganic sources of nutrition, the grain (4855 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (6565 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of 100% NPK + FYM higher than 50% NPK + GM and 50% NPK + BGA. The integrated effects of fertilizer and farm yard manure, blue green algae and green manure were noted to be more beneficial than the use of chemical fertilizer alone (Table 5) [8].

### 4. CONCLUSION

The bulk density of soil decreased with the application of farmyard manure, green manure and blue green algae in combination with fertilizers and use of imbalanced fertilizers increased the bulk density, which might be due to deterioration of soil structure. The lower values of bulk density 1.29 and 1.30 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> at flowering and harvesting stage of crop respectively were recorded in 100% NPK+FYM treatment as compared to other treatments. The increase in percent moisture content in the organic manure treated plot may be due to increase in macro and micro pores of the soil and also better aggregation of soil separates. The application of FYM, green manure and crop residue decreased the bulk density and increase in soil aggregation which in turn increased the infiltration rate. The higher infiltration rate was recorded in treatment 100% NPK+FYM (8.0 mm/hr), followed by 50% NPK+GM (7.0 mm/hr) and lowest (3.0 mm/hr) in control plots.

The direct addition of organic matter through farm yard manure and subsequent increase in root biomass which helped in growth and development of soil microorganisms causing beneficial effect on improvement in soil structure (Water stable aggregates and mean weight diameter and soil moisture content). The highest value of MWD was recorded under 50% NPK +

GM plot (2.093 mm) and 100% NPK + FYM treated plot (2.051 mm) and the lowest value was recorded under control plot (1.302 mm).

Use of recommended dose of nutrients through inorganic fertilizers through sustained the yields and improved the soil physical and chemical properties to the extent of combined use of the organics and inorganics. The grain (5065 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw (7075 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) yield of rice was registered higher in 150% NPK treatment followed by 100% NPK + FYM treatment (4855 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 6565 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest in control treatment (2350 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2680 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

1. Antil RS, Narwal RP, Singh B, Singh JP. Long-term effects of FYM and N on soil health and crop productivity under pearl millet - wheat cropping system. *Ind.J.Fert.* 2011;7:14-32.
2. Palaniappan SP, Annadurai K. *Organic Farming: Theory and Practices*, Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur. 2007:169.
3. Gupta PK. *Soil, plant, water and fertilizer analysis*. Publication AGRO-BIOS, Jodhpur, India. 1999;24-61.
4. Yoder RE. A direct method of aggregate analysis and a study of the physical nature of erosions losses. *J. Am. Soc. Agron.* 1936;28:237-251.
5. Hati KM, Swarup A, Dwivedi AK, Mishra AK, Bandyopadhyay KK. Changes in Soil Physical Properties and Organic Carbon Status at the Topsoil Horizon of a Vertisol of Central India after 28 Years of Continuous Cropping, Fertilization and Manuring. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment.* 2007;119(1-2):127-134.
6. Nayak DR, Babu X, Adhya TK. Long-term application of compost influences mineral biomass and enzyme activities in a tropical Aeric Endoaquept planted to rice under flooded condition. *Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry.* 2007;39(8):1897-1906.
7. Pandey AK, Vipin Kumar and Rajesh Kumar. Effect of long-term organic and

- inorganic nutrients on transplanted rice under rice - wheat cropping system. *Oryza*. 2009;46(3):209-212.
8. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedure for Agricultural Research. John wiley and sons, New York; 1984.

---

© 2024 Naya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

*<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111783>*